

**NOTICE OF PUBLIC MEETING  
OF THE  
ARIZONA CRIMINAL JUSTICE COMMISSION  
AND  
AGENDA**

Pursuant to A.R.S. § 38-431.02, notice is hereby given to the members of the **Arizona Criminal Justice Commission** and to the general public that the **Arizona Criminal Justice Commission** will hold a meeting open to the public on **Thursday, May 19, 2016** beginning at **1:30 p.m.** at the **Arizona Criminal Justice Commission Office, 1110 W. Washington, Suite 250, Phoenix, Arizona 85007.**

Pursuant to the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), the Arizona Criminal Justice Commission endeavors to ensure the accessibility of its meetings to all persons with disabilities. Persons with a disability may request a reasonable accommodation, such as a sign language interpreter, by contacting the Commission Office at (602) 364-1146. Requests should be made as early as possible to allow time to arrange the accommodation.

The Commission may go into executive session for any of the purposes set forth in A.R.S. 38-431.03(A)(1) through (7), as applicable to the agenda items listed, including for the purposes of receiving legal advice or the consideration of employment related issues.

Agenda for the meeting is as follows:

- |             |  |                                |
|-------------|--|--------------------------------|
| <b>I.</b>   | <b>Call to Order and Roll Call</b>   | <b>Chairperson Sean Duggan</b> |
| <b>II.</b>  | <b>A. Minutes of the March 24, 2016 Meeting</b>  |                                |
|             | • Approval of Minutes  | <b>P-F-T</b>                   |
|             | <b>B. Minutes of the April 6, 2016 Meeting</b>   |                                |
|             | • Approval of Minutes  | <b>P-F-T</b>                   |
| <b>III.</b> | <b>Executive Director's Report</b>   | <b>John A. Blackburn, Jr.</b>  |
|             | <b>A.</b> Staff and Program Updates  | <b>Info</b>                    |
|             | <b>B.</b> Budget Update  | <b>Info</b>                    |
|             | <b>C.</b> Legislative Update   | <b>Info</b>                    |
| <b>IV.</b>  | <b>Drug, Gang and Violent Crime Control Program Cycle 30 Grant Awards</b>  | <b>Tony Vidale</b>             |
|             | • Review, discussion, consideration and possible action on FY17 Drug, Gang and Violent Crime Control (Cycle 30) Grant Awards.  | <b>P-F-T</b>                   |
| <b>V.</b>   | <b>ACJC Victim Services Administrative Funds</b>   | <b>Larry Grubbs</b>            |
|             | • Review, discussion, consideration and possible action on designating annual administrative funding to ACJC Victim Services from the Crime Victim Compensation and Assistance Fund. | <b>P-F-T</b>                   |

- VI. Crime Victim Compensation Program** **Larry Grubbs**
- Review, discussion, consideration and possible action on the FY 2017 State and Federal funding allocation to operational units. **P-F-T**
- VII. Crime Victim Assistance Grant Program** **Larry Grubbs**
- Review, discussion, consideration and possible action on FY 2017 program funding recommendation. **P-F-T**
- VIII. Hiring Process for the Executive Director Position** **John A. Blackburn, Jr.**
- Review, discussion, consideration and possible action regarding the position of Executive Director including possible selection of the Executive Director. **P-F-T**
- IX. Call to the Public**
- Those wishing to address the Commission need not request permission in advance. Action taken as a result of public comment will be limited to directing staff to study the matter or rescheduling the matter for further consideration and decision at a later date.
- X. Date, Time, and Location of Next Meeting**
- The next Commission meeting will be held on **Thursday, July 14, 2016** at **2:00 p.m.** at Little America Hotel, Flagstaff, AZ.
- XI. Adjournment**

A copy of the agenda background material provided to Commission members is available for public inspection at the Arizona Criminal Justice Commission Office, 1110 West Washington, Suite 230, Phoenix, Arizona 85007, (602) 364-1146. This document is available in alternative formats by contacting the Commission Office.

## ARIZONA CRIMINAL JUSTICE COMMISSION

### Request for Commission Action

---

<b>Action Requested:</b>	<b>Type of Action Requested:</b>	<b>Subject:</b>
May 19, 2016	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Formal Action/Motion <input type="checkbox"/> Information Only <input type="checkbox"/> Other	Minutes of the March 24, 2016 Meeting

---

**TO:** Chairperson and Commission Members

**FROM:** John A. Blackburn, Jr.  
Executive Director

#### **RECOMMENDATION:**

The Commission approve the minutes of the Arizona Criminal Justice Commission meeting held on March 24, 2016.

#### **DISCUSSION:**

N/A

#### **FISCAL IMPACT:**

N/A

#### **ALTERNATIVES:**

Not Approve - Modify - Table

**Arizona Criminal Justice Commission**  
**Minutes**  
**March 24, 2016**

A public meeting of the Arizona Criminal Justice Commission was convened on March 24, 2016, at Arizona Criminal Justice Commission, 1110 W. Washington, Suite 250, Phoenix, AZ 85007.

Members Present:

Sheila Polk, Vice Chairperson, Yavapai County Attorney  
David Byers, Director, Administrative Office of the Courts  
Joseph Arpaio, Maricopa County Sheriff, Pat Lopez representing  
Mark Brnovich, Attorney General, Paul Ahler representing  
Joe Brugman, Chief, Safford Police Department, by conference call  
K.C. Clark, Navajo County Sheriff  
Dave Cole, Retired Judge  
Chris Gibbs, Mayor, City of Safford, by conference call  
Drew John, Graham County Supervisor  
Ellen Kirschbaum, Chairperson, Board of Executive Clemency  
Barbara LaWall, Pima County Attorney, Kathleen Mayer representing  
Frank Milstead, Director, Department of Public Safety  
Bill Montgomery, Maricopa County Attorney  
Charles Ryan, Director, Department of Corrections  
Daniel Sharp, Chief, Oro Valley Police Department  
David Sanders, Pima County Chief Probation Officer, Carl Sheets representing by conference call

Members Absent:

Sean Duggan, Chairperson, Chief, Chandler Police Department  
Heston Silbert, Law Enforcement Leader

Staff Participating:

John A. Blackburn, Jr., Executive Director  
Karen Ziegler, Deputy Director  
Andy LeFevre, Public Information Officer  
Larry Grubbs, Program Manager  
Tony Vidale, Program Manager  
Wendy Boyle, Executive Secretary

**I. Call to Order and Roll Call**

The meeting was called to order by Vice Chairperson Sheila Polk at 1:30 p.m. Roll was taken and a quorum was declared present. Vice Chairperson Polk welcomed Chief Daniel Sharp, Oro Valley Police Department and Dave Cole, retired judge as newly appointed commissioners.

**II. Minutes of the January 21, 2016 Meeting**

Vice Chairperson Polk called for a motion on the minutes. Commissioner Drew John entered a motion to approve the minutes of the meeting held on January 21, 2016. The motion was seconded by Designee Kathleen Mayer and was unanimously approved by the Commission.

**III. Executive Director's Report**

**A. Staff and Program Updates**

Executive Director Blackburn reported on the issue of staff retention. ACJC is one of the top agencies in the state to work for and consistently ranks highest in employee satisfaction surveys; however, it is a struggle to keep pace with the salaries among other employers. ACJC management has given numerous raises over the past years; yet falls significantly short in competitive wage ranges. Staff will explore available options to retain employees. The Accountant III position is vacant, and a staff auditor will be leaving in April. A temporary service is providing an accountant, and the auditor position would be filled later. There will also be a vacant grant coordinator position in the Systems Improvement Program, and staff will begin the hiring process.

Executive Director Blackburn welcomed two new staff members to the Commission. For the Crime Victims Program, Dorinda Johns was hired as Grant Coordinator and Jaime Watson as the Program Project Specialist replacing Teri Barnes, who will be retiring in June.

Information about the Law Enforcement Pow Wow will be sent out in mid-April. Lastly, the performance audit for ACJC should be in a draft form by May 10. ACJC staff has been in contact with the Auditor General's office receiving a few suggestions on improving our processes and meeting statutory requirements. Staff will present the information to the Commissioners in May.

#### **B. Budget Update**

Deputy Director Karen Ziegler informed the Commission about the two budget requests included in the Governor's FY 2017 budget proposal; the Arizona Prevention Resource Center funds, and the Victim Compensation and Assistance funding increase. Andy LeFevre, Public Information Officer, will continue to monitor progress as the budget approval process moves through the legislature.

Deputy Director Ziegler updated the current FY16 revenues for the Drug Enforcement Account (DEA) and the Criminal Justice Enhancement Fund (CJEF). The DEA fund which supports the drug program is up two points over last year; however, it is below pre-recession levels. CJEF is down five percent from last year.

Deputy Director Ziegler provided clarification on what occurred with the January CJEF deposits which were below the previous month by sixty-five percent. Staff contacted the state treasurer's office and discovered all the monthly deposits coming in from the courts were not able to be processed before month-end close. The state treasurer's office assured staff that the deposits would be credited in February. The steep decline in January was because of an accounting delay not a change in the CJEF formula.

#### **C. Legislative Update**

Andy LeFevre, Public Information Officer, reported there were 1,219 bills introduced in the state legislature; 396 still have the potential of becoming law. 73 bills are waiting for Governor Ducey's signature. Staff will continue to monitor approximately 190 bills that had an impact on Title 13.

Mr. LeFevre stated that ACJC has three priority bills. First, SB 1210, allows flexibility in how ACJC's Statistical Analysis Center (SAC) can utilize the Arizona Prevention Resource Center funds. It was unanimously approved by the House. HB 2154 is the fingerprinting bill; designating the county sheriff as the booking agency and clarifies the failure to appear statute, has passed the committee. SB 1211 cleared the committee and is waiting to be put on the floor agenda. This is the Victim Compensation and Assistance Fund allocation bill that will remove the 50 percent allocation cap set in statute for ACJC eligible members. Staff will continue to monitor the bills that have an impact on our agency.

The Executive Director's report was presented for informational purposes and did not require Commission action.

#### **IV. Hiring Process of the Executive Director Position**

Executive Director Blackburn informed the Commission of his retirement date of July 1, 2016. The current Commission Chairperson, Vice Chairperson, the Chairpersons of the standing committees, plus the two previous Commission Chairpersons all have agreed to serve on the hiring committee for the new Executive Director. Commissioner Daniel Sharp agreed to serve as the Chairperson of the committee. The Commission was directed to the proposed hiring timeline in the agenda. Commissioner Daniel Sharp stated the hiring timeline, benefits, and salary should be discussed in Executive Session. Vice Chairperson Polk suggested proceeding with the remaining agenda items first, then move into Executive Session.

The item was presented for informational purposes and did not require Commission action.

#### **V. Legislative Proposal**

Andy LeFevre, Public Information Officer, presented information on HB 2088, which is currently waiting for Senate floor action. It would place restrictions on survey topics, data collection, and questions asked of students; plus, require active parental consent for each student's participation. The provision would have a considerable negative impact on ACJC's ability to implement the Arizona Youth Survey (AYS). Currently AYS has a passive consent. If parents do not want their student to participate they must opt out.

Mr. LeFevre stated he is working with several members of the Senate, hoping to offer an amendment to exempt the AYS from the required informed parental consent notification. Mr. LeFevre asked the Commission for direction so he can either support or oppose this issue at the legislature.

Designee Jerry Landau entered a motion to oppose HB 2088; however, to change ACJC's position to neutral should an amendment exempting the AYS be attached to the bill. The motion was seconded by Commissioner Bill Montgomery.

There was a discussion if the motion, as worded, would allow Mr. LeFevre to actively support the amendment.

Designee Jerry Landau amended the motion that the Commission will actively support and urge an amendment to HB 2088 to remove the AYS restriction from the bill. The motion was seconded by Commissioner Bill Montgomery and was unanimously approved by the Commission.

## **VI. FY 2017 Drug, Gang, and Violent Crime Control Grant Funding Reduction**

Tony Vidale, Program Manager, presented information to the Commission about the current funding status for the Drug, Gang, and Violent Crime Control Grant Program and identified options to address the reduction in funding for FY 2017.

Mr. Vidale began by addressing the significant reduction of the Drug, Gang, and Violent Crime Control Grant Program. The program will experience a \$1.2M reduction in FY 2017 from the FY 2016 grant year, making the total program funding size \$9.13M, which is an 11.3 percent decline. This is the lowest program size since FY 1995, and the seventh consecutive year of reduced funding since 2010. The contributions to the Drug, Gang, and Violent Crime Control Grant Program for FY 2017 consist of \$3.12M in Byrne/JAG funds; \$3.75M in state DEA funds; and \$2.26M in local matching funds. The current allocation methodology allocates available funding across the approved projects by prioritizing salary, ERE, and overtime expenditures; providing some funding to all purposes areas; and funding at least one (1) project in every county.

Mr. Vidale pointed out that for FY 2017, the larger projects will experience the greatest dollar reductions, because, staff must maintain a statutory allocation cap for the Drug Enforcement Account (DEA). This requires no more than 50 percent allocation to programs in law enforcement, 50 percent to prosecution and 30 percent to court programs.

Mr. Vidale presented several options for allocating the funding reduction. One option would be to cap salary and/or ERE; this would spread funding more evenly among full-time (FTE) positions; another option would be to fund only specific line items such as salary, ERE, and overtime; or, fund specific purpose areas like apprehension and prosecution activities. Also, an option could be to eliminate projects. This option would require establishing criteria for not recommending certain projects. The final option would be to allocate less funding to counties receiving HIDTA funding; however, the impact on projects is unknown because HIDTA-funded projects and line items may be different from ACJC-funded projects and line items.

Mr. Vidale stated the Drug, Gang, and Violent Crime Control Committee approved the funding options to allocate funding for the FY 2017 Drug, Gang, and Violent Crime Control Grant Program by the current allocation method for approved projects; prioritize core position types, provides funding to all purpose areas and provides funding projects in all counties; plus, caps salary and/or ERE, and specifically funds only the personnel services, ERE, and overtime.

There was a discussion on the option of allocating less funding to counties receiving HIDTA funding; specifically, the funded line items that may be different, requiring coordination of both agencies. Mr. Vidale stated that positions were not double-funded by both HIDTA and ACJC. Also, since funding is for different positions, HIDTA would not be able to backfill any reductions from the ACJC grant.

Vice Chairperson Polk had concerns that smaller agencies may have a difficult time bridging the difference between the cap with salary and ERE expenses. The cap would have an impact on agency resources. Staff was asked to consider looking at the calculated averages by position type, because there is a difference in job costs, for example; salary and ERE differ between a lieutenant and detective on the drug task force.

After review and discussion, Commissioner Daniel Sharp entered a motion to have staff analyze the performance of grantees and include it when formulating an allocation recommendation for the FY 2017 Drug, Gang, and Violent Control Program Grant Program at the Commission meeting in May. The motion was seconded by Designee Kathleen Mayer and was unanimously approved by the Commission.

## **VII. Crime Victim Compensation Program**

### **A. FY16 Funding Reallocation**

Larry Grubbs, Program Manager, presented the FY16 Crime Victim Compensation Funding Reallocation of \$80,200 to Coconino, Mohave and Pinal counties identified in Table VS-1 on page 19 of the agenda. The purpose of the reallocation is to make state compensation dollars available to counties with a higher demand for funds, and who can expend the additional compensation funds by the end of the fiscal year. Staff continuously monitors and reviews county compensation program expenditures, as well as available restitution and subrogation balances for the county programs.

Designee Kathleen Mayer entered a motion to approve the reallocation compensation funds for the current FY16 to the three counties identified using the fund sources outlined in Table VS-1 on page 19 of the agenda. The motion was seconded by Commissioner Charles Ryan and was unanimously approved by the Commission.

### **B. FY17 State and Federal Funding Amount**

Larry Grubbs, Program Manager, presented the recommendation from the Crime Victims Committee that the total state and federal funding for the FY17 Crime Victim Compensation Program be set at \$3,952,750. The total includes \$2,669,400 in state compensation funds and \$1,283,350 in federal VOCA compensation funds.

Mr. Grubbs emphasized the recommended amount includes a \$125,800 appropriation increase subject to legislative approval. The recommended program size is the maximum amount allowable, under the legislative appropriation, between the victim assistance and victim compensation programs.

Commissioner Bill Montgomery entered a motion to approve the total state and federal funding for the FY17 Crime Victim Compensation Program be set at \$3,952,750 and expended in accordance with the budget shown in Table VS-2 on page 21 of the agenda. The motion was seconded by Commissioner Daniel Sharp and was unanimously approved by the Commission.

### **C. FY17 Designation of Operational Units**

Larry Grubbs, Program Manager, presented the recommendation from the Crime Victims Committee that each of the 15 County Attorney's Offices be designated as operational units for the FY17 Crime Victim Compensation Program.

Mr. Grubbs explained in compliance with compensation program rule R10-4-104(A), all 15 County Attorney's Offices are requesting designation as operational units for FY17.

Commissioner Daniel Sharp entered a motion to approve the designation of the 15 County Attorney's Offices as the operational units for the FY17 Crime Victim Compensation Program. The motion was seconded by Designee Kathleen Mayer and was unanimously approved by the Commission.

### **VIII. Crime Victim Assistance Grant Program**

Larry Grubbs, Program Manager, provided an update on the application process for the FY17 Crime Victim Assistance Grant Program and the significant changes to the funding priorities for FY17.

Mr. Grubbs reviewed the established three-tier funding structure for the FY17 grant cycle. Tier 1 provides an opportunity to currently funded ACJC victim assistance grantees to extend their grant award for one quarter into FY17. The intent is to allow the programs to transition from the ACJC grant to the VOCA assistance grant. Staff received approval from the Department of Administration to waive the competitive grant process for projects that are eligible under Tier I. Currently funded projects can submit a grant adjustment request to ACJC for their FY16 award. This will provide an additional quarter's worth of funding and extend the end of the grant period to the end of September 2016. FY17 VOCA assistance grants will begin October 1, 2016.

Tier 2 would fund projects that are recognized as ineligible projects under the DPS VOCA victim assistance grant program. The approval at the January meeting indicated that the Commission would like to attempt to identify any gaps in funding that exist within the VOCA assistance grant.

Tier 3 is for all other projects that would be eligible for the ACJC victim assistance grant; including currently funded programs and/or new projects that are also eligible for VOCA assistance.

Mr. Grubbs explained under the Tier 1 grant adjustment process; staff has received 13 requests for extensions totaling \$86,700 with a deadline set for April 8. For Tier 2 and Tier 3 applications, the deadline was March 11 with 38 applications submitted. There were 18 applications with requests totaling over \$900,000 for Tier 2 projects, and 20 applications were submitted with requests at \$670,000 for Tier 3 projects.

Mr. Grubbs stated the total approved program size for the FY17 Crime Victim Assistance Program was \$1,444,200, and ACJC received \$1.6M in requests for all three tier programs. The applications are now under review, and will be completed by April 14.

This item was presented for informational purposes and did not require Commission action.

### **IX. Call to the Public**

Vice Chairperson Polk made a call to the public. No members of the audience addressed the Commission.

Vice Chairperson Polk directed the Commission back to Agenda Item IV. At 2:46 p.m., Commissioner Daniel Sharp entered a motion to move into Executive Session to receive legal advice regarding the hiring process for the Executive Director position. The motion was seconded by Designee Jerry Landau and was unanimously approved by the Commission. The open public meeting of the Commission reconvened at 2:52 p.m.

### **X. Date, Time, and Location of Next Meeting**

The next Arizona Criminal Justice Commission meeting will be held on **Thursday, May 19, 2016**, at the Arizona Criminal Justice Commission, 1110 W. Washington, Suite 250, Phoenix, AZ 85007.

### **XI. Adjournment**

The meeting was adjourned at 2:54 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,



John A. Blackburn, Jr.  
Executive Director

Audio recording is available upon request.

## ARIZONA CRIMINAL JUSTICE COMMISSION

### Request for Commission Action

---

<b>Action Requested:</b>	<b>Type of Action Requested:</b>	<b>Subject:</b>
May 19, 2016	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Formal Action/Motion <input type="checkbox"/> Information Only <input type="checkbox"/> Other	Minutes of the April 6, 2016 Meeting

---

**TO:** Chairperson and Commission Members

**FROM:** John A. Blackburn, Jr.  
Executive Director

#### **RECOMMENDATION:**

The Commission approve the minutes of the Arizona Criminal Justice Commission meeting held on April 6, 2016.

#### **DISCUSSION:**

N/A

#### **FISCAL IMPACT:**

N/A

#### **ALTERNATIVES:**

Not Approve - Modify - Table

**Arizona Criminal Justice Commission  
Minutes  
April 6, 2016**

A public meeting of the Arizona Criminal Justice Commission was convened on April 6, 2016 at Arizona Criminal Justice Commission, 1110 W. Washington, Suite 230, Phoenix, AZ 85007.

Members Present:

Sean Duggan, Chairperson, Chief, Chandler Police Department, by conference call  
Sheila Polk, Vice Chairperson, Yavapai County Attorney, by conference call  
David Byers, Director, Administrative Office of the Courts, by conference call  
Mark Brnovich, Attorney General, Paul Ahler representing by conference call  
Joe Brugman, Chief, Safford Police Department, by conference call  
K.C. Clark, Navajo County Sheriff, by conference call  
Dave Cole, Retired Judge, by conference call  
Ellen Kirschbaum, Chairperson, Board of Executive Clemency, by conference call  
Barbara LaWall, Pima County Attorney, Kathleen Mayer representing  
Bill Montgomery, Maricopa County Attorney, by conference call  
Daniel Sharp, Chief, Oro Valley Police Department, by conference call  
David Sanders, Pima County Chief Probation Officer, Carl Sheets representing by conference call

Members Absent:

Joseph Arpaio, Maricopa County Sheriff  
Heston Silbert, Law Enforcement Leader  
Charles Ryan, Director, Department of Corrections  
Chris Gibbs, Mayor, City of Safford  
Drew John, Graham County Supervisor  
Frank Milstead, Director, Department of Public Safety

Staff Participating:

John A. Blackburn, Jr., Executive Director  
Karen Ziegler, Deputy Director  
Wendy Dolan, Human Resource Manager  
Wendy Boyle, Executive Secretary

**I. Call to Order and Roll Call**

The meeting was called to order by Chairperson Sean Duggan at 1:40 p.m. Roll was taken, and a quorum was declared present.

**II. Hiring Process and Salary Range of the Executive Director Position**

Executive Director Blackburn reminded the Commission that he would retire from State Service effective July 1, 2016. Executive Director Blackburn explained the need to determine the hiring process for the new Executive Director and discuss the salary range. Chairperson Duggan asked the Commission if there were any questions.

At 1:42 p.m., Commissioner Daniel Sharp entered a motion to move to Executive Session to discuss the salary range and the hiring process for the new Executive Director position. The motion was seconded by Commissioner Joe Brugman and was unanimously approved by the Commission. The open public meeting of the Commission reconvened at 1:50 p.m.

Chairperson Duggan asked for a motion regarding the direction to take for the Executive Director position. Commissioner Daniel Sharp entered a motion to direct staff to work with the Arizona Department of Administration to post an internal job announcement and to set up an interview process as schedules permit for the Hiring Committee. The motion was seconded by Designee Kathleen Mayer and was unanimously approved by the Commission.

Chairperson Duggan asked for a motion addressing the salary for the Executive Director position. Designee Kathleen Mayer entered a motion to approve the salary of \$120,000 for the Executive Director's position. The motion was seconded by Commissioner Daniel Sharp and was unanimously approved by the Commission.

**III. Call to the Public**

Chairperson Duggan made a call to the public. No members of the audience addressed the Commission.

**IV. Date, Time, and Location of Next Meeting**

The next Arizona Criminal Justice Commission meeting will be held on **Thursday, May 19, 2016**, at the Arizona Criminal Justice Commission, 1110 W. Washington, Suite 250, Phoenix, AZ 85007.

**V. Adjournment**

The meeting was adjourned at 1:50 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "John A. Blackburn, Jr.", written in a cursive style.

John A. Blackburn, Jr.  
Executive Director

Audio recording is available upon request.

## ARIZONA CRIMINAL JUSTICE COMMISSION

### Request for Commission Action

---

<b>Action Requested:</b>	<b>Type of Action Requested:</b>	<b>Subject:</b>
May 19, 2016	<input type="checkbox"/> Formal Action/Motion <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Only <input type="checkbox"/> Other	Executive Director's Report

---

**TO:** Chairperson and Commission Members

**FROM:** John A. Blackburn, Jr.  
Executive Director

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Information Only

**DISCUSSION:**

Executive Director Blackburn will update the Commission on the staff and staff activities.

**FISCAL IMPACT:**

N/A

**ALTERNATIVES:**

N/A

## ARIZONA CRIMINAL JUSTICE COMMISSION

### Request for Commission Action

---

<b>Action Requested:</b>	<b>Type of Action Requested:</b>	<b>Subject:</b>
May 19, 2016	<input type="checkbox"/> Formal Action/Motion <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Only <input type="checkbox"/> Other	Executive Director's Report

---

**TO:** Chairperson and Commission Members

**FROM:** John A. Blackburn, Jr.  
Executive Director

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Information Only

**DISCUSSION:**

Executive Director Blackburn will update the Commission on the state and federal budget.

**FISCAL IMPACT:**

N/A

**ALTERNATIVES:**

N/A

## ARIZONA CRIMINAL JUSTICE COMMISSION

### Request for Commission Action

---

<b>Action Requested:</b>	<b>Type of Action Requested:</b>	<b>Subject:</b>
May 19, 2016	<input type="checkbox"/> Formal Action/Motion <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Only <input type="checkbox"/> Other	Executive Director's Report

---

**TO:** Chairperson and Commission Members

**FROM:** John A. Blackburn, Jr.  
Executive Director

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Information Only

**DISCUSSION:**

Executive Director Blackburn will update the Commission on legislative issues.

**FISCAL IMPACT:**

N/A

**ALTERNATIVES:**

N/A

## ARIZONA CRIMINAL JUSTICE COMMISSION

### Request for Commission Action

---

<b>Action Requested:</b>	<b>Type of Action Requested:</b>	<b>Subject:</b>
May 19, 2016	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Formal Action/Motion <input type="checkbox"/> Information Only <input type="checkbox"/> Other	Drug, Gang and Violent Crime Control Program Cycle 30 Grant Awards

---

**TO:** Chairperson and Commission Members

**FROM:** Tony Vidale, Program Manager  
Drug, Gang and Violent Crime Control

#### **RECOMMENDATION:**

The Commission award Arizona Drug, Gang and Violent Crime Control Cycle 30 grant funds to eligible criminal justice agencies for the period beginning July 1, 2016 and ending June 30, 2017, except the Attorney General's Office Medicaid Fraud project, which will be funded from October 1, 2016 and end September 30, 2017.

#### **DISCUSSION:**

See attached.

The Drug, Gang and Violent Crime Control Committee will meet and make a recommendation to the Commission.

#### **FISCAL IMPACT:**

N/A

#### **ALTERNATIVES:**

Not Approve - Modify - Table

## **Program Background**

The Drug, Gang, and Violent Crime Control Program (DC) allows state, county, local and tribal governments to support activities that combat drugs, gangs, and violent crime. The DC program provides funding to support the components of a statewide, system-wide enhanced drug, gang, and violent crime control program as stated in the *2016-2019 Arizona Drug, Gang, and Violent Crime Control Strategy*. The strategy includes the following two goals for the foundation and direction of the program:

- *Curtail the flow of illicit drugs, drug proceeds and instruments used to perpetuate violence across Arizona.*
- *Reduce violent crime and illicit drug use and deter repeat offenders in Arizona.*

The strategy also outlines purpose areas as a guide to funding projects meant to address the drug, gang, and violent crime problem in the state. These purpose areas are as follows:

- Apprehension
- Prosecution
- Forensic Support Services
- Adjudication & Sentencing
- Corrections & Community Corrections
- Substance Abuse Treatment for Corrections-Involved Individuals
- Prevention and Education

In FY 2016, grant awards were made in the amount of \$10.3 million. These funds were awarded to projects addressing apprehension of drug offenders, prosecution of drug offenses, statewide forfeiture activities, forensic support of task forces, and drug adjudication projects. Table ***DC 1*** on page 18 shows a summary of the FY 2016 grant awards, the FY 2017 grant requests made by applicants, and the FY 2017 proposed projects.

## **Funding**

The program is supported by several funding streams to successfully carry out the statewide strategy. The Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant (Byrne/JAG) funds awarded to Arizona by the U.S. Department of Justice, Bureau of Justice Assistance (DOJ/BJA) continue to support program activities along with state Drug and Gang Enforcement Account (DEA) funds established under A.R.S. §41-2402, and matching funds when approved by the Commission. At its January 2014 meeting, the Commission approved implementing a match requirement of 25 percent in response to reductions in overall program revenue.

In FY 2016, \$10.3 million was allocated to grant projects. This amount was comprised of \$3.5 million in Byrne/JAG funds, \$4.2 million in DEA funds, and \$2.6 million in matching funds.

For FY 2017, staff is proposing a program size of \$9,138,442. Of this amount, \$3,128,540 is from the federal Byrne/JAG grant, \$3,750,000 is from the state DEA fund, and \$2,259,902 is from matching funds. The FY 2017 program size represents a decrease of \$(1,166,655) from the FY 2016 program size. Table ***DC 2*** summarizes the change in program size, by fund source, for FY 2016 and FY 2017 recommended.

<b>DC 2 Program Size FY 2016 – FY 2017</b>			
	<b>FY 2016</b>	<b>FY 2017 (Recommended)</b>	<b>Change</b>
Program Size	\$ 10,305,097	\$ 9,138,442	\$ (1,166,655)
Fund Source:			
Byrne/JAG	\$ 3,529,362	\$ 3,128,540	\$ (400,822)
DEA Fund	\$ 4,224,663	\$ 3,750,000	\$ (474,663)
Match Funds	\$ 2,551,072	\$ 2,259,902	\$ (291,170)
Total	\$ 10,305,097	\$ 9,138,442	\$ (1,166,655)

### **Applications Received**

The solicitation for the Drug, Gang and Violent Crime Control Program opened February 22, 2016 and closed March 18, 2016. Thirty-six applications were received requesting a total of \$13,926,109. Of the 36 applications, 15 were apprehension projects (Tier 1), 17 were prosecution projects (Tier 1), one was a statewide forfeiture project (Tier 1), two were forensic support projects (Tier 2), and one was a drug adjudication project (Tier 2). Table **DC 3** on page 19 displays the grant request for each eligible applicant broken out by priority area and expenditure type.

### **Evaluation and Scoring**

Eligible applications were reviewed by an evaluation team consisting of ACJC staff and external reviewers. The projects were scored based on the criteria published in the solicitation with an emphasis on the goals of the statewide strategy. In addition, the solicitation emphasized that personnel expenditures would be prioritized in the budget recommendation allocation.

### **Recommendation**

Staff recommends the funding allocation according to Table **DC 4** on page 20. Under the proposal, \$3,287,429 would be allocated to tier one apprehension projects, \$3,329,208 for tier one prosecution projects, \$677,652 for a tier one statewide forfeiture project, \$433,556 for tier two forensic support projects and \$1,410,597 for tier two drug adjudication projects. The total grant project amount is comprised of \$6,878,540 in grant funds and \$2,259,902 in match funds. All of the funding would support personnel costs for grant projects, allocating \$6,197,399 in personal services, \$2,545,661 in employee related expenditures, and \$395,382 in overtime expenditures.

For applicants scored as eligible, all expenditure types were analyzed by staff and allocated based on the needs and best interests of the grant program in meeting the statewide strategy. The following guidelines were used by staff to build the recommendation:

- Prioritize previously funded projects that demonstrate effectiveness.
- Maintain as much balance funding as possible between tier one apprehension and prosecution projects.
- Fund at least one tier one apprehension project and prosecution project in each county.

- Provide some level of funding to tier two projects impacted by apprehension and prosecution activities.
- Prioritize funding core operations positions. Core operations positions are those considered most critical in meeting the purposes of the strategy (i.e. task force officers, attorneys, criminalists).
- Fund a position and the associated ERE at the current costs as reported by the agency in the application.
- As a priority, fund personal services, ERE, and overtime before considering any other budget category.
- Avoid funding multiple support-type positions for any project until core position needs are met.
- Caps were also implemented and applied to personal services and employee related expenditures to spread costs more evenly among projects. Average costs were calculated based on position type and rank or level.

In addition to information provided in each application, staff considered other factors to determine allocations to individual projects. These factors included performance based variables such as benchmarking factors and grant administration. Staff also considered data related to the illicit drug environment existing in each county to support the continued need for grant resources, specifically public health data related to drug use, Arizona Youth Survey data, and drug arrest data reported by the Department of Public Safety.

The recommendation for the Attorney General's Office Medicaid Fraud project would require the grant period to run from October 1, 2016 to September 30, 2017. This project would provide matching funds for a federal grant and the grant period needs to run concurrent to the federal fiscal year. All other projects would have a grant period of July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017.

The recommendation would comply with the provision in A.R.S. § 41-2402 that limits distribution of monies in the Drug and Gang Enforcement Account up to 50 percent for law enforcement agencies, up to 50 percent for prosecution programs/agencies, up to 30 percent for court programs/agencies, up to 30 percent for corrections agencies, and up to 30 percent for criminal justice records integration.

Table **DC 5** on page 21 shows the FY 2016 awards and the FY 2017 recommended awards, broken out by county.

<b>DC 1 Summary of FY 2017 Grant Requests &amp; Recommended Awards</b>				
<b>APPLICANT AGENCY</b>	<b>FY16 Grant Awards</b>	<b>FY17 Grant Request</b>	<b>FY17 Proposed Grant Awards</b>	<b>Distribution % (A.R.S. § 41-2402)</b>
<b>Tier 1 Apprehension</b>				
Apache County SO	\$ 236,316	\$ 395,648	\$ 225,276	
Cochise County SO	131,197	207,566	124,240	
Flagstaff PD	291,660	381,547	280,507	
Gila County SO	336,917	708,778	318,376	
Graham County SO	28,429	35,012	26,933	
Greenlee County SO	32,000	72,000	30,400	
Kingman PD	345,254	415,769	332,011	
La Paz County SO	67,914	393,141	67,058	
Navajo County SO	241,425	492,346	234,416	
Pinal County SO	147,520	182,158	142,928	
Prescott Valley PD	408,756	-	-	
Salt River Pima Maricopa PD	-	176,200	-	
Santa Cruz County SO	169,526	169,660	158,098	
Tucson PD	756,637	1,399,012	719,072	
Yavapai SO	-	452,523	390,988	
Yuma SO	245,597	254,038	237,126	
<b>Sub-Total</b>	<b>\$ 3,439,148</b>	<b>\$ 5,735,398</b>	<b>\$ 3,287,429</b>	<b>36.0%</b>
<b>Tier 1 Prosecution</b>				
AG's Office - Medicaid Fraud	\$ 100,816	\$ 138,386	\$ 98,827	
Apache County Attorney	90,469	107,866	83,064	
Cochise County Attorney	157,305	240,845	151,772	
Coconino County Attorney	135,988	143,692	130,625	
Gila County Attorney	63,656	79,326	59,495	
Graham County Attorney	51,188	100,000	50,000	
Greenlee County Attorney	34,500	34,500	34,500	
La Paz County Attorney	71,499	127,862	66,480	
Maricopa County Attorney	1,377,099	1,221,983	1,097,617	
Mohave County Attorney	154,171	397,470	149,925	
Navajo County Attorney	112,450	252,281	110,661	
Pima County Attorney	515,145	495,882	421,915	
Pinal County Attorney	189,749	230,442	176,676	
Santa Cruz County Attorney	49,014	103,629	46,633	
Tucson City Attorney	315,018	302,753	269,092	
Yavapai County Attorney	127,004	175,257	123,011	
Yuma County Attorney	270,589	276,868	258,915	
<b>Sub-Total</b>	<b>\$ 3,815,660</b>	<b>\$ 4,429,042</b>	<b>\$ 3,329,208</b>	<b>36.4%</b>
<b>Tier 1 Prosecution - Statewide Forfeiture Activities</b>				
Attorney General's Office	\$ 869,400	\$ 1,144,780	\$ 677,652	
<b>Sub-Total</b>	<b>\$ 869,400</b>	<b>\$ 1,144,780</b>	<b>\$ 677,652</b>	<b>7.4%</b>
<b>Tier 2 Forensic Support</b>				
Arizona Department of Public Safety	\$ 445,265	\$ 572,608	\$ 382,223	
Tucson PD - Forensics	58,078	86,662	51,333	
<b>Sub-Total</b>	<b>\$ 503,343</b>	<b>\$ 659,270</b>	<b>\$ 433,556</b>	<b>4.7%</b>
<b>Tier 2 Drug Adjudication and Corrections</b>				
Administrative Office of the Courts	\$ 1,677,546	\$ 1,957,619	\$ 1,410,597	
<b>Sub-Total</b>	<b>\$ 1,677,546</b>	<b>\$ 1,957,619</b>	<b>\$ 1,410,597</b>	<b>15.4%</b>
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$ 10,305,097</b>	<b>\$ 13,926,109</b>	<b>\$ 9,138,442</b>	<b>100%</b>

DC 3 FY 2017 Drug, Gang, & Violent Crime Control Grant Requests								
Applicant Agency	Salary	Overtime	ERE	P & O Services	In-State Travel	Operating Expense	Equipment	Total FY17 Requested
<b>Tier 1 Apprehension</b>								
Apache County SO	\$ 228,535	\$ 15,130	\$ 151,983	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 395,648
Cochise County SO	-	207,566	-	-	-	-	-	207,566
Flagstaff PD	223,444	53,298	88,425	-	-	16,380	-	381,547
Gila County SO	422,320	-	286,458	-	-	-	-	708,778
Graham County SO	-	35,012	-	-	-	-	-	35,012
Greenlee County SO	-	72,000	-	-	-	-	-	72,000
Kingman PD	252,268	-	163,501	-	-	-	-	415,769
La Paz County SO	257,210	-	135,931	-	-	-	-	393,141
Navajo County SO	299,138	-	193,208	-	-	-	-	492,346
Pinal County SO	48,133	94,941	39,084	-	-	-	-	182,158
Prescott Valley PD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0
Salt River Pima Maricopa PD	-	-	-	-	-	-	176,200	176,200
Santa Cruz County SO	-	169,660	-	-	-	-	-	169,660
Tucson PD	821,101	-	577,911	-	-	-	-	1,399,012
Yavapai SO	303,115	-	149,408	-	-	-	-	452,523
Yuma SO	171,044	-	82,994	-	-	-	-	254,038
<b>SUB-TOTAL</b>	<b>\$ 3,026,308</b>	<b>\$ 647,607</b>	<b>\$ 1,868,903</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ 16,380</b>	<b>\$ 176,200</b>	<b>\$ 5,735,398</b>
<b>Tier 1 Prosecution</b>								
AG's Office - Medicaid Fraud	\$ 69,418	\$ -	\$ 68,968	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 138,386
Apache County Attorney	82,930	-	24,936	-	-	-	-	107,866
Cochise County Attorney	186,014	-	54,831	-	-	-	-	240,845
Coconino County Attorney	110,532	-	33,160	-	-	-	-	143,692
Gila County Attorney	60,050	-	19,276	-	-	-	-	79,326
Graham County Attorney	75,188	-	24,812	-	-	-	-	100,000
Greenlee County Attorney	27,600	-	6,900	-	-	-	-	34,500
La Paz County Attorney	93,330	-	34,532	-	-	-	-	127,862
Maricopa County Attorney	903,344	-	313,503	-	-	-	5,136	1,221,983
Mohave County Attorney	277,951	-	119,519	-	-	-	-	397,470
Navajo County Attorney	183,755	-	66,133	-	2,393	-	-	252,281
Pima County Attorney	374,715	-	121,167	-	-	-	-	495,882
Pinal County Attorney	180,283	-	50,159	-	-	-	-	230,442
Santa Cruz County Attorney	81,598	-	22,031	-	-	-	-	103,629
Tucson City Attorney	201,567	-	101,186	-	-	-	-	302,753
Yavapai County Attorney	132,686	-	42,571	-	-	-	-	175,257
Yuma County Attorney	193,944	-	82,924	-	-	-	-	276,868
<b>SUB-TOTAL</b>	<b>\$ 3,234,905</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ 1,186,608</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ 2,393</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ 5,136</b>	<b>\$ 4,429,042</b>
<b>Tier 1 Prosecution - Statewide Forfeiture Activities</b>								
Attorney General's Office	\$ 670,928	\$ -	\$ 327,382	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 146,470	\$ -	\$ 1,144,780
<b>SUB-TOTAL</b>	<b>\$ 670,928</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ 327,382</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ 146,470</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ 1,144,780</b>
<b>Tier 2 Forensic Support</b>								
Arizona Department of Public Safety	\$ 437,105	\$ -	\$ 135,503	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 572,608
Tucson PD - Forensics	54,725	-	31,937	-	-	-	-	86,662
<b>SUB-TOTAL</b>	<b>\$ 491,830</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ 167,440</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ 659,270</b>
<b>Tier 2 Drug Adjudication and Corrections</b>								
Administrative Office of the Courts	\$ 1,381,352	\$ -	\$ 576,267	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,957,619
<b>SUB-TOTAL</b>	<b>\$ 1,381,352</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ 576,267</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ 1,957,619</b>
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$ 8,805,323</b>	<b>\$ 647,607</b>	<b>\$ 4,126,600</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ 2,393</b>	<b>\$ 162,850</b>	<b>\$ 181,336</b>	<b>\$ 13,926,109</b>

## DC 4

## FY 2017 Drug, Gang, &amp; Violent Crime Control Grant Recommended Awards

Applicant Agency	Personal Services	Overtime	ERE	Total Proposed Project	Federal & State Funds	Match Funds
<b>Tier 1 Apprehension</b>						
Apache County SO	\$ 141,838	\$ -	\$ 83,438	\$ 225,276	\$ 168,957	\$ 56,319
Cochise County SO	-	124,240	-	124,240	93,180	31,060
Flagstaff PD	197,796	-	82,711	280,507	210,380	70,127
Gila County SO	196,396	-	121,980	318,376	238,782	79,594
Graham County SO	-	26,933	-	26,933	20,200	6,733
Greenlee County SO	-	30,400	-	30,400	22,800	7,600
Kingman PD	205,730	-	126,281	332,011	249,008	83,003
La Paz County SO	44,117	-	22,941	67,058	50,294	16,764
Navajo County SO	153,225	-	81,191	234,416	175,812	58,604
Pinal County SO	48,133	55,711	39,084	142,928	107,196	35,732
Prescott Valley PD	-	-	-	-	-	-
Salt River Pima Maricopa PD	-	-	-	-	-	-
Santa Cruz County SO	-	158,098	-	158,098	118,574	39,524
Tucson PD	445,837	-	273,235	719,072	539,304	179,768
Yavapai SO	272,095	-	118,893	390,988	293,241	97,747
Yuma SO	157,934	-	79,192	237,126	177,845	59,281
<b>SUB-TOTAL</b>	<b>\$ 1,863,101</b>	<b>\$ 395,382</b>	<b>\$ 1,028,946</b>	<b>\$ 3,287,429</b>	<b>\$ 2,465,573</b>	<b>\$ 821,856</b>
<b>Tier 1 Prosecution</b>						
AG's Office - Medicaid Fraud	\$ 52,418	\$ -	\$ 46,409	\$ 98,827	\$ 98,827	\$ -
Apache County Attorney	64,953	-	18,111	83,064	62,298	20,766
Cochise County Attorney	118,845	-	32,927	151,772	113,829	37,943
Coconino County Attorney	100,481	-	30,144	130,625	97,969	32,656
Gila County Attorney	45,038	-	14,457	59,495	44,621	14,874
Graham County Attorney	37,594	-	12,406	50,000	37,500	12,500
Greenlee County Attorney	27,600	-	6,900	34,500	25,875	8,625
La Paz County Attorney	49,798	-	16,682	66,480	49,860	16,620
Maricopa County Attorney	826,405	-	271,212	1,097,617	823,213	274,404
Mohave County Attorney	112,304	-	37,621	149,925	112,444	37,481
Navajo County Attorney	82,960	-	27,701	110,661	82,996	27,665
Pima County Attorney	326,055	-	95,860	421,915	316,436	105,479
Pinal County Attorney	136,754	-	39,922	176,676	132,507	44,169
Santa Cruz County Attorney	36,719	-	9,914	46,633	34,975	11,658
Tucson City Attorney	201,567	-	67,525	269,092	201,819	67,273
Yavapai County Attorney	96,108	-	26,903	123,011	92,258	30,753
Yuma County Attorney	193,944	-	64,971	258,915	194,186	64,729
<b>SUB-TOTAL</b>	<b>\$ 2,509,543</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ 819,665</b>	<b>\$ 3,329,208</b>	<b>\$ 2,521,613</b>	<b>\$ 807,595</b>
<b>Tier 1 Prosecution - Statewide Forfeiture Activities</b>						
Attorney General's Office	\$ 499,728	\$ -	\$ 177,924	\$ 677,652	\$ 508,239	\$ 169,413
<b>SUB-TOTAL</b>	<b>\$ 499,728</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ 177,924</b>	<b>\$ 677,652</b>	<b>\$ 508,239</b>	<b>\$ 169,413</b>
<b>Tier 2 Forensic Support</b>						
Arizona Department of Public Safety	\$ 291,773	\$ -	\$ 90,450	\$ 382,223	\$ 286,667	\$ 95,556
Tucson PD - Forensics	38,308	-	13,025	51,333	38,500	12,833
<b>SUB-TOTAL</b>	<b>\$ 330,081</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ 103,475</b>	<b>\$ 433,556</b>	<b>\$ 325,167</b>	<b>\$ 108,389</b>
<b>Tier 2 Drug Adjudication and Corrections</b>						
Administrative Office of the Courts	\$ 994,946	\$ -	\$ 415,651	\$ 1,410,597	\$ 1,057,948	\$ 352,649
<b>SUB-TOTAL</b>	<b>\$ 994,946</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ 415,651</b>	<b>\$ 1,410,597</b>	<b>\$ 1,057,948</b>	<b>\$ 352,649</b>
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$ 6,197,399</b>	<b>\$ 395,382</b>	<b>\$ 2,545,661</b>	<b>\$ 9,138,442</b>	<b>\$ 6,878,540</b>	<b>\$ 2,259,902</b>

<b>DC 5 FY 2016 Awards and FY 2017 Recommended Awards by County</b>				
<b>County</b>	<b>FY 16 Approved</b>	<b>% Allocation</b>	<b>FY 17 Recommended</b>	<b>% Allocation</b>
<b>Apache County</b>				
Apache County Attorney	\$ 90,469		\$ 83,064	
Apache County Sheriff	\$ 236,316		\$ 225,276	
<i>Total</i>	<i>\$ 326,785</i>	<i>3.17%</i>	<i>\$ 308,340</i>	<i>3.37%</i>
<b>Cochise County</b>				
Cochise County Attorney	\$ 157,305		\$ 151,772	
Cochise County Sheriff	\$ 131,197		\$ 124,240	
<i>Total</i>	<i>\$ 288,502</i>	<i>2.80%</i>	<i>\$ 276,012</i>	<i>3.02%</i>
<b>Coconino County</b>				
Coconino County Attorney	\$ 135,988		\$ 130,625	
Flagstaff Police Department	\$ 291,660		\$ 280,507	
<i>Total</i>	<i>\$ 427,648</i>	<i>4.15%</i>	<i>\$ 411,132</i>	<i>4.50%</i>
<b>Gila County</b>				
Gila County Attorney	\$ 63,656		\$ 59,495	
Gila County Sheriff	\$ 336,917		\$ 318,376	
<i>Total</i>	<i>\$ 400,573</i>	<i>3.89%</i>	<i>\$ 377,871</i>	<i>4.13%</i>
<b>Graham County</b>				
Graham County Attorney	\$ 51,188		\$ 50,000	
Graham County Sheriff	\$ 28,429		\$ 26,933	
<i>Total</i>	<i>\$ 79,617</i>	<i>0.77%</i>	<i>\$ 76,933</i>	<i>0.84%</i>
<b>Greenlee County</b>				
Greenlee County Attorney	\$ 34,500		\$ 34,500	
Greenlee County Sheriff	\$ 32,000		\$ 30,400	
<i>Total</i>	<i>\$ 66,500</i>	<i>0.65%</i>	<i>\$ 64,900</i>	<i>0.71%</i>
<b>La Paz County</b>				
La Paz County Attorney	\$ 71,499		\$ 66,480	
La Paz County Sheriff	\$ 67,914		\$ 67,058	
<i>Total</i>	<i>\$ 139,413</i>	<i>1.35%</i>	<i>\$ 133,538</i>	<i>1.46%</i>
<b>Maricopa County</b>				
Maricopa County Attorney	\$ 1,377,099		\$ 1,097,617	
<i>Total</i>	<i>\$ 1,377,099</i>	<i>13.36%</i>	<i>\$ 1,097,617</i>	<i>12.01%</i>
<b>Mohave County</b>				
Mohave County Attorney	\$ 154,171		\$ 149,925	
Kingman Police Department	\$ 345,254		\$ 332,011	
<i>Total</i>	<i>\$ 499,425</i>	<i>4.85%</i>	<i>\$ 481,936</i>	<i>5.27%</i>
<b>Navajo County</b>				
Navajo County Attorney	\$ 112,450		\$ 110,661	
Navajo County Sheriff	\$ 241,425		\$ 234,416	
<i>Total</i>	<i>\$ 353,875</i>	<i>3.43%</i>	<i>\$ 345,077</i>	<i>3.78%</i>
<b>Pima County</b>				
Pima County Attorney	\$ 515,145		\$ 421,915	
Tucson City Prosecutor	\$ 315,018		\$ 269,092	
Tucson Police Department - Task Force	\$ 756,637		\$ 719,072	
Tucson Police Department - Crime Lab	\$ 58,078		\$ 51,333	
<i>Total</i>	<i>\$ 1,644,878</i>	<i>15.96%</i>	<i>\$ 1,461,412</i>	<i>15.99%</i>
<b>Pinal County</b>				
Pinal County Attorney	\$ 189,749		\$ 176,676	
Pinal County Sheriff	\$ 147,520		\$ 142,928	
<i>Total</i>	<i>\$ 337,269</i>	<i>3.27%</i>	<i>\$ 319,604</i>	<i>3.50%</i>
<b>Santa Cruz County</b>				
Santa Cruz County Attorney	\$ 49,014		\$ 46,633	
Santa Cruz County Sheriff	\$ 169,526		\$ 158,098	
<i>Total</i>	<i>\$ 218,540</i>	<i>2.12%</i>	<i>\$ 204,731</i>	<i>2.24%</i>
<b>Yavapai County</b>				
Yavapai County Attorney	\$ 127,004		\$ 123,011	
Yavapai County Sheriff	\$ -		\$ 390,988	
Prescott Valley Police Department	\$ 408,756		\$ -	
<i>Total</i>	<i>\$ 535,760</i>	<i>5.20%</i>	<i>\$ 513,999</i>	<i>5.62%</i>
<b>Yuma County</b>				
Yuma County Attorney	\$ 270,589		\$ 258,915	
Yuma County Sheriff	\$ 245,597		\$ 237,126	
<i>Total</i>	<i>\$ 516,186</i>	<i>5.01%</i>	<i>\$ 496,041</i>	<i>5.43%</i>
<b>Statewide</b>				
Administrative Office of the Courts	\$ 1,677,546		\$ 1,410,597	
Attorney General - Forefeiture	\$ 869,400		\$ 677,652	
Attorney General - Medicaid Fraud	\$ 100,816		\$ 98,827	
Department of Public Safety - Crime Lab	\$ 445,265		\$ 382,223	
<i>Total</i>	<i>\$ 3,093,027</i>	<i>30.01%</i>	<i>\$ 2,569,299</i>	<i>28.12%</i>
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>\$ 10,305,097</b>		<b>\$ 9,138,442</b>	

**ARIZONA CRIMINAL JUSTICE COMMISSION****Request for Commission Action**

<b>Action Requested:</b>	<b>Type of Action Requested:</b>	<b>Subject:</b>
May 19, 2016	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Formal Action/Motion <input type="checkbox"/> Information Only <input type="checkbox"/> Other	ACJC Victim Services Administrative Funds

**TO:** Chairperson and Commission Members

**FROM:** Larry Grubbs, Program Manager  
Crime Victims Services

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Commission approve that an additional \$80,900 from the Crime Victim Compensation and Assistance Fund be allocated annually to ACJC to fund the Crime Victim Services Program Coordinator.

**DISCUSSION:**

Victim Services Program Staff is requesting a portion of the State Crime Victim Compensation and Assistance Fund be used to pay for the salary and fringe benefits of the ACJC Victim Services Program Coordinator position. Currently, this position is paid from the Criminal Justice Enhancement Fund (CJEF). The expenditure shift to the Crime Victim Compensation and Assistance Fund will free up CJEF funds in FY17 to help backfill the loss of federal funds used to support other ACJC positions. The overall impact to the Fund is minimal as shown in the attached fund summary.

The Crime Victims Committee will meet and make a recommendation to the Commission.

**FISCAL IMPACT:**

N/A

**ALTERNATIVES:**

Not Approve - Modify - Table

**TABLE VS-1**

CRIME VICTIM COMPENSATION AND ASSISTANCE FUND	
FY17 PROGRAM BUDGET	
FY16 BEGINNING BALANCE	\$ 4,114,248
FY16 PROJECTED REVENUE	
Crime Victim Compensation Revenue	\$ 2,222,400
Crime Victim Assistance Revenue	\$ 1,207,200
REVERSIONS RECEIVED (AS OF 04/30/16)	\$ 5,249
TOTAL AVAILABLE FOR FY16 PROGRAM	\$ 7,549,097
FY16 PROJECTED EXPENDITURES	
Crime Victim Compensation Program	\$ 2,543,600
Crime Victim Assistance Program	\$ 1,444,200
<b>ACJC Administrative Expense</b>	<b>\$ 106,900</b>
PROJECTED AVAILABLE FOR FY17 PROGRAM	\$ 3,454,397
FY17 PROJECTED REVENUE	
Crime Victim Compensation Revenue	\$ 2,171,400
Crime Victim Assistance Revenue	\$ 1,225,300
ESTIMATED AVAILABLE FOR FY17 PROGRAM	\$ 6,851,097
FY17 PROPOSED PROGRAM SIZE	
Crime Victim Compensation Program	\$ 2,669,400
Crime Victim Assistance Program	\$ 1,266,992
<b>ACJC Administrative Expense</b>	<b>\$ 187,800</b>
	\$ 4,124,192
PROJECTED AVAILABLE FOR FY18 PROGRAM	\$ 2,726,905
<i>*FY17 Appropriation \$4,220,500</i>	

## ARIZONA CRIMINAL JUSTICE COMMISSION

### Request for Commission Action

Action Requested:	Type of Action Requested:	Subject:
May 19, 2016	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Formal Action/Motion <input type="checkbox"/> Information Only <input type="checkbox"/> Other	Crime Victim Compensation Program State and Federal Funding Allocation

**TO:** Chairperson and Commission Members

**FROM:** Larry Grubbs, Program Manager  
 Crime Victims Services

#### RECOMMENDATION:

The Commission approve the allocation of \$3,952,750 in state and federal crime victim compensation funds, as proposed in Table VS-2 of the agenda, to operational units (OU) for the FY17 Crime Victim Compensation Program.

#### DISCUSSION:

Table VS-2 reflects the dollar amounts proposed for allocation to each operational unit for the 15 counties. The allocation formula approved at the March 2013 Commission meeting includes the following process steps:

- \$50,000 of the state funds retained in emergency reserve (R10-4-102.D);
- Five percent of most recent federal VOCA award allocated to ACJC for administrative costs;
- Fixed administrative allocation of state funds to each OU (R10-4-102.I);
- A base allotment of \$25,000 to each OU (R10-4-102.C.1);
- A 60% reimbursement to OUs spending other local funds on compensation benefits during the previous fiscal year (R10-4-102.H);
- The remaining balance distributed as follows:
  - 50% based on prior years' program benefit expenditure average (R10-4-102.C.2);
  - 30% based on average share of crime (R10-4-102.C.4);
  - 20% based on population (R10-4-102.C.3).

The Crime Victims Committee will meet and make a recommendation to the Commission.

#### FISCAL IMPACT:

Significant – Distribution of \$3,952,750 in Crime Victim Compensation funds in FY17.

#### ALTERNATIVES:

Not Approve - Modify - Table

**TABLE VS-2**

<b>CRIME VICTIM COMPENSATION PROGRAM</b> <b>FY 2017 CRIME VICTIM COMPENSATION ALLOCATION</b> <b>\$3,952,750 TOTAL STATE AND FEDERAL FUNDING</b>						
COUNTY	FIXED ADMIN. ALLOCATION	AVAILABLE FOR COMP BENEFITS	FINAL ALLOCATION TOTAL	% OF TOTAL ALLOCATION	3 YR AVG TOTAL EXPENDITURES <sup>+</sup>	
APACHE	\$ 7,920	\$ 58,736	\$ 66,656	1.73%	\$ 62,266	
COCHISE	11,400	58,534	69,934	1.81%	35,767	
COCONINO	12,540	110,547	123,087	3.19%	145,119	
GILA	5,900	52,225	58,125	1.51%	42,918	
GRAHAM	4,600	38,401	43,001	1.11%	23,220	
GREENLEE	2,600	28,465	31,065	0.81%	7,189	
LA PAZ	3,850	38,363	42,213	1.09%	24,083	
MARICOPA	281,380	1,740,062	2,021,442	52.40%	2,166,523	
MOHAVE	16,500	147,839	164,339	4.26%	200,642	
NAVAJO	10,780	73,180	83,960	2.18%	76,948	
PIMA	76,010	556,243	632,253	16.39%	663,565	
PINAL	19,580	169,098	188,678	4.89%	186,752	
SANTA CRUZ	5,610	40,854	46,464	1.20%	22,566	
YAVAPAI	17,820	107,057	124,877	3.24%	124,972	
YUMA	16,610	145,246	161,856	4.20%	206,466	
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$ 493,100</b>	<b>\$ 3,364,850</b>	<b>\$ 3,857,950</b>	<b>100.00%</b>	<b>\$ 3,988,995</b>	
		RECOMMENDED FUNDING	LESS ACJC VOCA ADMIN*	LESS STATE RESERVE**	PROGRM ALLOCATION	
		STATE COMPENSATION FUNDS	\$ 2,669,400	\$ -	\$ 50,000	\$ 2,619,400
		FEDERAL COMPENSATION FUNDS	1,283,350	44,800	-	1,238,550
				<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$ 3,857,950</b>	
<i>*ACJC admin equals 5% of FFY2015 VOCA award</i>						
<i>**\$50,000 held in reserve as required by Program Rule R10-4-102.D</i>						
<i>+Source for County Expenditure Activity: ACJC Quarterly Compensation Activity Reports (1-1-2013 to 12-31-2015)</i>						

## ARIZONA CRIMINAL JUSTICE COMMISSION

### Request for Commission Action

---

<b>Action Requested:</b>	<b>Type of Action Requested:</b>	<b>Subject:</b>
May 19, 2016	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Formal Action/Motion <input type="checkbox"/> Information Only <input type="checkbox"/> Other	FY 2017 Crime Victim Assistance Grant Awards

---

**TO:** Chairperson and Commission Members

**FROM:** Larry Grubbs, Program Manager  
Crime Victims Services

#### **RECOMMENDATION:**

The Commission award FY17 Crime Victim Assistance grant funds as indicated in Table VS-3 of the agenda; with \$637,640 awarded to Commission membership eligible applicants, and \$637,640 awarded to all other applicants.

#### **DISCUSSION:**

At the time of this award, A.R.S. § 41-2407 (B) currently states that no more than 50% of Crime Victim Assistance Grant Funds be allocated to criminal justice government agencies eligible to serve as a member of the Commission. These agencies are specified in A.R.S. § 41-2404 (A) and (B). The maximum amount available for distribution to the eighteen applicants in this category is \$637,640 for FY17.

The funding proposal in Table VS-3 reflects the evaluation criteria and priorities approved by the Commission. A narrative is provided following this agenda item detailing the victim assistance grant program, the evaluation process, and proposed funding for FY17.

The Crime Victims Committee will meet and make a recommendation to the Commission.

#### **FISCAL IMPACT:**

Significant – Distribution of \$1,275,280 in Crime Victim Assistance grant funds to approved agencies for FY17.

#### **ALTERNATIVES:**

Not Approve - Modify - Table

## Crime Victim Assistance Grant Program FY 2017 Funding Recommendation

The Crime Victim Assistance Grant Program is established to provide grant awards to support direct services to crime victims in the State of Arizona. At the January 2016 meeting, the Commission approved a program size up to \$1,444,200 in victim assistance grant awards for FY17.

For the FY17 grant period, the Commission approved awarding victim assistance funding in accordance with the following tier structure:

<b>Tier 1</b>
Fund VOCA Assistance <i>eligible</i> projects for a grant period beginning July 1, 2016, and ending September 30, 2016. Projects must be current ACJC victim assistance grant recipients for FY 2016, and continue to meet current eligibility requirements and established funding priorities.
<b>Tier 2</b>
Fund projects identified as VOCA Assistance <i>ineligible</i> for a period beginning July 1, 2016, and ending June 30, 2017. Projects must meet current ACJC victim assistance grant program eligibility requirements and established funding priorities.
<b>Tier 3</b>
Fund all other projects that meet current ACJC victim assistance grant program eligibility requirements and established funding priorities, for a period beginning July 1, 2016, and ending June 30, 2017.

### **Applications Received**

On February 1, 2016, the FY17 Crime Victim Assistance Program grant application period opened. The deadline for submission of applications under Tier 2 and Tier 3 was Friday, March 11, 2016, at 3:00 p.m. Thirty-eight applications were submitted by the deadline. Additionally, nineteen requests were submitted under Tier 1 by the April 8 deadline to extend current awards.

### **Evaluation and Scoring**

All funding requests were evaluated under A.R.S. § 41-2702. All applications received were reviewed and scored by three individuals including ACJC staff and outside evaluators. Scoring was based on the Crime Victim Assistance Grant Program rules, criteria, and priorities approved by the Commission. Financial audit documentation submitted by applicants was reviewed by ACJC financial compliance staff to help determine an applicant agency's capacity to administer an award.

## **Funding Priorities**

In November 2011 the Commission approved the following as funding priorities for the Crime Victim Assistance Grant Program:

<b>Crime Victim Assistance Funding Priorities</b>	
1	Continue funding from one grant period to the next for programs with a strong performance history, who meet the application requirements.
2	Funding programs that demonstrate a strong collaborative effort with law enforcement, prosecution, service providers, community organizations, and other social service agencies.
3	Funding programs that provide multiple service types to a diverse range of victimization types.
4	Funding programs that demonstrate strong support of established goals and objectives and clearly identify how the success of the program will be measured.
5	Funding at least one program in each county in the State provided that the program meets eligibility requirements and the minimum required application score.
6	Funding programs operating in counties with the highest crime per capita rate based on the latest Uniform Crime Report.
7	Funding programs that demonstrate a strong component of assisting crime victims in filing victim compensation claims.
8	Annually providing \$25,000 directly to the Arizona Victim Assistance Academy.
9	Funding programs providing services to address an emerging victim issue, or to an underserved victim population, as designated annually by the ACJC.

## **FY 2017 Funding Recommendation**

### **General Overview**

ACJC Victim Services Staff recommends victim assistance grant awards totaling \$1,275,280 for FY17. This award total is \$168,920 less than the \$1,444,200 program size approved by the Commission in January. Several factors contributed to the lower total award amount.

Six current grantees with awards totaling \$154,919 withdrew from the ACJC victim assistance grant program entirely for FY17. All of these projects received VOCA assistance funding to cover the lack of ACJC funds. Additionally, nineteen applicants requested \$226,671 less than those agencies current FY16 collective total award amount for FY17. Finally, the recommendation restricts increased awards to Tier 3 projects (see Tier 3 Award Detail below).

The recommendation includes funding for two agencies not previously funded by ACJC: Cochise Family Advocacy Center under Tier 2 for \$42,727; Community Alliance Against Family Abuse (CAFA) under Tier 2 for \$20,875. Funding was not approved for Parents and Relatives of Murdered Children. Both the score team and financial compliance staff reviews determined the application was below the standards required for an award.

## **Tier 1 Award Detail**

Extending current awards under Tier 1 to bridge the gap between the end of the ACJC FY16 grant period (6/30/2016) and the beginning of the next DPS VOCA assistance grant period (10/1/2016) is the highest funding priority. Nineteen currently funded projects submitted grant adjustment requests to extend the agency's current FY16 award to 9/30/2016 with an additional quarter's worth of ACJC funding. The recommendation for fifteen of these grant extensions is to provide the additional funding as requested.

The ACJC crime victim assistance grant announcement allowed current grantees to submit an extension request under Tier 1 and a competitive application under Tier 2 or Tier 3 as long as the score team determined the project requesting funding through the application was substantially different than the currently funded project requesting the extension. After comparing the project narratives and budget requests from the FY16 and FY17 applications, the score team denied entirely or in part Tier 1 extension requests for four currently funded programs: A New Leaf, Inc., Ama Doo Alchini Bighan, Inc., Mesa City Prosecutor's Office, and Southern Arizona Children's Advocacy Center. These agencies were instead recommended for awards under Tier 2 or Tier 3 for the FY17 grant period.

## **Tier 2 Award Detail**

Tier 2 attempted to identify and prioritize funding for projects that are ineligible under the guidelines of the DPS VOCA assistance grant program. Of the eighteen applications submitted under Tier 2, seven included budget requests that were determined to be entirely VOCA assistance ineligible. The activities included in these applications are the gap activities Tier 2 set out to identify. These are important areas to explore further and possibly expand funding to in the future. The following is a list of those Tier 2 eligible projects and activities:

- Administration of the Crime Victim Compensation Program
  - Maricopa County Attorney's Office
  - Mohave County Attorney's Office
  - Pinal County Attorney's Office
  - Santa Cruz County Attorney's Office
  - Victim / Witness Services for Coconino County
  - Yuma County Attorney's Office
- Domestic Violence Transitional Housing Assistance
  - Against Abuse, Inc.
- DPS VOCA Record of Effective Services Requirement
  - Cochise Family Advocacy Center

The score team recommended funding these projects to the degree the requests were determined reasonable and adequately justified through the application narrative.

The remaining eleven applications either included mixed elements falling under Tier 2 and Tier 3, or were purely Tier 3.

### **Tier 3 Award Detail**

For the twenty applications submitted under Tier 3, and including those projects submitted under Tier 2 where the degree of DPS VOCA assistance ineligible activity could not be determined, the funding recommendation is to award these projects an amount equal to or less than the agency's current FY16 award amount. Agencies were awarded less only if the agency requested less. This recommendation reflects the continued emphasis placed on identifying and supporting those victim service activities that are critical and important, yet lack access to adequate funding through established state and federal grant sources, including primarily DPS VOCA assistance.

Table VS-3 displays the staff recommendation for funding allocations to all applicant agencies. The table summarizes the FY16 grant awards, FY17 grant requests, and proposed FY17 awards for all applicants under all tiers.

**TABLE VS-3 FY17 CRIME VICTIM ASSISTANCE GRANT FUNDING RECOMMENDATION**

Comm. Eligible	Applicant Agency	FY16 Grant Awards	FY17 Requested (All Tiers)	FY17 Grant Awards			FY17 Proposed Total Grant Awards
				Tier 1	Tier 2	Tier 3	
	A New Leaf, Inc.	\$ 41,600	\$ 50,300	\$ 5,200	\$ -	\$ 39,900	\$ 45,100
	Against Abuse, Inc.	21,500	105,360	5,375	55,200	-	60,575
	Ama Doo Alchini Bighan, Inc. (ADABI)	18,825	45,309	-	-	18,825	18,825
*	Apache County Attorney's Office	23,339	19,990	-	19,990	-	19,990
*	Arizona Attorney General's Office	57,237	14,309	14,309	-	-	14,309
	Arizona Coalition for Victim Services	25,000	25,169	-	25,000	-	25,000
	Arizona's Children Association	13,382	13,650	-	-	13,382	13,382
	AZ Coalition to End Sexual and Domestic Violence	26,886	60,968	-	-	26,886	26,886
	Bullhead City Attorney's Office	15,217	3,804	3,804	-	-	3,804
	Casa Grande City Attorney's Office	25,491	-	-	-	-	-
*	Chandler Police Department	20,168	17,281	-	-	17,281	17,281
	Chicanos Por La Causa, Inc.	22,400	-	-	-	-	-
	Childhelp	15,480	3,870	3,870	-	-	3,870
	Chrysalis Shelter For Victims of Domestic Violence	17,999	24,525	4,500	20,025	-	24,525
*	City of Maricopa	26,282	25,052	-	-	25,052	25,052
	City of Surprise (Prosecutor)	28,938	-	-	-	-	-
*	Cochise County Attorney's Office	21,044	21,044	-	-	21,044	21,044
	Cochise Family Advocacy Center, Inc.	-	77,515	-	42,727	-	42,727
	CODAC Behavioral Health Services of Pima County	32,632	8,158	8,158	-	-	8,158
	Colorado River Regional Crisis Shelter, Inc.	21,353	32,850	-	-	21,353	21,353
	Community Alliance Against Family Abuse (CAFA)	-	20,875	-	20,875	-	20,875
*	El Mirage Police Department	32,491	-	-	-	-	-
	Emerge Center Against Domestic Abuse	46,100	102,516	-	-	46,100	46,100
	EMPACT-Suicide Prevention Center	23,496	26,760	-	-	23,496	23,496
*	Gila County Attorney's Office	17,600	25,519	-	-	17,600	17,600
*	Graham County Attorney's Office	29,677	53,519	-	29,677	-	29,677
*	Greenlee County Attorney's Office	8,337	16,674	-	-	8,337	8,337
	Haven Family Resource Center	15,673	15,674	-	-	15,673	15,673
	Homicide Survivors, Inc.	28,700	26,027	7,175	-	18,852	26,027
	Jewish Family and Children's Service	16,410	4,102	4,102	-	-	4,102
	Kingman Aid To Abused People, Inc.	16,800	4,200	4,200	-	-	4,200
*	La Paz County Attorney's Office	24,959	45,538	-	24,959	-	24,959
*	Maricopa County Attorney's Office	104,400	288,463	26,100	160,368	-	186,468
	Mesa City Prosecutor's Office	24,500	20,960	-	-	14,835	14,835
*	Mohave County Attorney's Office	28,447	30,919	-	30,919	-	30,919
	Mt. Graham Safe House, Inc.	21,783	25,274	-	-	21,783	21,783
*	Navajo County Attorney's Office	32,295	53,403	-	32,295	-	32,295
	Northland Family Help Center	20,000	5,000	5,000	-	-	5,000
	Parents and Relatives of Murdered Children	-	-	-	-	-	-
*	Peoria Police Department	29,550	-	-	-	-	-
	Phoenix City Fire Department	17,568	4,392	4,392	-	-	4,392
	Phoenix City Prosecutor's Office	32,420	-	-	-	-	-
*	Pima County Attorney's Office	106,900	112,020	-	-	106,900	106,900
*	Pinal County Attorney's Office	28,599	35,367	-	35,367	-	35,367
*	Santa Cruz County Attorney's Office	23,750	25,850	-	25,850	-	25,850
	Sojourner Center	21,600	5,400	5,400	-	-	5,400
	Southern Arizona AIDS Foundation	19,934	19,791	-	19,791	-	19,791
	Southern Arizona Children's Advocacy Center	24,068	33,762	-	24,068	-	24,068
	Tempe City Social Services - Care 7	35,212	39,808	8,803	-	31,005	39,808
	Time Out, Inc.	16,120	-	-	-	-	-
	Tohdenasshai Committee Against Family Abuse	18,676	28,700	-	-	18,676	18,676
	Verde Valley Sanctuary	32,143	18,160	-	18,160	-	18,160
	Victim/Witness Services For Coconino County	30,000	31,049	7,500	23,549	-	31,049
*	Yuma County Attorney's Office	41,219	41,592	-	41,592	-	41,592
	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$ 1,444,200</b>	<b>\$ 1,710,468</b>	<b>\$117,888</b>	<b>\$650,412</b>	<b>\$506,980</b>	<b>\$ 1,275,280</b>

**TABLE VS-4 FY16 CRIME VICTIM ASSISTANCE FUNDING SUMMARY**

<b>Funding Distribution by Victimization Type</b>	<b>Number of Awards</b>	<b>% of Awards</b>	<b>\$ Amount Awarded</b>	<b>% of \$ Awarded</b>
Child Abuse	3	6%	41,320	3%
Domestic Violence	19	36%	338,505	27%
Multiple Victimization Types	26	49%	799,255	63%
Other Specialized	3	6%	49,523	4%
Sexual Assault	2	4%	46,677	4%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>\$1,275,280</b>	<b>100%</b>
<b>Funding Distribution by Primary Service Area</b>	<b>Number of Awards</b>	<b>% of Awards</b>	<b>\$ Amount Awarded</b>	<b>% of \$ Awarded</b>
State Wide	3	6%	66,195	5%
Apache County	2	4%	38,815	3%
Cochise County	2	4%	63,771	5%
Coconino County	3	6%	36,049	3%
Gila County	1	2%	17,600	1%
Graham County	2	4%	51,460	4%
Greenlee County	1	2%	8,337	1%
La Paz County	3	6%	61,985	5%
Maricopa County	16	30%	374,652	29%
Mohave County	3	6%	38,923	3%
Navajo County	2	4%	50,971	4%
Pima County	8	15%	244,426	19%
Pinal County	4	8%	136,494	11%
Santa Cruz County	1	2%	25,850	2%
Yavapai County	1	2%	18,160	1%
Yuma County	1	2%	41,592	3%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>\$1,275,280</b>	<b>100%</b>
<b>Funding Distribution by Commission Eligibility</b>	<b>Number of Awards</b>	<b>% of Awards</b>	<b>\$ Amount Awarded</b>	<b>% of \$ Awarded</b>
Commission Eligible	17	32%	\$ 637,640	50%
Non-Profit / Other Gov't	36	68%	\$ 637,640	50%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>\$ 1,275,280</b>	<b>100%</b>

## ARIZONA CRIMINAL JUSTICE COMMISSION

### Request for Commission Action

---

<b>Action Requested:</b>	<b>Type of Action Requested:</b>	<b>Subject:</b>
May 19, 2016	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Formal Action/Motion <input type="checkbox"/> Information Only <input type="checkbox"/> Other	Hiring Process for the Executive Director Position

---

**TO:** Chairperson and Commission Members

**FROM:** John A. Blackburn, Jr.  
Executive Director

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Commission select a candidate for the Executive Director position.

**DISCUSSION:**

The Hiring Committee met on May 4, 2016 and interviewed two internal candidates for the position of Executive Director.

The Hiring Committee will meet on May 16, 2016 to review internal applicant information and forward a recommendation to the Commission.

**FISCAL IMPACT:**

N/A

**ALTERNATIVES:**

Not Approve - Modify - Table