



# Arizona Criminal Justice Commission

## Missing Fingerprint Conditions of Release

# Missing Fingerprint Research


## ● ADC GAP Program:

- . Inmates that arrive at ADC
- . Inmate has NO criminal history (no SID)


## ● Superior Court GAP Program:

- . Case adjudicated (including sentencing)
- . No fingerprint for presenting charges in criminal history (ADRS)

# ADC GAP Program Since 2011



# ADC GAP Program ó By County



# Missing Fingerprint Project

---

- **Site Visits to Top Two Counties**
- **Pinal:**
  - . Initial Visit 4/14 with Sheriff
  - . Weekly ongoing case review with ADC
- **Cochise:**
  - . Initial Visit 5/4 with Sheriff

# Missing Fingerprint Reasons

---

- **Juvenile Remand**
- **Refusal to Fingerprint**
- **Private Prison Offense (e.g., contraband)**

# Superior Court GAP

---

- **Maricopa Superior Court:**
  - . 1,071 during 4 Month Period (10.1%)
- **Pima Superior Court:**
  - . 157 over 3 Month Period (10%)
- **Projected Statewide:**
  - . 5,332 Missing Fingerprints over 12 Months

## Next Steps

---

- **Coordinating Multi-Stakeholder meeting in Pinal County**
- **Examine impact of HB 2154 after 1/1/2017**
- **Prosecutorial training**
- **Final Recommendations Report**

# Conditions of Release (COR)

---

- **Make release conditions related to firearms:**
  - . **Reportable to NICS**
  - . **Available to Arizona Law Enforcement**

# Activities to Date

---

- **Site Visits:**
  - . **City of Tucson**
  - . **Pima County**
  - . **Graham County**
  - . **Yavapai County**
  - . **Maricopa County**

# Initial Findings

---

- **Conditions of Release are almost never enforced by law enforcement**
- **Wide disparity among courts in *when* and *how* initial and subsequent conditions are captured**
- **There is no statewide standard for capturing conditions of release**

# Next Steps

---


- **Second Round of Meetings with Stakeholders**
- **Identify data requirements across counties**
- **Final Recommendations Report**

## State and County ADRS Submissions

---

- **ADRS use across the state increased to 33.8% of all disposition charges in 2015.**
- **Counties are using the ADRS to some capacity in 2015.**
- **Access to the ADRS was rolled out to AJACS Superior Courts in 2015.**

# Percentage of Disposition Charges by Submission Type to the ACCH, 2005-2015



# Percentage of Disposition Charges Submitted via ADRS by County, 2012-2015

<b>COUNTY</b>	<b>2012</b>	<b>2013</b>	<b>2014</b>	<b>2015</b>
<b>Apache</b>	<b>4.0%</b>	<b>10.3%</b>	<b>6.8%</b>	<b>3.2%</b>
<b>Cochise</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>0.2%</b>	<b>0.1%</b>
<b>Coconino</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>8.7%</b>	<b>4.9%</b>
<b>Gila</b>	<b>1.1%</b>	<b>2.4%</b>	<b>6.5%</b>	<b>2.3%</b>
<b>Graham</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.1%</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>7.1%</b>
<b>Greenlee</b>	<b>8.0%</b>	<b>43.0%</b>	<b>41.1%</b>	<b>47.4%</b>
<b>La Paz</b>	<b>1.3%</b>	<b>2.5%</b>	<b>11.0%</b>	<b>23.2%</b>
<b>Maricopa</b>	<b>29.8%</b>	<b>28.9%</b>	<b>25.5%</b>	<b>43.5%</b>
<b>Mohave</b>	<b>0.1%</b>	<b>6.3%</b>	<b>40.5%</b>	<b>58.8%</b>
<b>Navajo</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>2.1%</b>	<b>7.1%</b>	<b>15.0%</b>
<b>Pima</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>0.1%</b>	<b>12.4%</b>
<b>Pinal</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>0.1%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
<b>Santa Cruz</b>	<b>0.8%</b>	<b>3.8%</b>	<b>12.0%</b>	<b>20.6%</b>
<b>Yavapai</b>	<b>2.5%</b>	<b>23.6%</b>	<b>47.8%</b>	<b>47.3%</b>
<b>Yuma</b>	<b>2.6%</b>	<b>7.0%</b>	<b>11.1%</b>	<b>13.3%</b>
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>18.6%</b>	<b>18.2%</b>	<b>19.6%</b>	<b>33.8%</b>
<b>XML</b>	<b>0.2%</b>	<b>0.6%</b>	<b>1.9%</b>	<b>2.0%</b>
<b>Web</b>	<b>18.4%</b>	<b>17.6%</b>	<b>17.8%</b>	<b>31.8%</b>

# Contact Us

---

- For additional information,

**Matt Bileski**

**Senior Research Analyst**

**Arizona Criminal Justice Commission**

**(602) 364-1374**

**[mbileski@azcjc.gov](mailto:mbileski@azcjc.gov)**