

**NOTICE OF PUBLIC MEETING
OF THE POLICY TEAM AND TECHNICAL TEAM
OF THE
INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY AND SYSTEMS IMPROVEMENT COMMITTEE AND
AGENDA**

Pursuant to A.R.S. § 38-431.02, notice is hereby given to the members of the **Policy Team** and **Technical Team** of the **Information Technology and Systems Improvement Committee** of the Arizona Criminal Justice Commission and to the general public that the **Policy Team** and **Technical Team** will hold a joint meeting open to the public on **Friday, May 11, 2012** beginning at **9:30 AM** at the **Arizona Criminal Justice Commission Office, 1110 W. Washington, Suite 250, Phoenix, Arizona 85007**.

Pursuant to the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), the Arizona Criminal Justice Commission endeavors to ensure the accessibility of its meetings to all persons with disabilities. Persons with a disability may request a reasonable accommodation, such as a sign language interpreter, by contacting the Commission Office at (602) 364-1146. Requests should be made as early as possible to allow time to arrange the accommodation.

Agenda for the meeting is as follows:

- I. Call to Order and Roll Call** **Chairperson Karl Heckart**

- II. Election of a Chairperson to the Technical Team** **Pat Nelson**
 - Review, discussion, consideration and possible action on the election of a Chairperson to the Technical Team of the Information Technology and Systems Improvement Committee. **P-F-T**

- III. Minutes**
 - A. Approval of Minutes from the September 13, 2011 Technical Team Meeting** **P-F-T**
 - B. Approval of Minutes from the January 18, 2012 Policy Team Meeting** **P-F-T**

- IV. Arizona Records Improvement and Information Sharing Priority Recommendations** **Karl Heckart**
 - Review, discussion, consideration and possible action on the strategic priorities and tactical feasibilities. **P-F-T**

- V. Call to the Public**

Those wishing to address the Committee need not request permission in advance. Action taken as a result of public comment will be limited to directing staff to study the matter or rescheduling the matter for further consideration and decision at a later date.

- VI. Date, Time, and Location of Next Meeting**
 - The next Policy Team and Technical Team meetings will be held at the call of the Chairperson.

- VII. Adjournment**

A copy of the agenda background material provided to Committee members is available for public inspection at the Arizona Criminal Justice Commission Office, 1110 West Washington, Suite 230, Phoenix, Arizona 85007, (602) 364-1146. This document is available in alternative formats by contacting the Commission Office.



**POLICY TEAM AND TECHNICAL TEAM
OF THE
INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY AND SYSTEMS IMPROVEMENT COMMITTEE OF THE
ARIZONA CRIMINAL JUSTICE COMMISSION**

Request for Committee Action

Action Requested:	Type of Action Requested:	Subject:
May 11, 2012	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Formal Action/Motion <input type="checkbox"/> Information Only <input type="checkbox"/> Other	Election of a Chairperson to the Technical Team

TO: Chairperson and Committee Members

FROM: Pat Nelson, Program Manager
CJ System Improvements Program

RECOMMENDATION:

The Technical Team members elect a Chairperson to the Technical Team of the Information Technology and Systems Improvement Committee.

DISCUSSION:

A Chairperson is needed to facilitate Technical Team meetings, collaborate with the Policy Team Chairperson and be available to the Commission when issues arise surrounding the Arizona Records Improvement and Information Sharing Strategy.

FISCAL IMPACT:

N/A

ALTERNATIVES:

N/A

POLICY TEAM AND TECHNICAL TEAM
OF THE
INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY AND SYSTEMS IMPROVEMENT COMMITTEE OF THE
ARIZONA CRIMINAL JUSTICE COMMISSION

Request for Committee Action

Action Requested:	Type of Action Requested:	Subject:
May 11, 2012	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Formal Action/Motion <input type="checkbox"/> Information Only <input type="checkbox"/> Other	Minutes of the September 13, 2011 Meeting

TO: Chairperson and Committee Members

FROM: Pat Nelson, Program Manager
CJ System Improvements Program

RECOMMENDATION:

The Technical Team members approve the minutes of the Technical Team Meeting held on September 13, 2011.

DISCUSSION:

N/A

FISCAL IMPACT:

N/A

ALTERNATIVES:

Not Approve - Modify - Table

Technical Team of the Information Technology and Systems Improvement Committee
Minutes
September 13, 2011

A public meeting of the Technical Team of the Information Technology and Systems Improvement Committee of the Arizona Criminal Justice Commission was convened on September 13, 2011 at the Arizona Criminal Justice Commission, 1110 W. Washington, Suite 250, Phoenix, Arizona 85007.

Members Present:

Chairperson, Bob Rampy, Maricopa County Sheriff's Office
Rodney Banks, Pinal County, Senior I.T. Manager
Bruce Byron, Glendale Police Department
Graciano Cervantes, Department of Public Safety
Tom Gendron, Maricopa ICJIS
Doreen Hamilton, Phoenix Police Department
Karl Heckart, Administrative Office of the Courts
Brian Hunt, Yavapai County Sheriff's Office
Bill Kalaf, Arizona Criminal Justice Commission
Kevin LaBranche, Coconino County Information Systems
Ward Maeser, Maricopa County Attorney's Office
Mike Morrison, Scottsdale Police Department
Dave Nishith, Department of Corrections
Rob Peck, Pima County Attorney's Office
Ralene Whitmer, Department of Transportation
Jim Wysocki, Tucson Police Department

Members Absent:

Ted Martin, Pima County Sheriff's Office

Staff Participating:

Bill Kalaf, Program Manager
Pat Nelson, Program Manager
Wendy Boyle, Executive Secretary

I. Call to Order and Roll Call

The meeting was called to order by Bill Kalaf, ACJC Program Manager at 1:30 PM. Roll was taken and a quorum was declared present.

II. Minutes of the August 4, 2010 Meeting

Bill Kalaf, Program Manager called for a motion on the minutes. Bob Rampy entered a motion to approve the minutes of the August 4, 2010 meeting. The motion was seconded by Mike Morrison and was unanimously approved by the Committee.

III. Election of Chairperson to the Technical Team of the Information Technology and Systems Improvement Committee

Bill Kalaf, Program Manager requested the Technical Team elect a Chairperson due to the retirement of former Chairperson Lori Rhyons. Mr. Kalaf opened the nominations for election of a Chairperson to the Technical Team.

Tom Gendron entered a motion to nominate Bob Rampy as Chairperson of the Technical Team. The motion was seconded by Mike Morrison and was unanimously approved by the Committee. Bob Rampy as the newly elected Chairperson of the Technical Team of the Information Technology and Systems Improvement Committee presided over the remainder of the meeting. Mr. Rampy thanked Lori Rhyons for her time and effort in serving as Chairperson over the last two years.

IV. Policy Team Update

Karl Heckart, AOC presented information from the Policy Team meeting of May 25, 2011 relating to the Arizona Records Improvement and Information Sharing Strategic Plan. On November 9, 2010, the Arizona Criminal Justice Commission (ACJC) held a one day strategic planning session that culminated in a document outlining a set of goals that would guide activities over the next five years. Mr. Heckart explained that the Policy Team met and reviewed the document. This led to discussions on the current state of various integration initiatives including sustained projects. The Policy Team also added additional ideas to the strategy from high level to tactical deployment. The plan was presented to the Information Technology and Systems Improvement Committee and the Commission in July. The Information Technology and Systems Improvement Committee voted to support the process; however following discussion, the committee agreed that the concept was at too high a level to achieve a practical solution. As a result, the Committee tabled the item in order to give the Policy Team more time to revise the strategic plan with goals that can be implemented and achieved within the five year timeframe. Mr. Heckart confirmed that the Arizona Strategic Plan will be presented again to the Information Technology and Systems Improvement Committee and the Commission in November. Several workgroups will be established to consider and address the projects that have been engaged in the last 10-12 years; and the outcome of those projects and how to advance the goals of the plan with limited resources.

This agenda item was presented for informational purposes and did not require Committee action.

V. Arizona Strategic Plan

Bill Kalaf, Program Manager presented the Records Improvement and Information Sharing Plan review for Arizona. The key strategies and goals from 2005-2012 and the recommendations from the strategic plan moving forward were reviewed. Priorities for the future consist of: 1) electronic court filing; 2) accurate and complete criminal justice records; 3) overcome disparate technologies among agencies; 4) continue current efforts; 5) cross agency business issues; and 6) develop business case for information sharing. The challenges include: 1) creating a forum to address business process issues; 2) standardizing forms for collecting criminal justice information; 3) obtain funding to support records improvement and information sharing; 4) quantifying benefits; 5) identify technological disparities among local agencies; 6) ongoing training for new processes, data collection and technology; 7) address privacy and data quality issues; and 8) updating statutes to address new processes and technology.

Mr. Kalaf then reviewed the recommendations for each year going forward.

The first year recommendations: 1) understand and document state automation and information sharing projects currently underway; 2) continue AZLink, ADRS and record improvement initiatives; 3) partner with law enforcement, county attorneys, and the courts to analyze the impact of electronic filing processing; and 4) convene workgroups to address forms, technology and business process disparities.

The second year requires: 1) complete implementation of the courts' electronic filing system; 2) organize workgroups to review and recommend statutory changes to support new technologies; and 3) address privacy and information quality issues with information shared across agencies.

The recommendations for year three consist of: 1) develop a business case to support a statewide integrated criminal justice initiative, 2) develop a return on investment document that includes efficiencies in the criminal justice process and implementation of shared technologies, 3) develop a message to support the business case that establishes a common vision, and 4) develop a legislative plan to identify statutory changes.

In year four, develop a specific integrated criminal justice implementation plan.

The recommendations for year five include adopting information sharing architecture and establishing a funding model for cross-agency information sharing.

This agenda item was presented for informational purposes and did not require Committee action.

VI. Arizona Strategic Plan – Policy Team Recommendations

Bill Kalaf, Program Manager stated that the Policy Team reviewed the Arizona Strategic Plan and had three recommendations. The recommendations include: 1) developing a survey that documents the state's automation and information sharing programs currently underway; 2) continuing the AZLink, ADRS and Records Improvement initiatives currently underway; and 3) continue partnering efforts with law enforcement, county attorneys, and the courts to analyze electronic filing processing, and to convene workgroups to address forms, technology, and business processes disparities.

The Technical Team discussed the three proposals approved by the Policy Team.

Mike Morrison entered a motion approving the first recommendation; the motion was seconded by Ralene Whitmer and was unanimously approved by the Committee.

Jim Wysocki entered a motion approving the second recommendation; the motion was seconded by Rob Peck and was unanimously approved by the Committee.

Mike Morrison entered a motion approving the third recommendation; the motion was seconded by Kevin LaBranche and was unanimously approved by the Committee.

VII. The Arizona Criminal Justice Information Data Dictionary

Pat Nelson, Program Manager provided background and an overview of the Arizona Criminal Justice Information Data Dictionary origins and current usage. A workgroup was formed 10-12 years ago to begin the process of developing a data dictionary. This effort resulted in creating 113 data elements and 7 appendices targeting Arizona requirements. It has been incorporated as a standard for ACJC grant agreements when the funding is supporting implementation systems.

Ms. Nelson explained the data dictionary is useful because it focuses on a logical meaning of the elements and can be used in any implementation whether it is compliant or not to the federal standards. There are limitations to the data dictionary because it does not provide any guidance or standards as it relates to the federal National Information Exchange Model (NIEM).

The Committee discussed and offered the following suggestions: 1) to develop a list of experts in law enforcement agencies that currently use XML, the NIEM model, and the data exchanges and have them prepare a set of survey questions for the general user population; and 2) to create a workgroup to review the NIEM model and the current Arizona data dictionary to see if the same elements are included.

After review and discussion, Mike Morrison entered a motion to approve designating a workgroup to review and compare the Arizona Criminal Justice Information Data Dictionary elements to the National Information Exchange Model (NIEM) after survey questions have been evaluated; and to maintain the data dictionary as a standard for Arizona. The motion was seconded by Karl Heckart and was unanimously approved by the Committee.

VIII. Coconino CJI County Attorney Electronic Document Management System

Kevin LaBranche, Coconino County reported on the electronic document management system in place at Coconino County. The processes that are now automated include: 1) data that is scanned can be imported and extracted from the case management system; 2) redacted data that has been extracted from the case management system; 3) documents routed for charging decisions; 4) notifications to Coconino County Attorney's Office (CCAO) and law enforcement; 5) incoming faxes incorporated into the electronic process; and 6) the ability to create and distribute an automated disclosure packet that is

available to CCAO staff while in the case management system. Mr. LaBranche explained that automation of workflow has dramatically improved business processes and increased collaboration between departments. The next processes for automation include: 1) disclosure documents electronically tagged; 2) documents not previously disclosed forwarded to the automated redaction process; 3) packets distributed electronically to internal and external agencies; and 4) tracking the receipt of packets.

This agenda item was presented for informational purposes and did not require Committee action.

IX. Pima County Attorney's Office Long-Term Overview

Rob Peck, Pima County Attorney's Office (PCAO) gave an update on the information technology initiatives including network infrastructure improvements; redundancy and resiliency enhancements for core systems such as deployment of Windows 7 and Office 2010. Mr. Peck also reviewed the new OnBase document management system installation and the in-house case management system that is under development. Next, Mr. Peck explained the County Attorney Matter Management System (CAMMS) architecture. This system provides a single entry of people and places, consistency of charges, pleadings, and language, and ease of data entry, searching, and document generation.

This agenda item was presented for informational purposes and did not require Committee action.

X. Maricopa County Update

Tom Gendron, Maricopa County ICJIS presented an update on the implementation of the three modes of Geographical Information System (GIS) support to the Justice Web Interface (JWI). Mr. Gendron discussed the GIS foundation capabilities and showed examples of the three modal operations. The first modality of the Criminal Justice and Law Enforcement (CJLE) contains address data that are scraped from the responses, geo-coded and put on the map. The second modality allows batching of CJLE data that is geo-coded and placed on a layer; meta-data is used to fine filter the data set. The third modality provides general map layers available from 3rd parties that are optional to support CJLE investigations and first responders. Mr. Gendron reviewed various screen shots representative of the modalities. Future layers and functionality include: 1) calls for service (911); 2) probable cause reports; 3) address searches; 4) geofencing; 5) field interviews geocoded; and 6) Amber Alert (Geofence with drive time calculation)

This agenda item was presented for informational purposes and did not require Committee action.

XI. Call to the Public

Chairperson Bob Rampy made a call to the public. No members of the public addressed the Committee.

XII. Date, Time, and Location of Next Meeting

The next Technical Team meeting will be held on **Wednesday, November 30, 2011 at 1:30 PM** at the Arizona Criminal Justice Commission, 1110 W. Washington, Suite 250, Phoenix, Arizona 85007.

XIII. Adjournment

The meeting was adjourned at 3:37 PM.

Respectfully submitted,



John A. Blackburn, Jr.
Executive Director

Audio recording is available upon request.

**POLICY TEAM AND TECHNICAL TEAM
OF THE
INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY AND SYSTEMS IMPROVEMENT COMMITTEE OF THE
ARIZONA CRIMINAL JUSTICE COMMISSION**

Request for Committee Action

Action Requested:	Type of Action Requested:	Subject:
May 11, 2012	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Formal Action/Motion <input type="checkbox"/> Information Only <input type="checkbox"/> Other	Minutes of the January 18, 2012 Meeting

TO: Chairperson and Committee Members

FROM: Pat Nelson, Program Manager
CJ System Improvements Program

RECOMMENDATION:

The Policy Team members approve the minutes of the Policy Team Meeting held on January 18, 2012.

DISCUSSION:

N/A

FISCAL IMPACT:

N/A

ALTERNATIVES:

N/A

Policy Team of the Information Technology and Systems Improvement Committee
Minutes
January 18, 2012

A public meeting of the Policy Team of the Information Technology and Systems Improvement Committee of the Arizona Criminal Justice Commission was convened on January 18, 2012 at the Arizona Criminal Justice Commission, 1110 W. Washington, Suite 250, Phoenix, AZ 85007.

Members Present:

Karl Heckart, Chairperson, Administrative Office of the Courts
Cathy Allen, Coconino County Sheriff's Office, by conference call
Steve Ballance, Pima County Superior Court
Cathy Clarich, Glendale City Court
Frank Gonzales, Pima County Sheriff's Department
Cheryl Harris, Navajo County Attorney's Office, by conference call
Mark Hendershot, Maricopa County Adult Probation
Michael Keran, Scottsdale Police Department
Marna McLendon, Retired, Attorney General's Office
Bill McDonald, Maricopa County Attorney's Office
John Neeley, Tucson Police Department, by conference call
Rob Peck, Pima County Attorney's Office
Jeff Raynor, Department of Public Safety
Gerald Richard, Attorney General's Office

Members Absent:

Shelly Bunn, Maricopa County Sheriff's Office
Jeff Hood, Department of Corrections
Mark Marino, Gilbert Police Department
Julio Marroquin, Yavapai County Attorney's Office

Staff Participating:

Pat Nelson, Program Manager
Bill Kalaf, Program Manager
Wendy Boyle, Executive Secretary

I. Call to Order and Roll Call

The meeting was called to order by Chairperson Karl Heckart at 1:30 p.m. Roll was taken and a quorum was declared present.

II. Minutes of the October 12, 2011 Meeting

Mr. Heckart called for a motion on the minutes. Gerald Richard entered a motion to approve the minutes of the October 12, 2011 meeting. The motion was seconded by Jeff Raynor and was unanimously approved by the Committee.

III. Technical Team Update

Bill Kalaf, Program Manager provided a status update from the Technical Team on the automation survey. The survey was sent to the Policy and Technical Team committees and respondents to the 2006 survey, the last time the survey was conducted. The statewide survey will document the state automation information sharing projects currently underway. The survey consists of 28 questions and is expected to be completed at the end of the January. A report of the results of the survey will be completed by the end of February.

This agenda item was presented for informational purposes and did not require Committee action.

IV. Warrant Reengineering Study

Karl Heckart, Administrative Office of the Courts (AOC) updated the Committee on the warrants reengineering project. Mr. Heckart explained AOC received funding from the Gerald Hardt Memorial Criminal Justice Records Improvement Program to conduct a study, the analysis from which would lead to the development of a design for the warrant process in Arizona. The recommendation

from the study includes executing an electronic arrest warrants roadmap statewide. The practices identified in the study are: 1) consistent and accurate information including enhanced identification; 2) improvement of general availability and access; and 3) a central repository for reliable tracking and management of the warrants.

Mr. Heckart reported the next steps will be to establish a meeting for stakeholders at AOC on February 22, 2012 to discuss the processes, support and concerns moving forward on enhancing the way the warrant process should work. The findings will be presented in a report containing a high level process diagram of the warrant procedure and is tentatively set for review by the Commission at the July meeting.

This agenda item was presented for informational purposes and did not require Committee action.

V. AZLink

Bill Kalaf, Program Manager reported on the progress of the AZ Link consortium and the continued efforts of law enforcement information sharing between state, local, tribal and federal agencies.

Mr. Kalaf reviewed the AZLink/CopLink connectivity diagram that listed the four data warehouses. Law enforcement connections were completed in December 2011 and there are 41,000,000 law enforcement records available. The Phoenix Police Department initial metrics report states there are 15,000,000 PACE documents available. The documents contain arrest records, case management records, departmental reports, and field interview reports; and some of the records date back to the early 1960's. There were 48 agencies signed onto AZLink in 2011. Regional expansion for AZLink includes plans to develop an AZLink Executive Board of Directors, phase in information from the Arizona Department of Corrections to include inmate data, driver's license and vehicle registration information from MVD, and to connect with N-Dex and Border States' law enforcement agencies. Future information sharing projects include biometric identification and integrated information sharing, county pawn data, information from Juvenile Corrections, Attorney General/HIDTA financial transactions such as money transfers, and gang data for connections and notifications.

Mr. Kalaf stated that in Phase I, the Arizona Department of Corrections information and data elements will be housed at the Maricopa County Sheriff's Office. Some of the data elements include inmate name and number, date of birth, demographics, as well as graphic capabilities that can be used as identifiers. Mr. Kalaf also described future technological capabilities of the CopLink product and how it can further assist the efforts of law enforcement by creating various parameters that recognize different patterns of suspicious activities.

This agenda item was presented for informational purposes and did not require Committee action.

VI. AZ Records Improvement & Information Sharing Strategic Plan

Pat Nelson, Program Manager outlined the function of the Commission and the role of ACJC staff. The purpose of the Commission is to: 1) provide direction by setting realistic and prioritized goals; 2) coordinate funding and other resources to accomplish goals; and 3) set policy direction for data sharing decisions by utilizing information and recommendations from the Legislative, Policy, and Technical Committees and business process workgroups. The role of ACJC staff is to foster and maintain ongoing collaboration and open communication with stakeholders through: 1) business process changes and technologies/Policy and Technical Teams; 2) monitor performance metrics; 3) provide statistical information; 4) keep stakeholders informed on federal technology standards and global policies; and 5) apply for grant funding for records improvement and information sharing initiatives.

Ms. Nelson presented the historical information on the goals that were approved by the Commission in 2006 which included: 1) Arizona Disposition Reporting System (ADRS) and the Arrest Capture Data Collection System (AC/DC); 2) the delivery of a common tracking capability from first point of contact through final disposition for justice data; 3) the delivery of an information query capability across justice system; and 4) integrate with federal initiatives. ACJC Statistical Analysis Center staff prepared a fact sheet on timelines and completeness of criminal history records in Arizona for the Committee to review.

Ms. Nelson provided information on the updated goals and objectives of the Arizona Records Improvement and Information Sharing Strategic Plan. Goal 1 is to improve criminal records quality by increasing the timeliness, accuracy, completeness and accessibility of record information. The

objectives include: 1) identifying opportunities to enhance the automation of information delivery, effectiveness of programs and the efficiency of operations; 2) promoting ongoing records improvement collaboration across the flow of the criminal justice system. Goal 2 is to enhance information sharing across jurisdictional boundaries. The objectives for this goal are: 1) developing a conceptual framework that supports information sharing, leverages initiatives and opportunities; 2) pursuing avenues that enhance the connectivity of disparate components, systems and databases to promote seamless information delivery.

Ms. Nelson explained the revisions to the Strategic Plan from the discussion and direction received from the Information Technology and Systems Improvement Committee. It included two bullet points under **Vision – enhance public safety and security for Arizona citizens through a collaborative justice information sharing environment while protecting the privacy of citizens and confidentiality of information, Goal 2, Objective 2.1, 2.2 Supporting Activities and Performance Measures.** 1.) **extend JWI functionality and data sources available to JWI through collaboration with stakeholders and DPS;** and 2). **continue to support through facilitation, participation and information sharing the warrant reengineering initiative focused on business process improvements, information exchange and record retention of warrants.**

Mark Hendershot entered a motion to approve and support the recommendations for the updated goals and objectives in support of the Arizona Records Improvement and Information Sharing Strategic Plan. The motion was seconded by Gerald Richard and was unanimously approved by the Committee.

VII. Call to the Public

Chairperson Heckart made a call to the public. No members of the public addressed the Committee.

VIII. Date, Time, and Location of Next Meeting

Chairperson Heckart proposed that the Policy Team and Technical Team have a joint workgroup meeting to go over the initiatives of the strategic plan.

Pat Nelson, Program Manager recommended canceling the Policy Team meeting scheduled on Wednesday, March 21, 2012 and use the date of **March 28, 2012** that was intended for the Technical Team meeting to schedule the combined Policy Team and Technical Team workgroup meeting. This would be held on **1:30 p.m.** at the Arizona Criminal Justice Commission, 1110 W. Washington, Suite 250, Phoenix, AZ 85007.

IX. Adjournment

The meeting was adjourned at 2:45 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,



John A. Blackburn, Jr.
Executive Director

Audio recording is available upon request.

**POLICY TEAM AND TECHNICAL TEAM
OF THE
INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY AND SYSTEMS IMPROVEMENT COMMITTEE OF THE
ARIZONA CRIMINAL JUSTICE COMMISSION**

Request for Committee Action

Action Requested:	Type of Action Requested:	Subject:
May 11, 2012	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Formal Action/Motion <input type="checkbox"/> Information Only <input type="checkbox"/> Other	Arizona Records Improvement and Information Sharing Priority Recommendations

TO: Committee Members

FROM: Karl Heckart
Policy Team Chairperson

RECOMMENDATION:

The Policy and Technical Teams designate and approve strategic priorities and tactical recommendations to accomplish the goals set forth in the Arizona Records Improvement and Information Sharing Strategic Plan approved by the Commission on March 22, 2012.

DISCUSSION:

On March 22, 2012, the Arizona Criminal Justice Commission approved the following vision, goals and objectives for the AZ Records Improvement and Information Strategic Plan:

Vision: Enhance public safety and security for Arizona citizens through a collaborative justice information sharing environment while protecting the privacy of citizens and confidentiality of information.

GOAL 1 - Improve criminal records quality by increasing the timeliness, accuracy, completeness and accessibility of record information.

Objective 1.1 – Identify opportunities to enhance the automation of information delivery, the effectiveness of programs, and the efficiency of operations.

Objective 1.2 – Promote ongoing records improvement collaboration across the flow of the criminal justice system.

GOAL 2 – Enhance information sharing across jurisdictional boundaries.

Objective 2.1 – Develop a conceptual framework that supports information sharing and leverages initiatives and opportunities.

Objective 2.2 – Pursue avenues that enhance the connectivity of disparate components, systems and databases to promote seamless information delivery.

Policy and Technical Team members will discuss tactical priorities that will advance the approved strategy and support criminal justice stakeholders with records improvements and information sharing.

FISCAL IMPACT:

N/A

ALTERNATIVES:

Not Approve - Modify - Table