

**NOTICE OF PUBLIC MEETING  
OF THE  
ARIZONA CRIMINAL JUSTICE COMMISSION  
AND  
AGENDA**

Pursuant to A.R.S. § 38-431.02, notice is hereby given to the members of the **Arizona Criminal Justice Commission** and to the general public that the **Arizona Criminal Justice Commission** will hold a meeting open to the public on **Thursday, January 15, 2015** beginning at **1:30 p.m.** at the **Arizona Criminal Justice Commission Office, 1110 W. Washington, Suite 250, Phoenix, Arizona 85007.**

Pursuant to the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), the Arizona Criminal Justice Commission endeavors to ensure the accessibility of its meetings to all persons with disabilities. Persons with a disability may request a reasonable accommodation, such as a sign language interpreter, by contacting the Commission Office at (602) 364-1146. Requests should be made as early as possible to allow time to arrange the accommodation.

The Commission may go into Executive Session on any of the following agenda items for the purposes of receiving legal advice pursuant to A.R.S. § 38-431.03(A)(3).

Agenda for the meeting is as follows:

- |             |   |                                    |
|-------------|---|------------------------------------|
| <b>I.</b>   | <b>Call to Order and Roll Call</b>  | <b>Chairperson Bill Montgomery</b> |
| <b>II.</b>  | <b>Minutes of the November 13, 2014 Meeting</b>   |                                    |
|             | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Approval of Minutes</li></ul>   | <b>P-F-T</b>                       |
| <b>III.</b> | <b>Executive Director's Report</b>  | <b>John A. Blackburn, Jr.</b>      |
|             | <b>A.</b> Staff and Program Updates   | <b>Info</b>                        |
|             | <b>B.</b> Budget Update   | <b>Info</b>                        |
|             | <b>C.</b> Legislative Update  | <b>Info</b>                        |
| <b>IV.</b>  | <b>Crime Victim Assistance Grant Program</b>  | <b>Larry Grubbs</b>                |
|             | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Review, discussion, consideration and possible action on the following:</li></ul> |                                    |
|             | <b>A.</b> FY 2016 Grant Program Funding Level   | <b>P-F-T</b>                       |
|             | <b>B.</b> Grant Program Emerging Issue Funding Priority   | <b>P-F-T</b>                       |

- V. Standard Violation Code Table Project**      **Marcus Reinkensmeyer**
- Information to be provided on the Statewide Violation Code Table. **Info**
- VI. AZ Prescription Drug Misuse and Abuse Initiative**      **Phil Stevenson**
- Presentation on results from efforts of the Arizona Prescription Drug Misuse and Abuse Initiative. **Info**
- VII. Call to the Public**
- Those wishing to address the Commission need not request permission in advance. Action taken as a result of public comment will be limited to directing staff to study the matter or rescheduling the matter for further consideration and decision at a later date.
- VIII. Date, Time, and Location of Next Meeting**
- The next Commission meeting will be held on **Thursday, March 19, 2015** at **1:30 p.m.** at 1110 W. Washington, Suite 250, Phoenix, Arizona 85007.
- IX. Adjournment**

A copy of the agenda background material provided to Commission members is available for public inspection at the Arizona Criminal Justice Commission Office, 1110 West Washington, Suite 230, Phoenix, Arizona 85007, (602) 364-1146. This document is available in alternative formats by contacting the Commission Office.



## ARIZONA CRIMINAL JUSTICE COMMISSION

### Request for Commission Action

---

<b>Action Requested:</b>	<b>Type of Action Requested:</b>	<b>Subject:</b>
January 15, 2015	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Formal Action/Motion <input type="checkbox"/> Information Only <input type="checkbox"/> Other	Minutes of the November 13, 2014 Meeting

---

**TO:** Chairperson and Commission Members

**FROM:** John A. Blackburn, Jr.  
Executive Director

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Commission approve the minutes of the Arizona Criminal Justice Commission meeting held on November 13, 2014.

**DISCUSSION:**

N/A

**FISCAL IMPACT:**

N/A

**ALTERNATIVES:**

Not Approve - Modify - Table

**Arizona Criminal Justice Commission**  
**Minutes**  
**November 13, 2014**

A public meeting of the Arizona Criminal Justice Commission was convened on November 13, 2014 at the Arizona Criminal Justice Commission, 1110 W. Washington, Suite 250, Phoenix, AZ 85007.

Members Present:

Bill Montgomery, Chairperson, Maricopa County Attorney  
David Byers, Vice Chairperson, Director, Administrative Office of the Courts  
Joseph Arpaio, Maricopa County Sheriff, John MacIntyre representing  
Joseph Brugman, Chief, Safford Police Department  
Timothy Dorn, Chief, Gilbert Police Department  
Clarence Dupnik, Pima County Sheriff, Paul Wilson representing  
Chris Gibbs, Mayor, City of Safford, by conference call  
Robert Halliday, Director, Department of Public Safety  
Tom Horne, Attorney General, Andrew Pacheco representing  
Drew John, Graham County Supervisor  
Ellen Kirschbaum, Chairperson, Board of Executive Clemency  
Barbara LaWall, Pima County Attorney, Kathleen Mayer representing  
Sheila Polk, Yavapai County Attorney  
William Pribil, Coconino County Sheriff  
Charles Ryan, Director, Department of Corrections, Jeff Hood representing  
David Sanders, Pima County Chief Probation Officer  
Daniel G. Sharp, Chief, Oro Valley Police Department  
Steven Sheldon, Former Judge

Members Absent:

Mark Spencer, Law Enforcement Leader

Staff Participating:

John A. Blackburn, Jr., Executive Director  
Larry Grubbs, Program Manager  
Andy LeFevre, Public Information Officer  
Pat Nelson, Program Manager  
Tony Vidale, Program Manager  
Phil Stevenson, Director, Statistical Analysis Center  
Wendy Boyle, Executive Secretary

Guest Participating:

Jerry Landau, Administrative Office of the Court

**I. Call to Order and Roll Call**

The meeting was called to order by Chairperson Bill Montgomery at 1:30 p.m. Roll was taken and a quorum was declared present.

**II. Minutes of the July 17, 2014 Meeting**

Chairperson Montgomery called for a motion on the minutes. Commissioner David Byers entered a motion to approve the minutes of the meeting held on July 17, 2014. The motion was seconded by Commissioner Daniel Sharp and was unanimously approved by the Commission.

**III. Executive Director's Report**

***A. Staff and Program Update***

Executive Director Blackburn acknowledged ACJC guests Paul Embry and Anne Gallegos from the National Center for State Courts; and Mo West from SEARCH who were in the audience as technical assistance providers for the Bureau of Justice Assistance Improving the Completeness of Firearm Background Checks through the Enhanced State Data Sharing grant.

Executive Director Blackburn introduced Jayde Ely as the new Program Project Specialist for Victim Services department. ACJC has experienced a high turnover of personnel notably two upcoming retirements that include Nina Clifford, Program Project Specialist who has been with the state for 14 years and Pat Nelson, Program Manager who has 20 years of state service. Interviews are set for the Criminal Justice Records Improvement Program Manager with the hope of hiring by the end of November. The staffing overlap will allow for the transfer of knowledge between Ms. Nelson and the new staff person. Two other unexpected vacancies are open that include a Research Analyst II position vacated by Steve Irvine who was the lead researcher for the Arizona Youth Survey. Megan Armstrong was promoted to the position which leaves her position open. Amanda Zibell will be leaving the agency and her Grant Coordinator position will be posted on November 24, 2014. Staff anticipates having the positions filled before Susan Preston, Human Resources Manager retires in May 2015 and then will concentrate on hiring for her position.

***B. 2015 Meeting Schedule***

Executive Director Blackburn presented the ACJC 2015 public meeting schedule and asked the Commission members to review the schedule and contact staff if there are any significant conflicts with the meeting dates.

The Executive Director's report was presented for informational purposes and did not require Commission action.

#### IV. Election of a Chairperson and a Vice Chairperson

Chairperson Montgomery moved on to agenda Item IV, the election of a Chairperson and a Vice Chairperson. Commissioner Daniel Sharp entered a motion to continue with the current Chairperson and Vice Chairperson. The motion was seconded by Commissioner Robert Halliday and was unanimously approved by the Commission.

Executive Director Blackburn stated that commission appointments will expire on January 12, 2015. The new governor will appoint or reappoint members to the commission; historically, members continue to serve until they are replaced. There are nineteen positions set in statute to serve on the commission, these positions are established either by office or a jurisdictional formula.

Executive Director Blackburn asked commissioners to contact ACJC to notify us of their intent to continue to serve on the commission; this information will be relayed to the Governor's staff.

This agenda item was presented for informational purposes and did not require Commission action.

#### V. Legislative Proposals

Andy LeFevre, Public Information Officer presented proposed legislation for the 2015 legislative session. The Legislative Committee met on October 8, 2014 to review, discuss, and support the draft legislation for recommendation to the Commission.

Mr. LeFevre introduced the first three legislative proposals that are related to NICS.

The first item of legislation was the Case Information reported to the NICS, local law enforcement. The intent of the legislation is to create authorizing language necessary for the Department of Public Safety (DPS) to provide law enforcement agencies with mental health ruling case information as reported to NICS. This information would be used to enforce a court order, assist in an investigation or for the purpose of returning property. Chairperson Montgomery asked if other stakeholders have reached out regarding the legislation and Mr. LeFevre responded that the National Rifle Association has had initial discussions and is in agreement.

Commissioner David Sanders entered a motion to support the Case Information; Law Enforcement legislation. The motion was seconded by Designee Kathleen Mayer and was unanimously approved by the Commission.

The second piece of legislation Prohibited Possessor; Mental Health consists of adding to the definition of prohibited possessor in Arizona those individuals under court appointed guardianship except if the appointment is due solely to a physical incapacity.

Designee John MacIntyre entered a motion to support the Prohibited Possessor; Mental Health legislation. The motion was seconded by Commissioner Drew John and was unanimously approved by the Commission.

The third item of legislation NICS: Prohibited Possessor; Criminal Offenses adds to the definition of prohibited possessor in Arizona as someone who is under indictment or information for an offense listed in Section 13-706, subsection F. The offenses include the most serious crimes or where a judicial officer imposes a condition of release that the person not possess a firearm. It also authorizes the transmission of the information from the Supreme Court to DPS, and from DPS into NICS.

Designee Kathleen Mayer entered a motion for the support of the NICS; Prohibited Possessor; Criminal Offenses legislation. The motion was seconded by Commissioner Joe Brugman and was unanimously approved by the Commission.

Mr. LeFevre provided items four, five, six and seven as possible legislation for consideration.

The fourth piece of legislation removes language from Section 1. 41-1750 Central state repository; department of public safety; duties, funds, accounts, definitions that involves fingerprint requirements and creates a new section of statute 41-1757 specifically with fingerprinting for clarity. There were no other changes to the statute language.

Chairperson Montgomery referred to page 16, line 24 of Section 2. 41-1757 (d) The Director and the Supreme Court may adopt rules necessary to execute this section and discussed if the Supreme Court has not otherwise the inherent rule making authority.

Jerry Landau, Administration Office of the Courts referred to the statutory reference to the Supreme Court that shall establish rules that are inconsistent throughout the code and explained some statutes either reference or not; however, for clarifying purposes that part of the legislative language can be changed.

Commissioner David Byers entered a motion to support the Law Enforcement; Courts; Fingerprinting legislation amending under page 16, line 24 the deletion of "and the Supreme Court" for a technical cleanup of the language. The motion was seconded by Commissioner Daniel Sharp and was unanimously approved by the Commission.

The fifth piece of legislation Judgment of guilt; document would at the time of sentencing for certain offenses, require the court to permanently place a defendant's fingerprint to the sentencing document/minute order, or record the defendant's two-fingerprint biometric-based identifier in the criminal case file. Also, if the booking agency cannot determine whether a legible ten-print fingerprint was taken from the arrestee, the booking agency shall take the ten-print. The Commission had discussion on the additional language the ten-print taken by the booking agency.

Pat Nelson, Program Manager addressed how the issue was reviewed over the years regarding the missing fingerprints for those individuals being sent to the Department of Corrections without a criminal history record being created. Ms. Nelson pointed out this was a recommended solution to make sure that if the ten-print had not been taken at the local agency before admission to the jail, to have that function housed at the sheriff's agency to ensure the ten-print would be made to establish a criminal history record.

Chairperson Montgomery summarized to leave the wording as is and choose to modify the language at a later date that would make the booking agency overall responsible for the undertaking but flexible enough to adapt as changes are needed.

Designee Kathleen Mayer entered a motion to support the legislation. The motion was seconded by Commissioner David Sanders. The motion passed with one abstention by Designee John MacIntyre.

The sixth legislative proposal was the Failure to Appear/Notice to Appear that amends statute from "shall" to "may" giving courts discretion in filing a failure to appear complaint. It would create a one year timeline for the prosecutor to notify the court of an intent to prosecute the complaint. If the prosecutor provides no intent, the complaint is dismissed.

Commissioner Sheila Polk conveyed two concerns for the legislation. The first was transferring the burden of the workload from the court staff or clerk to file the failure to appear complaint to the Prosecutor's offices. Under the current system if a defendant fails to show up in city or justice court, the prosecutors do not appear until the defendant pleads not guilty. Under this legislation when a defendant fails to appear, it will not be filed unless it is transferred to the Prosecutor's office. The Prosecutor would pull the file to review and make a decision whether or not to file and then prosecute. Also, under the current system when the defendant fails to appear, the court issues a complaint and the warrant and defendant is picked up. The defendant may come back to court and plead guilty and the prosecutor does not get involved at all. The second concern is the failure to capture the data around the failure to appear complaints because of the proposed change in language from "shall" to "may".

Commissioner Polk stated she is a member of a committee along with Vice Chairperson Byers that is reviewing pre-trial program release concerns. The issue is finding ways to ensure that the pre-trial population is not kept unnecessarily in custody pre-trial. The expectations are to lower the pre-trial population in jail and reassure the public that defendants not in custody are not dangerous and will show up for the hearing or trial. Commissioner Polk stated the information that pre-trial service units will be looking at when the system is implemented are; is the defendant dangerous and are they going to appear in court. By making this discretionary other than mandatory, we are not going to be capturing historic data on the defendant that they have failed to appear previously.

Ms. Nelson addressed that staff has been working on the dispositions in the repository that have no ending date. The failure to appear charges are one of the areas that continues to get deferred. The issues in the legal process are that the court clerks cannot dispose of a failure to appear because nothing had been filed in the courts; and the prosecutor is not able to file a "no file" because it was not presented to their office. This legislation was intended to address the issue so an automated disposition could be placed after a time period if no action had been taken on the offense.

Mr. Landau explained this was the best development thus far to clear up the failure to appear charges filed and not being prosecuted with notification to the prosecutor that it is filed and or not filed. The proposed rule would not be effective until January 2016 and this would be part of the re-engineering of the criminal history records. There was also discussion on combining the two statutes into one and continue discussion on the failure to appear.

Designee John MacIntyre entered a motion to table this item so further action can be made on the proposal before having discussions with the Arizona Prosecuting Attorney Advisory Council on the language. The motion was seconded by Designee Kathleen Mayer and passed unanimously. The Commission instructed staff to open a bill file at the legislature for Section 1. 13-2506. It would not be introduced as a bill unless the issues discussed have been resolved. Ms. Nelson pointed out work would continue on the rules warrant.

The last legislative item was not reviewed by the Legislative Committee. It is the Victim Compensation and Assistance Fund; Payments for Services that amends Section 41-2407 to require a medical provider that accepts payment from the Victim Compensation Program as payment in full and may not attempt to collect any further payment from the victim or claimant for those same services. It came to staff's attention how the Arizona Health Care Cost Containment System (AHCCCS) was able to negotiate with the medical groups to accept their payment as final and the Victim Compensation Program would look to do the same.

Larry Grubbs, Program Manager explained the county programs were asked to aggressively negotiate the county fees for medical expenses related to the Victim Compensation Program. While there has been up to a 50 percent reduction in medical costs it has been noted that some medical fees were inflated from provider networks from each state, which brought up concerns if the programs were getting the best rates. The county programs were asked to start negotiating at the AHCCCS rate if possible and the bill would solidify the negotiated position of the Victim Compensation Program within the counties on this approach.

Chairperson Montgomery said he would entertain a motion to support the amendment to ARS § 41-2407. Designee Kathleen Mayer entered a motion to support the victim compensation and assistance fund; payments for services legislation. The motion was seconded by Commissioner David Byer and was unanimously approved by the Commission. Staff will reach out to the medical providers/stakeholders to ensure they are in agreement with the AHCCCS or another reasonable rate.

## **VI. Update on Other Legislative Discussions**

Andy LeFevre, Public Information Officer gave an update on legislative items that were discussed at the close of the 2014 legislative session.

Mr. LeFevre addressed the first item. The Commission approved the proposal in concept to implement a drug fine structure and making the fines and fees more consistent with the sentencing code across all the categories for drug convictions. Staff met with various criminal justice stakeholders in August 2014 and found good ideas to improve the current fine structure of drug crime sentences. The recommendation was to not move forward at this time because of the current climate of the legislature and the challenges the state of Arizona is going to face with the budget deficit.

The second item is a request to have more flexibility for the use of funds for the Statistical Analysis Center. The current language within the statute limits spending for the Arizona Youth Survey (AYS). ACJC does not spend the full amount and with the residual amount left in the fund, the monies could be used to do other research. Mr. LeFevre noted that ACJC may seek remedy within the legislative appropriation process.

Mr. LeFevre gave the Commissioners a list of the 52<sup>nd</sup> Arizona legislative leadership that had been approved for the Senate and the House of Representatives and talked about a potential criminal justice day at the state capital in January 2015. This program would be presented so new legislators or staffers gain an overview of how the criminal justice systems works and meet and greet the legislative liaisons.

This agenda item was presented for informational purposes and did not require Commission action.

## **VII. CY2015 Residential Substance Abuse Treatment Program (RSAT) Grant Awards**

Tony Vidale, Program Manager presented the recommendation by the Drug, Gang and Violent Crime Control Committee to award \$428,424 in federal and local cash and/or in-kind match funds for the 2015 Residential Substance Abuse Treatment (RSAT) grant program.

Mr. Vidale explained the purpose of the RSAT program is to assist governments in developing and implementing substance abuse treatment programs in state and local correctional and detention facilities, and to create and maintain community-based post release services for offenders. The RSAT program is structured into three areas that consist of residential, jail-based and post-release treatment. There are two funding requirements for the RSAT program; ten percent of the federal award must be made available to local correctional or detention facilities, and funds can be awarded for post-release treatment services that do not exceed one year after release.

Mr. Vidale stated that ACJC was awarded \$238,479 for the FFY 2014 grant of which the agency was allocated ten percent for administrative costs. A total of \$428,424 is available to fund the CY15 projects. The funding breakdown contains \$321,318 in federal funds which include unexpended FFY2013 funds, and \$107,106 in required matching funds. The grant solicitation opened on August 18, 2014 and closed on October 3, 2014. Table DC2 on page 22 of the agenda showed the requested amount of \$666,066 from five agencies with a breakdown of \$499,549 in federal monies and \$166,517 in match funds. The recommendation would fund the Coconino County Sheriff's Office, Arizona Department of Corrections, Maricopa County Sheriff's Office, Arizona Department of Juvenile Corrections, and Chicanos Por La Causa.

Designee John MacIntyre entered a motion to approve the award of \$428,424 in federal and local cash and/or in-kind match funds for the 2015 RSAT grant program beginning January 1, 2015 and ending December 31, 2015 for the five designated agencies listed on page 22 of the agenda. The motion was seconded by Commissioner Joe Brugman and was unanimously approved by the Commission.

## **VIII. 2014 Enhanced Drug & Gang Enforcement (EDGE) Report**

Tony Vidale, Program Manager presented the 2014 Enhanced Drug & Gang Enforcement (EDGE) Report.

Mr. Vidale explained the report meets the statutory requirement for ACJC to report on the activities related to illicit drugs and drug related gang activity. The report outlines how the funds are distributed and managed, and the methods used for the various programs in coordinating activities toward the goal of combating drug crime and related criminal activity. The report includes the expenditures of federal monies through the Byrne/Justice Assistance Grant (JAG) program as well as the state monies through the Drug Enforcement Account (DEA) fund.

The EDGE reported was summarized by sections. The report includes the DEA fund, summary information on law enforcement prosecution activities, account history, and the prevalence of drug activity. The report contains information on individual project areas consisting of agency budget, project description and objectives, quarterly highlights, performance benchmarks, and activity data. The project activities fall under Apprehension, Prosecution, Civil Forfeiture, Forensic Drug Evidence Analysis, Court Adjudication, Medicaid Fraud and Criminal Justice Records Improvement.

Mr. Vidale reviewed the highlights of the report. In 2014, there were 5,200 arrests by the funded task forces, with marijuana as the number one drug involving arrests followed by methamphetamine and heroin. The most common arrestee was male, Caucasian over 18. There were 390 weapons seized, 210 were handguns. There were 180 drug trafficking organizations dismantled and 184 were disrupted. There were seizures of 128,000 pounds of marijuana, 1,200 pounds of cocaine, 1,800 pounds of methamphetamine, and 307 pounds of heroin. On the prosecution side, there were over 30,000 drug prosecutions, 86 percent reported as misdemeanors in which most were related to possession of marijuana.

Mr. Vidale reported that 16,000 offenders received sentences. The most common sentence was probation, followed by a prison sentence. The civil forfeiture project under the Attorney General's office reported there were \$18M forfeited in assets, 101 criminal enterprises disrupted and 2,500 defendants prosecuted. The forensic support project performed over 14,000 analyses on various types of drugs with an average of 7 days to complete the analysis. Forensic staff testified 168 times in court and the project trained 438 officers on drug field testing. The adjudication project had 12 drug courts that served 2,000 participants and 14,000 probationers, roughly 16,000 drug tests were performed with over 97 percent testing negative, and 16,000 indigent defendants were served for drug crimes.

This agenda item was presented for informational purposes and did not require Commission action.

**IX. Arizona Youth Survey**

Phil Stevenson, Director, Statistical Analysis Center gave a high level overview of the Arizona Youth Survey (AYS) for 2014.

Mr. Stevenson explained the biennial survey is conducted every two years for 8<sup>th</sup>, 10<sup>th</sup> and 12<sup>th</sup> grade students. There was a significant decline in the sample size for 2014 and staff believes there were two factors that contributed to the limited data. The first was the Common Core survey conducted during the same time as AYS and the Arizona Youth Risk Behavior Survey that was distributed to the schools by the Arizona Department of Education. This survey is typically given the opposing year that AYS is published; however, it was administered at the same time which created a conflict.

Mr. Stevenson pointed out the AYS is based on the Communities that Care model that includes the risk and protective factors. The risk factors are the characteristics that increase the likelihood that a youth will develop one or more health or behavioral problems and the protective factors are the characteristics which decrease the likelihood that a youth will develop one or more health or behavioral problems. The four modules used are community, family, school and peer-individual. AYS captures other measures such as lifetime and 30-day alcohol, tobacco and other drug use, current and former incarcerated relatives, youth resiliency, school safety and the source of drugs and alcohol. The study also touches on demographics where data can be taken by zip code, age, grade and gender.

Mr. Stevenson reviewed some of the highlights of the survey. They included the cumulative risk and protection of past 30-day marijuana use, self-reported past 30-day substance use from 2006-2014 for Arizona 8<sup>th</sup> grade students, 10<sup>th</sup> grade students and 12<sup>th</sup> grade students. Other data that was captured from 2006-2014 consisted of delinquent and anti-social behavior, as well as school safety. In 2012 and 2014, the survey reported where Arizona youth get prescription drugs and marijuana. Some of the sources include friends, home, parties, family, school and medical marijuana card holders. The report also had information from 2006-2014 on no or slight risk of drug use, peer perception and availability of marijuana. Finally, the report captured 2014 information on why youth use drugs and one of the reasons cited was to deal with stress. Some of the research from 2012 and 2014 showed the reasons youth do not use drugs is that it would disappoint parents and other adults.

Also, Mr. Stevenson reported on AYS publications and data availability. They include state and county profiles, city profiles, research briefs, and the on-line community data project.

This agenda item was presented for informational purposes and did not require Commission action.

**X. Call to the Public**

Chairperson Montgomery made a call to the public. No members of the audience addressed the Commission.

**XI. Date, Time, and Location of Next Meeting**

The next Arizona Criminal Justice Commission meeting will be held on **Thursday, January 15, 2015** at the Arizona Criminal Justice Commission, 1110 W. Washington, Suite 250, Phoenix, Arizona 85007.

**XII. Adjournment**

The meeting was adjourned at 3:35 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,



John A. Blackburn, Jr.  
Executive Director

Audio recording is available upon request.

## ARIZONA CRIMINAL JUSTICE COMMISSION

### Request for Commission Action

---

<b>Action Requested:</b>	<b>Type of Action Requested:</b>	<b>Subject:</b>
January 15, 2015	<input type="checkbox"/> Formal Action/Motion <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Only <input type="checkbox"/> Other	Executive Director's Report

---

**TO:** Chairperson and Commission Members

**FROM:** John A. Blackburn, Jr.  
Executive Director

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Information Only

**DISCUSSION:**

Executive Director Blackburn will discuss staff and program updates.

**FISCAL IMPACT:**

N/A

**ALTERNATIVES:**

N/A

## ARIZONA CRIMINAL JUSTICE COMMISSION

### Request for Commission Action

---

<b>Action Requested:</b>	<b>Type of Action Requested:</b>	<b>Subject:</b>
January 15, 2015	<input type="checkbox"/> Formal Action/Motion <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Only <input type="checkbox"/> Other	Executive Director's Report

---

**TO:** Chairperson and Commission Members

**FROM:** John A. Blackburn, Jr.  
Executive Director

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Information Only

**DISCUSSION:**

Executive Director Blackburn will update the Commission on the Commission budget and the legislative budget hearings.

**FISCAL IMPACT:**

N/A

**ALTERNATIVES:**

N/A

## ARIZONA CRIMINAL JUSTICE COMMISSION

### Request for Commission Action

---

<b>Action Requested:</b>	<b>Type of Action Requested:</b>	<b>Subject:</b>
January 15, 2015	<input type="checkbox"/> Formal Action/Motion <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Only <input type="checkbox"/> Other	Executive Director's Report

---

**TO:** Chairperson and Commission Members

**FROM:** John A. Blackburn, Jr.  
Executive Director

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Information Only

**DISCUSSION:**

Executive Director Blackburn will update the Commission on the 2015 legislative session.

**FISCAL IMPACT:**

N/A

**ALTERNATIVES:**

N/A

## ARIZONA CRIMINAL JUSTICE COMMISSION

### Request for Commission Action

---

<b>Action Requested:</b>	<b>Type of Action Requested:</b>	<b>Subject:</b>
January 15, 2015	<input type="checkbox"/> Formal Action/Motion <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Only <input type="checkbox"/> Other	Crime Victim Assistance FY 2016 Grant Program Funding Level

---

**TO:** Chairperson and Commission Members

**FROM:** Larry Grubbs, Program Manager  
Crime Victims Services

#### **RECOMMENDATION:**

The Commission approve the funding level for the Crime Victim Assistance Grant Program for FY 2016 be set at \$1,570,000.

#### **DISCUSSION:**

The Crime Victim Assistance Program funding level in FY 2015 was \$1,250,000. Based on revenue projections and contingent on legislative approval, staff proposes increasing the program funding level by \$320,000 to \$1,570,000 for FY 2016. Table VS-1 on the following page shows revenue and expenditures estimates associated with the program for FY 2015 and FY 2016.

The Crime Victims Committee will meet and make a recommendation to the Commission.

#### **FISCAL IMPACT:**

The program size will determine the amount of funding available for ACJC funded victim assistance projects in FY 2016. Increasing the total program size by \$320,000 will significantly impact recipient agencies.

#### **ALTERNATIVES:**

Not Approve - Modify - Table

VS-1

CRIME VICTIM COMPENSATION AND ASSISTANCE FUND			
FY16 PROGRAM BUDGET			
FY15 BEGINNING BALANCE			\$ 3,791,948
FY15 PROJECTED REVENUE			
	Crime Victim Compensation Revenue	\$	2,367,300
	<b>Crime Victim Assistance Revenue</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>1,188,700</b>
REVERSIONS RECEIVED (AS OF 12/19/14)		\$	5,202
TOTAL AVAILABLE FOR FY15 PROGRAM		\$	7,353,150
FY15 PROJECTED EXPENDITURES			
	Crime Victim Compensation Program	\$	2,542,500
	<b>Crime Victim Assistance Program</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>1,250,000</b>
	ACJC Administrative Expense	\$	104,700
PROJECTED AVAILABLE FOR FY16 PROGRAM		\$	3,455,950
FY16 PROJECTED REVENUE			
	Crime Victim Compensation Revenue	\$	2,385,800
	<b>Crime Victim Assistance Revenue</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>1,206,500</b>
ESTIMATED AVAILABLE FOR FY16 PROGRAM		\$	7,048,250
FY16 PROPOSED PROGRAM SIZE			
<i>Pending Legislative Approval</i>			
	Crime Victim Compensation Program	\$	2,542,500
	<b>Crime Victim Assistance Program</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>1,570,000</b>
	ACJC Administrative Expense	\$	104,700
		\$	4,217,200
PROJECTED AVAILABLE FOR FY17 PROGRAM		\$	2,831,050

## ARIZONA CRIMINAL JUSTICE COMMISSION

### Request for Commission Action

---

<b>Action Requested:</b>	<b>Type of Action Requested:</b>	<b>Subject:</b>
January 15, 2015	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Formal Action/Motion <input type="checkbox"/> Information Only <input type="checkbox"/> Other	Crime Victim Assistance Grant Program Emerging Issue Funding Priority

---

**TO:** Chairperson and Commission Members

**FROM:** Larry Grubbs, Program Manager  
Crime Victims Services

#### **RECOMMENDATION:**

The Commission approve up to \$100,000 of the total program size for the FY 2016 Crime Victim Assistance Grant program be used to fund programs providing direct services to victims of human trafficking.

#### **DISCUSSION:**

At the November 2011 meeting, the Commission approved the option of annually designating an emerging victim issue, or an underserved victim population as a funding priority for the upcoming grant period.

Over the last few years statutory protections and the availability of services for victims of human trafficking have increased significantly. Despite this increased awareness and protections, the ACJC Crime Victim Assistance Grant Program does not fund any project where victims of human trafficking are the primary beneficiaries. The proposed funding recommendation will provide up to \$100,000 to fund programs targeting this underserved victim population.

The Crime Victims Committee will meet and make a recommendation to the Commission.

#### **FISCAL IMPACT:**

Designating up to \$100,000 of the total program size for FY 2016 will significantly impact recipient agencies serving human trafficking victims.

#### **ALTERNATIVES:**

Not Approve - Modify - Table

## ARIZONA CRIMINAL JUSTICE COMMISSION

## Request for Commission Action

Action Requested:	Type of Action Requested:	Subject:
January 15, 2015	<input type="checkbox"/> Formal Action/Motion <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Only <input type="checkbox"/> Other	Standard Violation Code Table Project

**TO:** Chairperson and Commission Members

**FROM:** Marcus Reinkensmeyer, Administrative Office of the Courts

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Information Only

**DISCUSSION:**

Information will be presented on the Standard Violation Code Table Project. In late 2013, the Standard Violation Codes Workgroup was formed to develop and deploy a standard set of violation codes that could be used by all citing agencies. The workgroup was made up of two judges, two prosecutors, and staff from the Administrative Office of the Courts, Arizona Department of Transportation Motor Vehicle Division, and the Arizona Criminal Justice Commission.

The AOC will maintain the comprehensive literal table for all offenses and all levels of offenses (civil, criminal and traffic) for agencies to use in their record management and case management systems. The ACJC will continue to maintain the literal tables for criminal offenses and local violation codes for the DPS AZAFIS and AZ Computerized Criminal History (ACCH) Systems. The table maintenance of both websites is a collaborative effort between AOC, ACJC, MVD, and DPS. This notification is simply to provide guidance on using both tables effectively.

The Statewide Standard Violation Table is recommended for individual agencies that use a case management system, records management, and/or citing device (ex. Hand-held device), in order to make the citing process between agencies more unified. The Statewide Standard Violation Code Table is available at the following link:

<http://www.azcourts.gov/courtservices/AutomationServicesUnit/StatewideStandardViolationCodeTable.aspx>

**FISCAL IMPACT:**

N/A

**ALTERNATIVES:**

N/A

## ARIZONA CRIMINAL JUSTICE COMMISSION

### Request for Commission Action

---

<b>Action Requested:</b>	<b>Type of Action Requested:</b>	<b>Subject:</b>
January 15, 2015	<input type="checkbox"/> Formal Action/Motion <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Only <input type="checkbox"/> Other	AZ Prescription Drug Misuse and Abuse Initiative

---

**TO:** Chairperson and Commission Members

**FROM:** Phil Stevenson, Director, Statistical Analysis Center

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Information Only

**DISCUSSION:**

Presentation on the results of an evaluation of the Arizona Rx Drug Misuse & Abuse Initiative and an overview of recently published research briefs.

**FISCAL IMPACT:**

N/A

**ALTERNATIVES:**

N/A