





























































## **Funding Priorities**

In November 2011 the Commission approved the following as funding priorities for the Crime Victim Assistance Grant Program:

<b>Crime Victim Assistance Funding Priorities</b>	
1	Continue funding from one grant period to the next for programs with a strong performance history, who meet the application requirements.
2	Funding programs that demonstrate a strong collaborative effort with law enforcement, prosecution, service providers, community organizations, and other social service agencies.
3	Funding programs that provide multiple service types to a diverse range of victimization types.
4	Funding programs that demonstrate strong support of established goals and objectives and clearly identify how the success of the program will be measured.
5	Funding at least one program in each county in the State provided that the program meets eligibility requirements and the minimum required application score.
6	Funding programs operating in counties with the highest crime per capita rate based on the latest Uniform Crime Report.
7	Funding programs that demonstrate a strong component of assisting crime victims in filing victim compensation claims.
8	Annually providing \$25,000 directly to the Arizona Victim Assistance Academy.
9	Funding programs providing services to address an emerging victim issue, or to an underserved victim population, as designated annually by the ACJC.

## **FY 2017 Funding Recommendation**

### **General Overview**

ACJC Victim Services Staff recommends victim assistance grant awards totaling \$1,275,280 for FY17. This award total is \$168,920 less than the \$1,444,200 program size approved by the Commission in January. Several factors contributed to the lower total award amount.

Six current grantees with awards totaling \$154,919 withdrew from the ACJC victim assistance grant program entirely for FY17. All of these projects received VOCA assistance funding to cover the lack of ACJC funds. Additionally, nineteen applicants requested \$226,671 less than those agencies current FY16 collective total award amount for FY17. Finally, the recommendation restricts increased awards to Tier 3 projects (see Tier 3 Award Detail below).

The recommendation includes funding for two agencies not previously funded by ACJC: Cochise Family Advocacy Center under Tier 2 for \$42,727; Community Alliance Against Family Abuse (CAFA) under Tier 2 for \$20,875. Funding was not approved for Parents and Relatives of Murdered Children. Both the score team and financial compliance staff reviews determined the application was below the standards required for an award.

## **Tier 1 Award Detail**

Extending current awards under Tier 1 to bridge the gap between the end of the ACJC FY16 grant period (6/30/2016) and the beginning of the next DPS VOCA assistance grant period (10/1/2016) is the highest funding priority. Nineteen currently funded projects submitted grant adjustment requests to extend the agency's current FY16 award to 9/30/2016 with an additional quarter's worth of ACJC funding. The recommendation for fifteen of these grant extensions is to provide the additional funding as requested.

The ACJC crime victim assistance grant announcement allowed current grantees to submit an extension request under Tier 1 and a competitive application under Tier 2 or Tier 3 as long as the score team determined the project requesting funding through the application was substantially different than the currently funded project requesting the extension. After comparing the project narratives and budget requests from the FY16 and FY17 applications, the score team denied entirely or in part Tier 1 extension requests for four currently funded programs: A New Leaf, Inc., Ama Doo Alchini Bighan, Inc., Mesa City Prosecutor's Office, and Southern Arizona Children's Advocacy Center. These agencies were instead recommended for awards under Tier 2 or Tier 3 for the FY17 grant period.

## **Tier 2 Award Detail**

Tier 2 attempted to identify and prioritize funding for projects that are ineligible under the guidelines of the DPS VOCA assistance grant program. Of the eighteen applications submitted under Tier 2, seven included budget requests that were determined to be entirely VOCA assistance ineligible. The activities included in these applications are the gap activities Tier 2 set out to identify. These are important areas to explore further and possibly expand funding to in the future. The following is a list of those Tier 2 eligible projects and activities:

- Administration of the Crime Victim Compensation Program
  - Maricopa County Attorney's Office
  - Mohave County Attorney's Office
  - Pinal County Attorney's Office
  - Santa Cruz County Attorney's Office
  - Victim / Witness Services for Coconino County
  - Yuma County Attorney's Office
- Domestic Violence Transitional Housing Assistance
  - Against Abuse, Inc.
- DPS VOCA Record of Effective Services Requirement
  - Cochise Family Advocacy Center

The score team recommended funding these projects to the degree the requests were determined reasonable and adequately justified through the application narrative.

The remaining eleven applications either included mixed elements falling under Tier 2 and Tier 3, or were purely Tier 3.

### **Tier 3 Award Detail**

For the twenty applications submitted under Tier 3, and including those projects submitted under Tier 2 where the degree of DPS VOCA assistance ineligible activity could not be determined, the funding recommendation is to award these projects an amount equal to or less than the agency's current FY16 award amount. Agencies were awarded less only if the agency requested less. This recommendation reflects the continued emphasis placed on identifying and supporting those victim service activities that are critical and important, yet lack access to adequate funding through established state and federal grant sources, including primarily DPS VOCA assistance.

Table VS-3 displays the staff recommendation for funding allocations to all applicant agencies. The table summarizes the FY16 grant awards, FY17 grant requests, and proposed FY17 awards for all applicants under all tiers.

**TABLE VS-3 FY17 CRIME VICTIM ASSISTANCE GRANT FUNDING RECOMMENDATION**

Comm. Eligible	Applicant Agency	FY16 Grant Awards	FY17 Requested (All Tiers)	FY17 Grant Awards			FY17 Proposed Total Grant Awards
				Tier 1	Tier 2	Tier 3	
	A New Leaf, Inc.	\$ 41,600	\$ 50,300	\$ 5,200	\$ -	\$ 39,900	\$ 45,100
	Against Abuse, Inc.	21,500	105,360	5,375	55,200	-	60,575
	Ama Doo Alchini Bighan, Inc. (ADABI)	18,825	45,309	-	-	18,825	18,825
*	Apache County Attorney's Office	23,339	19,990	-	19,990	-	19,990
*	Arizona Attorney General's Office	57,237	14,309	14,309	-	-	14,309
	Arizona Coalition for Victim Services	25,000	25,169	-	25,000	-	25,000
	Arizona's Children Association	13,382	13,650	-	-	13,382	13,382
	AZ Coalition to End Sexual and Domestic Violence	26,886	60,968	-	-	26,886	26,886
	Bullhead City Attorney's Office	15,217	3,804	3,804	-	-	3,804
	Casa Grande City Attorney's Office	25,491	-	-	-	-	-
*	Chandler Police Department	20,168	17,281	-	-	17,281	17,281
	Chicanos Por La Causa, Inc.	22,400	-	-	-	-	-
	Childhelp	15,480	3,870	3,870	-	-	3,870
	Chrysalis Shelter For Victims of Domestic Violence	17,999	24,525	4,500	20,025	-	24,525
*	City of Maricopa	26,282	25,052	-	-	25,052	25,052
	City of Surprise (Prosecutor)	28,938	-	-	-	-	-
*	Cochise County Attorney's Office	21,044	21,044	-	-	21,044	21,044
	Cochise Family Advocacy Center, Inc.	-	77,515	-	42,727	-	42,727
	CODAC Behavioral Health Services of Pima County	32,632	8,158	8,158	-	-	8,158
	Colorado River Regional Crisis Shelter, Inc.	21,353	32,850	-	-	21,353	21,353
	Community Alliance Against Family Abuse (CAFA)	-	20,875	-	20,875	-	20,875
*	El Mirage Police Department	32,491	-	-	-	-	-
	Emerge Center Against Domestic Abuse	46,100	102,516	-	-	46,100	46,100
	EMPACT-Suicide Prevention Center	23,496	26,760	-	-	23,496	23,496
*	Gila County Attorney's Office	17,600	25,519	-	-	17,600	17,600
*	Graham County Attorney's Office	29,677	53,519	-	29,677	-	29,677
*	Greenlee County Attorney's Office	8,337	16,674	-	-	8,337	8,337
	Haven Family Resource Center	15,673	15,674	-	-	15,673	15,673
	Homicide Survivors, Inc.	28,700	26,027	7,175	-	18,852	26,027
	Jewish Family and Children's Service	16,410	4,102	4,102	-	-	4,102
	Kingman Aid To Abused People, Inc.	16,800	4,200	4,200	-	-	4,200
*	La Paz County Attorney's Office	24,959	45,538	-	24,959	-	24,959
*	Maricopa County Attorney's Office	104,400	288,463	26,100	160,368	-	186,468
	Mesa City Prosecutor's Office	24,500	20,960	-	-	14,835	14,835
*	Mohave County Attorney's Office	28,447	30,919	-	30,919	-	30,919
	Mt. Graham Safe House, Inc.	21,783	25,274	-	-	21,783	21,783
*	Navajo County Attorney's Office	32,295	53,403	-	32,295	-	32,295
	Northland Family Help Center	20,000	5,000	5,000	-	-	5,000
	Parents and Relatives of Murdered Children	-	-	-	-	-	-
*	Peoria Police Department	29,550	-	-	-	-	-
	Phoenix City Fire Department	17,568	4,392	4,392	-	-	4,392
	Phoenix City Prosecutor's Office	32,420	-	-	-	-	-
*	Pima County Attorney's Office	106,900	112,020	-	-	106,900	106,900
*	Pinal County Attorney's Office	28,599	35,367	-	35,367	-	35,367
*	Santa Cruz County Attorney's Office	23,750	25,850	-	25,850	-	25,850
	Sojourner Center	21,600	5,400	5,400	-	-	5,400
	Southern Arizona AIDS Foundation	19,934	19,791	-	19,791	-	19,791
	Southern Arizona Children's Advocacy Center	24,068	33,762	-	24,068	-	24,068
	Tempe City Social Services - Care 7	35,212	39,808	8,803	-	31,005	39,808
	Time Out, Inc.	16,120	-	-	-	-	-
	Tohdenasshai Committee Against Family Abuse	18,676	28,700	-	-	18,676	18,676
	Verde Valley Sanctuary	32,143	18,160	-	18,160	-	18,160
	Victim/Witness Services For Coconino County	30,000	31,049	7,500	23,549	-	31,049
*	Yuma County Attorney's Office	41,219	41,592	-	41,592	-	41,592
	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$ 1,444,200</b>	<b>\$ 1,710,468</b>	<b>\$117,888</b>	<b>\$650,412</b>	<b>\$506,980</b>	<b>\$ 1,275,280</b>

**TABLE VS-4 FY16 CRIME VICTIM ASSISTANCE FUNDING SUMMARY**

<b>Funding Distribution by Victimization Type</b>	<b>Number of Awards</b>	<b>% of Awards</b>	<b>\$ Amount Awarded</b>	<b>% of \$ Awarded</b>
Child Abuse	3	6%	41,320	3%
Domestic Violence	19	36%	338,505	27%
Multiple Victimization Types	26	49%	799,255	63%
Other Specialized	3	6%	49,523	4%
Sexual Assault	2	4%	46,677	4%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>\$1,275,280</b>	<b>100%</b>
<b>Funding Distribution by Primary Service Area</b>	<b>Number of Awards</b>	<b>% of Awards</b>	<b>\$ Amount Awarded</b>	<b>% of \$ Awarded</b>
State Wide	3	6%	66,195	5%
Apache County	2	4%	38,815	3%
Cochise County	2	4%	63,771	5%
Coconino County	3	6%	36,049	3%
Gila County	1	2%	17,600	1%
Graham County	2	4%	51,460	4%
Greenlee County	1	2%	8,337	1%
La Paz County	3	6%	61,985	5%
Maricopa County	16	30%	374,652	29%
Mohave County	3	6%	38,923	3%
Navajo County	2	4%	50,971	4%
Pima County	8	15%	244,426	19%
Pinal County	4	8%	136,494	11%
Santa Cruz County	1	2%	25,850	2%
Yavapai County	1	2%	18,160	1%
Yuma County	1	2%	41,592	3%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>\$1,275,280</b>	<b>100%</b>
<b>Funding Distribution by Commission Eligibility</b>	<b>Number of Awards</b>	<b>% of Awards</b>	<b>\$ Amount Awarded</b>	<b>% of \$ Awarded</b>
Commission Eligible	17	32%	\$ 637,640	50%
Non-Profit / Other Gov't	36	68%	\$ 637,640	50%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>\$ 1,275,280</b>	<b>100%</b>



## ARIZONA CRIMINAL JUSTICE COMMISSION

### Request for Commission Action

---

<b>Action Requested:</b>	<b>Type of Action Requested:</b>	<b>Subject:</b>
May 19, 2016	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Formal Action/Motion <input type="checkbox"/> Information Only <input type="checkbox"/> Other	Hiring Process for the Executive Director Position

---

**TO:** Chairperson and Commission Members

**FROM:** John A. Blackburn, Jr.  
Executive Director

#### **RECOMMENDATION:**

The Commission select a candidate for the Executive Director position.

#### **DISCUSSION:**

The Hiring Committee met on May 4, 2016 and interviewed two internal candidates for the position of Executive Director.

The Hiring Committee will meet on May 16, 2016 to review internal applicant information and forward a recommendation to the Commission.

#### **FISCAL IMPACT:**

N/A

#### **ALTERNATIVES:**

Not Approve - Modify - Table