

**NOTICE OF PUBLIC MEETING
OF THE
ARIZONA CRIMINAL JUSTICE COMMISSION
AND
AGENDA**

Pursuant to A.R.S. § 38-431.02, notice is hereby given to the members of the **Arizona Criminal Justice Commission** and to the general public that the **Arizona Criminal Justice Commission** will hold a meeting open to the public on **Thursday, November 5, 2015** beginning at **1:30 p.m.** at the **Arizona Criminal Justice Commission Office, 1110 W. Washington, Suite 250, Phoenix, Arizona 85007.**

Pursuant to the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), the Arizona Criminal Justice Commission endeavors to ensure the accessibility of its meetings to all persons with disabilities. Persons with a disability may request a reasonable accommodation, such as a sign language interpreter, by contacting the Commission Office at (602) 364-1146. Requests should be made as early as possible to allow time to arrange the accommodation.

The Commission may go into Executive Session on any of the following agenda items for the purposes of receiving legal advice pursuant to A.R.S. § 38-431.03(A)(3).

Agenda for the meeting is as follows:

- I. Call to Order and Roll Call** **Chairperson Bill Montgomery**

- II. Minutes**
 - A. Approval of Minutes from the July 16, 2015 Meeting** **P-F-T**
 - B. Approval of Minutes from the September 10, 2015 Meeting** **P-F-T**

- III. Election of a Chairperson and a Vice Chairperson** **John A. Blackburn, Jr.**
 - Review, discussion, consideration and possible action on the process to elect a Chairperson and a Vice Chairperson for the Arizona Criminal Justice Commission. **P-F-T**

- IV. Executive Director's Report** **John A. Blackburn, Jr.**
 - A. Staff and Program Update** **Info**
 - B. 2016 Meeting Schedule** **Info**

- V. Legislative Proposals** **Andy LeFevre**
- Review, discussion, consideration and possible action on support of proposed ACJC legislation. **P-F-T**
- VI. CY2016 Residential Substance Abuse Treatment Program (RSAT) Grant Awards** **Tony Vidale**
- Review, discussion, consideration and possible action on allocation of 2016 RSAT grant awards. **P-F-T**
- VII. 2016-2019 Drug, Gang & Violent Crime Control (DGVCC) Strategy** **Tony Vidale**
- Review, discussion, consideration and possible action on the approval of the DGVCC Strategy. **P-F-T**
- VIII. 2015 Enhanced Drug & Gang Enforcement (EDGE) Report** **Tony Vidale**
- Presentation of the report and highlights of the findings. **Info**
- IX. Call to the Public**
- Those wishing to address the Commission need not request permission in advance. Action taken as a result of public comment will be limited to directing staff to study the matter or rescheduling the matter for further consideration and decision at a later date.
- X. Date, Time, and Location of Next Meeting**
- The next Commission meeting will be held on **Thursday, January 21, 2016 at 1:30 p.m.** at 1110 W. Washington, Suite 250, Phoenix, Arizona 85007.
- XI. Adjournment**

A copy of the agenda background material provided to Commission members is available for public inspection at the Arizona Criminal Justice Commission Office, 1110 West Washington, Suite 230, Phoenix, Arizona 85007, (602) 364-1146. This document is available in alternative formats by contacting the Commission Office.

ARIZONA CRIMINAL JUSTICE COMMISSION

Request for Commission Action

Action Requested:	Type of Action Requested:	Subject:
November 5, 2015	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Formal Action/Motion <input type="checkbox"/> Information Only <input type="checkbox"/> Other	Minutes of the July 16, 2015 Meeting

TO: Chairperson and Commission Members

FROM: John A. Blackburn, Jr.
Executive Director

RECOMMENDATION:

The Commission approve the minutes of the Arizona Criminal Justice Commission meeting held on July 16, 2015.

DISCUSSION:

N/A

FISCAL IMPACT:

N/A

ALTERNATIVES:

Not Approve - Modify - Table

**Arizona Criminal Justice Commission
Minutes
July 16, 2015**

A public meeting of the Arizona Criminal Justice Commission was convened on July 16, 2015 at Little America Hotel, 2515 E. Butler Avenue, Flagstaff, AZ.

Members Present:

Bill Montgomery, Chairperson, Maricopa County Attorney
David Byers, Vice Chairperson, Director, Administrative Office of the Courts
Joseph Arpaio, Maricopa County Sheriff, John MacIntyre representing
Mark Brnovich, Attorney General, Don Conrad representing
Joe Brugman, Chief, Safford Police Department
K.C. Clark, Navajo County Sheriff, James Molesa representing
Sean Duggan, Chief, Chandler Police Department
Clarence Dupnik, Pima County Sheriff, Paul Wilson representing
Chris Gibbs, Mayor, City of Safford
Drew John, Graham County Supervisor
Ellen Kirschbaum, Chairperson, Board of Executive Clemency
Barbara LaWall, Pima County Attorney, Kathleen Mayer representing
Frank Milstead, Director, Department of Public Safety
Sheila Polk, Yavapai County Attorney
Charles Ryan, Director, Department of Corrections
David Sanders, Pima County Chief Probation Officer, by conference call
Roberto Villaseñor, Chief, Tucson Police Department

Members Absent:

Heston Silbert, Law Enforcement Leader

Staff Participating:

John A. Blackburn, Jr., Executive Director
Karen Ziegler, Deputy Director
Andy LeFevre, Public Information Officer
Larry Grubbs, Program Manager
Marc Peoples, Program Manager
Wendy Boyle, Executive Secretary

Guests Participating:

Heather Cotter, Waterhole Consulting
Tony Coulson, NTH Consulting
Aaron Gorrell, Waterhole Consulting

I. Call to Order and Roll Call

The meeting was called to order by Chairperson Bill Montgomery at 2:00 p.m. Roll was taken and a quorum was declared present.

Chairperson Montgomery also welcomed distinguished visitor Representative Sonny Borrelli to the Commission meeting.

II. Minutes of the May 21, 2015 Meeting

Chairperson Montgomery called for a motion on the minutes. Commissioner David Byers entered a motion to approve the minutes of the meeting held on May 21, 2015. The motion was seconded by Commissioner Joe Brugman and was unanimously approved by the Commission.

III. Executive Director's Report

A. Staff and Program Updates

Executive Director Blackburn stated there were several changes to positions at ACJC. Shana Malone accepted the position of the Statistical Analysis Center (SAC) Director, Matt Bileski was promoted to the position of Senior Research Analyst and Carlana Orosco was promoted to the position of Program Project Specialist II.

Executive Director Blackburn explained another SAC staff person left to pursue a doctoral degree and the position may remain vacant. There is also a vacant Grant Coordinator position and ACJC staff will wait for the available funding to fill the position.

Executive Director Blackburn explained he continues to work with DPS and the Governor's Office trying to get the Sex Offender Registration and Notification Act (SORNA) penalty revenues back to Arizona. The 10 percent penalty assessed on the Byrne/JAG grant for non-compliance since 2013 totals over \$500,000. The penalty funds could come back and be used in Arizona to fund any project that move towards compliance with SORNA. DPS has ideas to use the funding effectively for other projects. The Governor signed off that Arizona is in compliance with the Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA); therefore, Arizona will not be penalized five (5) percent for non-compliance.

B. Legislative Update

Andy LeFevre, Public Information Officer presented the 2015 ACJC Legislative Summary. Mr. LeFevre explained the summary covers the pieces of legislation that ACJC was tracking throughout the session that dealt with law enforcement, criminal justice, and government issues. The ACJC criminal justice issues in 2015 included two legislative priorities: 1) *SB 1295*; ACJC's fingerprinting bill that required the booking agency to take the 01 fingerprint for the criminal case file and 2) *SB1373*; ACJC's NICS expansion bill that provided authorization to DPS to provide law enforcement the indicator notification of the mental health information. Both bills passed unanimously in both chambers and was signed into law July 3, 2015. *SB1170* was also signed into law on July 3, 2015 requiring additional Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organization (RICO) reporting requirements. It requires all counties to maintain on the county's website a link to the Arizona Criminal Justice Commission, where the quarterly reports are maintained. The bill also requires agencies to provide additional reporting to their county board of supervisors' office or city/town council. Mr. LeFevre thanked the legislative liaisons this year for working together and getting the legislation through in a timely manner.

The Executive Director's report was presented for informational purposes and did not require Commission action.

IV. Criminal Justice Records Improvement Strategy Review

Marc Peoples, Program Manager reviewed the vision and goals of the Criminal Justice Records Improvement Strategy. Goal I is to automate information sharing and promote improvement of the criminal records; Goal II is to enhance information sharing across jurisdictional boundaries.

Mr. Peoples provided an overview of the priorities in FY2016. The first three high level activities are: 1) the continuation of activities supporting the funding through the Arizona National Instant Criminal Background Check System (NICS) Records Improvement Plan; 2) the Criminal eFiling, with the proto type funded through the Administrative Office of the Courts (AOC); and 3) the Failure to Appear project currently in progress is looking at the issues through the various workgroups. The remaining high level initiatives: the 2FID pilot projects through Maricopa County Superior Court and Pinal County Superior Court; and the eWarrant Proof of Concept Project initiated by Coconino County Sheriff's Office and the Flagstaff Municipal Court are ongoing.

Mr. Peoples discussed the medium priority plans which included: the eCitation Implementation in all jurisdictions; the Synchronization of Probation data; and the JWI Data Source Sharing where further research is required. Also, it was recommended that the Arizona Disposition Reporting System (ADRS) be moved to the level of high importance, for multi-disciplinary outreach and training.

Chairperson Montgomery and Commissioner Roberto Villaseñor suggested that along with JWI data source sharing, to look at the Arizona Joint Powers Public Safety Committee that was authorized in previous legislation. Having both efforts cooperating would incorporate the data source sharing network information for law enforcement throughout Arizona.

Mr. Peoples reviewed the Percentage of Disposition Charges by Submission Type – CY 2004-2013. With Pima County and Maricopa County using the Arizona Disposition Reporting System (ADRS) and the Simplified Segmented Approach, a reduction in the number of paper processes is expected. In addition, a strategy will be to look at building an ADRS computer based training (CBT) for Disposition Reporting.

Chairperson Montgomery stated that when looking at the usage implementation going forward, there may be a significant backlog of the yellow paper sheets. During the implementation of electronic based submissions, there will be a period of time when the paper submissions would still be utilized until the backlog gets resolved.

Next, Mr. Peoples discussed the grant funding supporting the strategy goals and activities. The first section covered funding for the NICS Act Records Improvement Program (NARIP). The grant awards were given by the Bureau of Justice Statistics (BJS) for FFY 2013 and FFY 2014 in the amount of \$1,426,645. The National Criminal History Records Improvement Program (NCHIP) grant funding included awards by BJS for FFY 2013 and FFY 2014, in the amount of \$893,766. The Bureau of Justice Assistance (BJA) Improving Background Checks initiative had funding for FFY13 in the amount of \$634,236. Funding for FY 2015, in the amount of \$211,749 was awarded through Criminal Justice Records Improvement Program (CJRIP) funds. A total amount of \$3,166,396 had been awarded for criminal justice system improvement projects.

Mr. Peoples gave an update on applications submitted for federal grant funds supporting the strategy goals/activities for federal fiscal year 2015. The first grant funding request is for NICS, totaling \$1.7 million, to continue the NICS task force; resolve issues in the Orders of Protection System through AOC; review the Gap Initiative; and Automation of Missing Disposition Reports through DPS. The second grant application for NCHIP, totaling \$1.3 million, is to fund the e-Warrant Expansion through AOC, Missing Disposition Backlog Project through Maricopa County Prosecutors Office, Police Data Sharing Project for Apache County Livescan Machine Project and the AZ AFIS equipment update through DPS. The third grant application is a partnership with the City of Phoenix, for an Office on Violence Against Woman (OVW) grant, in the amount of \$305,775. This would be a collaboration on the Orders of Protection Project.

This agenda item was presented for informational purposes and did not require Commission action.

V. 2FID Pilot Project and Recommendations for Criminal Justice Records Improvement Program (CJRIIP)

Heather Cotter, Waterhole Consulting updated the Commission on the 2FID pilot project in Maricopa and Pinal counties that resulted from the strategic assessment report published in 2014.

Ms. Cotter explained the completed pilot project examined using a biometric technology that would produce a better quality fingerprint taken in the court room at sentencing, rather than the current ink and roll process. The rollout involved using two different technologies called MorphoTrak and Cross Match, in both jurisdictions. Preliminary key findings include the DPS review which indicates fingerprints using a 600 DPI, COTS printer are sufficient for the ADC GAP Filler Project, and there is a perceived ease of use in the courtroom environment, with no significant change in courtroom business processes. The purpose of the pilot program was to look at the image of the fingerprint to see if the quality was better.

Chairperson Montgomery asked about the deployment in the remaining 14 county superior courts and in what courts did the pilot project take place.

Ms. Cotter explained the DUI court was used in Maricopa County, and the early disposition court was used for testing in Pinal County. Another question was asked if both products allowed for capturing the fingerprint. The answer was yes. The resulting benefit is; if a bailiff takes a fingerprint upon sentencing, within 60-90 seconds, they will receive from AFIS confirmation the defendant is who the person says they are, if their print is in the system.

Commissioner David Byers entered a motion to approve setting aside five (5) percent of the FY 2016 through FY 2017 Byrne/JAG funding, designated for the Gerald Hardt Criminal Justice Records Improvement Program, in the amount not to exceed \$200,000, to support the initiative for implementing mobile fingerprint devices in the remaining 14 County Superior Courts' criminal courtrooms. The motion was seconded by Commissioner Chris Gibbs.

Commissioner Byers shared that the Information, Technology and Systems Improvement Committee had discussions on the disclosures of the initiative; adding the courts will ask about the ongoing maintenance and responsibility of the technologies for the mobile fingerprint devices.

Chairperson Byers also talked about how the details will need to clarify what the commitment, responsibility, and the costs will be for the project.

Commissioner Roberto Villaseñor shared his concerns with dedicating funds for a project where there are no total costs defined. He expressed a need for more details on the maintenance costs and total expenditures.

Chairperson Montgomery directed staff to provide an update on the estimated costs and the progress in selecting the vendor, prior to moving forward. The Commission will be updated on how close the amount is to the \$200,000; and identify if there will be additional costs, before the amount is expended.

Chairperson Montgomery asked if there were any other questions on the motion. The motion on the table was that the amount would not exceed \$200,000 to support the initiative and was unanimously approved by the Commission.

VI. National Instant Criminal Background Check System (NICS) Taskforce Update with Added Recommendations

Marc Peoples, Program Manager explained that from the NICS task force meeting on July 14, 2015, the task force made five recommendations that included four recommendations for Condition of Release and one for Protection Orders.

Tony Coulson, NTH Consulting reviewed the five recommendations. The first proposal is all Conditions of Release that prohibit the possession of firearms be reported to NICS. When a judge, for any criminal matter imposes a condition of release that prohibits firearms possession, it is reported to NICS. The second proposal is Conditions of Release that prohibit a possession of firearms are reported electronically and automatically to NICS. The third proposal is the system used to report Conditions of Release that prohibit the possession of firearms, shall also be able to automatically modify/cancel a record. Since Conditions of Release can change quickly and be modified, the information can be pulled automatically and updated, if needed. The fourth proposal is that law enforcement should have access to Conditions of Release that prohibit the possession of firearms through their automated systems.

Commissioner David Byers entered a motion to approve the addition of the four recommendations under Conditions of Release to the Arizona National Instant Criminal Background Check System (NICS) Records Improvement Plan. The motion was seconded by Designee Kathleen Mayer and unanimously approved by the Commission.

Next, Mr. Coulson presented the NICS task force recommendation that the Arizona Criminal Justice Commission should develop a statewide protocol that establishes a best practices model on how Protection Orders should be processed and shared.

Mr. Coulson reported that the task force wants to look at practices, in terms of: how the orders of protection are issued, how they are made available to all of law enforcement, and how a victim can decide that the orders of protection should be served. Law enforcement through their automated system should have the ability to get the orders of protection served, and to have the notice of service automated, therefore it would be done more efficiently. As of now, the process is built on paper forms entered into the National Crime Information Center (NCIC), by the Sheriff.

Designee John MacIntyre entered a motion that the Commission approve the recommendation that the Protection Orders be added to the Arizona National Instant Criminal Background Check System (NICS) Records Improvement Plan and reads as follows: **that the Arizona Criminal Justice Commission should develop a statewide protocol that establishes a best practices model on how Protection Orders should be processed and served.** The motion was seconded by Designee Kathleen Mayer and unanimously approved by the Commission.

VII. Full Service Forensic Crime Laboratory Grant Program

Marc Peoples, Program Manager reported to the Commission on the Full Service Forensic Crime Laboratory Grant Program and the allocation of funds in the amount of \$800,000 for the cycle July 1, 2015 through June 30, 2016.

Mr. Peoples stated the amount funded last year was \$850,000 and was decreased by two percent. The Commission was referred to the Full Service Crime Lab funding summary on page 16 of the agenda. The allocation includes a base of \$100,000 for the four police department full service crime labs, and \$300,000 for three labs within the Department of Public Safety, with the balance distributed by population. Commissioner David Byers entered a motion to approve the Full Service Forensic Crime Laboratory Grant allocations for \$800,000 to the five funding recipients shown on page 16 of the agenda. The motion seconded by Commissioner Joe Brugman and was approved unanimously by the Commission.

VIII. FY17 Crime Victim Assistance Grant Program

Larry Grubbs, Program Manager presented an update on the FY15 award to DPS for the Victims of Crime Act (VOCA) Assistance grant and the potential changes to ACJC's FY17 Crime Victim Assistance Grant Program.

Mr. Grubbs explained the increase of the federal VOCA assistance grant administered in Arizona by DPS, has increased from \$8 million for FFY14 to \$40.7 million for FFY 2015. It is also anticipated that the FFY16 award will equal or exceed the FFY15 amount. The competitive grant process will take place in August with awards being made in October 2015.

Mr. Grubbs stated ACJC victim services program staff will conduct an assessment of the ACJC victim assistance program that will address points to consider because of the significant increase to victim service grant funding. Items to consider are: maintaining the program as is, tailoring the program to become a more specialized fund source, or rolling the victim assistance funding into a larger victim compensation program administered by ACJC. The assessment will be used to determine the best utilization of the state funding in the most responsible and effective way possible. The analysis could result in significant changes to the grant program for FY17. The Commission inquired how the VOCA funding differs from the state funding. Mr. Grubbs responded that the VOCA funding is subject to federal guidelines, and is limited and more stringent. However, Federal VOCA Administration is reviewing the guidelines at this time.

Commissioner Byers suggested staff look at applying for the \$1M from DPS, so the state could have the flexibility within the state statute; thereby; VOCA could be used for other projects and the two funding sources could be coordinated.

Mr. Grubbs explained there is a mechanism to coordinate. DPS and ACJC, as well as other agencies that distribute state and federal victim funding, participate on a state coordinating team facilitated by the Governor's office. Also, DPS is facilitating a strategic planning process to help identify any gaps in the services. We are all waiting for the federal guidelines as we move forward from here.

Chairperson Montgomery stated, the critical aspect is to see whether or not VOCA guidelines are amended to allow for greater use of the increase of funds.

Mr. Grubbs maintained the staff intends on updating the Commission on the initial feedback from the stakeholders, current victim assistance grantees, victim services community, and other state and federal victim service fund administrators. There will be a recommendation to the Crime Victims Committee and Commission in January 2016.

This agenda item was presented for informational purposes and did not require Commission action.

IX. ACJC Budget

A. FY2015/2016 Budget Update

Deputy Director Karen Ziegler reported that ACJC closed the state fiscal year (FY15) on June 30, 2015. The actual expenses were under the budgeted expenses for the year and that revenues have not rebounded from the pre-recession numbers. Staff continues to look for ways to cut costs and stretch the resources.

Deputy Director Ziegler outlined the revenues that support the agency. The entire Criminal Justice Enhancement Fund (CJEF) revenue was \$38.4 million, down 4.2 percent from last fiscal year. This has been a five year trend and an increase in future revenues does not appear to look favorable. Staff is looking at other means of funding the criminal justice activities so there can be less dependence on the CJEF revenues.

Deputy Director Ziegler explained the state Drug Enforcement Account (DEA) revenues saw a similar decline. There was a 1.7 percent decline over last year. This account helps fund the multi-jurisdictional task forces and ACJC also uses the fund to support the agency's operations budget. Another funding source is the Victim Compensation and Assistance fund that has been surprisingly stable the last two years. The program receives CJEF funding but there are other revenue sources that go into the compensation program to help stabilize the fund. One in particular, is the unclaimed restitution monies from the Department of Revenue. In FY15, \$683,000 was deposited to the fund.

Next, Deputy Director Ziegler presented the summary of the ACJC operating and program budget for FY15-16. A handout was given that showed the operating budget and pass through accounts for the FY15 actual expenses and the FY16 approved budget. The total ACJC expenditures in FY15 were \$18,475,728. The FY16 approved budget do not include the grants that were applied for this year because they have not been awarded. ACJC has been successful in carrying out the mission with the current funding; however, there have been significant declines in the administrative monies on the federal side where the agency is now using two years of federal grants to get through one state fiscal year and that is not sustainable. The goal for FY17 is to secure additional funding from the Arizona Prevention Resource Center to fund the SAC unit and alleviate the stress on the other funding sources.

Deputy Director Ziegler reported the FY17 request to the legislature is due on September 1, 2015; however, with the implementation of the new state accounting system, staff has asked for an extension until October 1, 2015 to submit the budget. The Governor's office will review the budget proposals, put together a proposal for the legislature, and the Joint Legislative Budget Committee (JLBC) will print their baseline report. Staff will bring back any updates to the Commission at future meetings.

Chairperson Montgomery then acknowledged Arizona Speaker of the House David M. Gowan, Sr. was present and if needed, would address the meeting at the call to the public.

This agenda item was presented for informational purposes and did not require Commission action.

B. FY2017 Budget Issues Request

Deputy Director Karen Ziegler explained ACJC will submit as its top priority, a General Fund request for funding the Criminal Justice Systems Improvement efforts in the state. The same funding requests were presented last year and will be resubmitted in the FY17 budget proposal.

The first budget issue relates to the Criminal Justice Systems Improvement and Records Integration program request of \$6.1M from the general fund to allow the establishment of an integrated computer information system with specifically, \$1.8M to fund the first year of a multi-year project.

The second funding request is the Arizona Youth Survey (AYS) Arizona Prevention Resource Center Fund. Staff proposes to expand the use of the funds to support the research activities of the entire SAC unit. This frees up the DEA funding that is currently used to fund SAC so those funds can support the federally funded positions where the revenues are declining. Deputy Director Ziegler stressed this is a critical issue for FY17.

Commissioner Byers questioned if the first budget issue should be retooled and make a request to be consisted with the current plan.

Deputy Director Ziegler stated the projects that were put in the project investment justification (PIJ) last year are not the same projects that were listed for the federal funding and are still consistent with the current plan.

Aaron Gorrell, Waterhole Consulting added the projects listed were the full statewide rollout of an arrest warrant system and the second expenditure was a series of livescan machines for the missing fingerprint issue. Commissioner Villaseñor strongly suggested the need for communication between ACJC and the Arizona Joint Power Safety Committee so the two groups can create a partnership regarding the same goals.

The third issue is the Fill the Gap funding for the State Aid to County Indigent Defense. The last two years ACJC has not received an appropriation for the State Aid to Indigent Defense program as the monies have been swept by the legislature to fund the Department of Public Safety (DPS) and the Arizona Attorney General's Office for specific projects. ACJC recommends directing the funds back to the Indigent Defense program for its original intended purpose.

The fourth item is for the Crime Victim Compensation and Assistance Fund. There is a stable fund balance and as Executive Director Blackburn and Larry Grubbs, Program Manager stated, staff is anticipating an increase in requests for compensation because of the increase in services funded through the DPS victim assistance program. Chairperson

Montgomery also discussed a change in the victim compensation rules to cover more items and this may be the appropriate time to review.

Commissioner David Byers entered a motion to approve the four budget issues for submission in the FY17 budget. The motion was seconded by Commissioner Drew John and was unanimously approved by the Commission.

C. CJEF Formula Recommendation

Deputy Director Karen Ziegler stated *ARS 41-2405.7* requires the Commission to make a recommendation to the legislature regarding the purpose and formula for allocation of CJEF funds. The recommendation is to be included in the agency's budget submittal. Staff recommended no change to the current formula. The current distribution was listed on page 23 of the agenda.

There was discussion on the increase in funds administered by DPS from the Office of Victims of Crime (OVC) for the Victims of Crime Act (VOCA) assistance grant award. Also, discussion regarding a legislative request to increase the allocation to ACJC for a specific time by shifting the percentage from *ARS 41-2401.D.13* and *14* in the statute up to *41-2401.D.10*, to address the agency's budgetary problems.

Larry Grubbs, Program Manager spoke specifically on *D.14* for the Victim Compensation and Assistance fund. The OVC is clear that the federal victim assistance funds cannot be used to pay for victim compensation expenses. The majority of state funds are used for the purposes to pay for actual benefits to victims of crime through the crime victim compensation program.

The Commission discussed having staff examine an alternate formula distribution and bring the item back to the Commission at the next meeting.

Commissioner Joe Brugman entered a motion to table this item. The motion was seconded by Designee John MacIntyre and passed unanimously. The Commission instructed staff to look at the options, identify if adjustments could be made to the formula, and present an updated recommendation at the next meeting.

X. Call to the Public

Chairperson Montgomery made a call to the public. Arizona Speaker of the House David M. Gowan, Sr. spoke to the Commission about taking back control of the budget with intentions to focus on public safety.

XI. Date, Time, and Location of Next Meeting

The next Arizona Criminal Justice Commission meeting will be held on **Thursday, September 24, 2015** at the Arizona Criminal Justice Commission, 1110 W. Washington, Suite 250, Phoenix, Arizona 85007.

XII. Adjournment

The meeting was adjourned at 3:55 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,



John A. Blackburn, Jr.
Executive Director

Audio recording is available upon request.

ARIZONA CRIMINAL JUSTICE COMMISSION

Request for Commission Action

Action Requested:	Type of Action Requested:	Subject:
November 5, 2015	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Formal Action/Motion <input type="checkbox"/> Information Only <input type="checkbox"/> Other	Minutes of the September 10, 2015 Meeting

TO: Chairperson and Commission Members

FROM: John A. Blackburn, Jr.
Executive Director

RECOMMENDATION:

The Commission approve the minutes of the Arizona Criminal Justice Commission meeting held on September 10, 2015.

DISCUSSION:

N/A

FISCAL IMPACT:

N/A

ALTERNATIVES:

Not Approve - Modify - Table

Arizona Criminal Justice Commission
Minutes
September 10, 2015

A public meeting of the Arizona Criminal Justice Commission was convened on September 10, 2015 at Arizona Criminal Justice Commission, 1110 W. Washington, Suite 230, Phoenix, AZ 85007 by conference call.

Members Present:

Bill Montgomery, Chairperson, Maricopa County Attorney
David Byers, Vice Chairperson, Director, Administrative Office of the Courts, Jerry Landau representing
Joe Brugman, Chief, Safford Police Department
K.C. Clark, Navajo County Sheriff
Sean Duggan, Chief, Chandler Police Department
Chris Gibbs, Mayor, City of Safford
Ellen Kirschbaum, Chairperson, Board of Executive Clemency
Barbara LaWall, Pima County Attorney, David Smutzer representing
Frank Milstead, Director, Department of Public Safety
Sheila Polk, Yavapai County Attorney
Charles Ryan, Director, Department of Corrections, Jeff Hood representing
David Sanders, Pima County Chief Probation Officer
Roberto Villaseñor, Chief, Tucson Police Department

Members Absent:

Joseph Arpaio, Maricopa County Sheriff
Mark Brnovich, Attorney General
Drew John, Graham County Supervisor
Heston Silbert, Law Enforcement Leader

Staff Participating:

John A. Blackburn, Jr., Executive Director
Wendy Boyle, Executive Secretary

I. Call to Order and Roll Call

The meeting was called to order by Chairperson Bill Montgomery at 10:05 a.m. Roll was taken and a quorum was declared present.

II. ACJC Budget-CJEF Formula Recommendation

Executive Director Blackburn reviewed part of the statutory requirement A.R.S 41-2405.7 for the Commission to make recommendations to the legislature and the governor's office through the ACJC budget request regarding the purposes and formula for allocation of fund monies for the Criminal Justice Enhancement Fund (CJEF). At the July 2015 meeting, the Commission asked staff to review the statute to see if any recipients could potentially use the increased funds from the Office of Victims of Crime (OVC) allocated to the Department of Public Safety (DPS) for activities and therefore shift their share of CJEF to fund ACJC operations.

Executive Director Blackburn pointed out that DPS went from \$8M to \$40M annually in the Victims of Crime Act (VOCA) grant. ACJC staff reviewed the distribution to CJEF recipients to see if any CJEF funded projects would be eligible to be funded through the DPS VOCA grant and found the only CJEF program eligible would be the Attorney General's Office of Victim Services through A.R.S. 41-2401.D-13.

Executive Director Blackburn stated that the VOCA guidelines are not finalized so it is unknown at this time whether the program would be eligible under the new rules. Once the final rules governing the additional VOCA funds are complete, examining the shifting funds next year might be a viable option.

Executive Director Blackburn stated the funding has decreased significantly in the last seven years; and all programs funded by CJEF have undergone reductions. ACJC has worked closely with the Arizona Prosecuting Attorney's Advisory Council (APAAC) and Arizona Peace Officers Standards and Training Board (AZ POST), who also have been affected by the reductions. Any changes in the formula to obtain additional funding for ACJC would further hinder the other program and agencies funded by CJEF. ACJC staff will continue to explore the possibility of a formula

change as soon as the rules are finalized at VOCA and to work with DPS and the Attorney General's (AG) Office. Therefore, staff recommends no change to the formula as part of the submission with the ACJC budget at this time.

Commissioner Joe Brugman entered a motion recommending no change to the CJEF formula this year for the budget submittal, asking staff to continue monitoring the rules for the VOCA funding and working with DPS and the AG's office should any funding changes arise next year. The motion was seconded by Commissioner Ellen Kirschbaum and was unanimously approved by the Commission.

III. Call to the Public

Chairperson Montgomery made a call to the public. No members of the audience addressed the Commission.

IV. Date, Time, and Location of Next Meeting

The next Arizona Criminal Justice Commission meeting will be held on **Thursday, November 5, 2015** at the Arizona Criminal Justice Commission, 1110 W. Washington, Suite 250, Phoenix, Arizona 85007.

V. Adjournment

The meeting was adjourned at 10:20 a.m.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "John A. Blackburn, Jr.", written in a cursive style.

John A. Blackburn, Jr.
Executive Director

Audio recording is available upon request.



ARIZONA CRIMINAL JUSTICE COMMISSION

Request for Commission Action

Action Requested:	Type of Action Requested:	Subject:
November 5, 2015	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Formal Action/Motion <input type="checkbox"/> Information Only <input type="checkbox"/> Other	Election of a Chairperson and a Vice Chairperson

TO: Chairperson and Commission Members

FROM: John A. Blackburn, Jr.
Executive Director

RECOMMENDATION:

The Commission will vote to open the nomination process for the Chairperson and Vice Chairperson.

DISCUSSION:

ACJC Policy and Procedure CJC-115.1.

- C. "Term of Chairperson and Vice Chairperson" is the term of office in which members selected from within the ranks of the appointed and ex-officio members of the Arizona Criminal Justice Commission hold these two positions. Terms shall run from the first regular meeting of the Commission in a calendar year for a period not to exceed 24 months. The Vice Chair may normally succeed the outgoing Chairperson, unless this policy is waived by vote of the Commission or other circumstances dictate.
- D. "Nominations for Chair and/or Vice Chair" shall be announced in open, public meeting(s), seconded and voted upon by the entire Commission. Those members interested in being considered for either of these two positions will notify the Executive Director during the period of time deemed necessary by the Commission or announce their interest during the open, public meeting and shall follow the normal selection process.

FISCAL IMPACT:

N/A

ALTERNATIVES:

Not Approve - Modify - Table



ARIZONA CRIMINAL JUSTICE COMMISSION Policy and Procedure

Subject
**SELECTION OF CHAIRPERSON & VICE
CHAIRPERSON**

Policy Number
CJC-115

Effective Date
01/20/2011

Supersedes: 11/19/09

INTRODUCTION

This policy establishes the procedures for the formal selection of a Chairperson and Vice Chairperson from within the ranks of the appointed and ex-officio members of the Arizona Criminal Justice Commission (as outlined in ARS §41-2404). These procedures allow for smooth transition of leadership and insure orderly succession. This policy may be waived, as deemed appropriate by a vote of the Commission as a standing body.

POLICY AND PROCEDURES

1. Definitions: The following terms used in this policy may also be found in CJC 101 of the Arizona Criminal Justice Commission policy manual and, where appropriate, in ARS §41-2404.
 - A. "Chairman" (Chair or Chairperson) is the person selected by the members of the Commission (as outlined in ARS §41-2404) to provide general oversight and consultation to the Executive Director, assure that the Commission meets as required by mission and statute, chair and conduct Commission regular and special meetings, approve meeting agendas and any and all other functions deemed appropriate by the Commission as a body or outlined in ARS §41-2404.
 - B. "Vice Chairman" (Vice Chairperson) is the person who, in the absence of the Chairperson (or when asked by the Chairperson), exercises the authority of the Chair on behalf of the Commission and its members as outlined in ARS §41-2404.
 - C. "Term of Chairperson and Vice Chairperson" is the term of office in which members selected from within the ranks of the appointed and ex-officio members of the Arizona Criminal Justice Commission hold these two positions. Terms shall run from the first regular meeting of the Commission in a calendar year for a period not to exceed 24 months. The Vice Chair may normally succeed the outgoing Chairperson, unless this policy is waived by vote of the Commission or other circumstances dictate.
 - D. "Nominations for Chair and/or Vice Chair" shall be announced in open, public meeting(s), seconded and voted upon by the entire Commission. Those members interested in being considered for either of these two positions will notify the Executive Director during the period of time deemed necessary by the Commission or announce their interest during the open, public meeting and shall follow the normal selection process.

Procedure:

2. Normal Selection

A. Calls for nominations for Chairperson and Vice Chairperson.

- 1.** During the remaining 90 days of a currently seated Chairperson's term in their position, the Commission shall formally announce the time frame for the formal nomination process during an open, public meeting of the Commission.
- 2.** The Commission may accept nominations at the time of the vote if deemed necessary during an open public meeting. Commission members are reminded that pursuant to the Open meeting Law, they may not communicate in any manner with other commission members regarding the nomination or election process.
- 3.** All names of those members nominated shall be read in the next open, public meeting of the Commission.
- 4.** A vote on the nominees shall be taken at an open, public meeting of the Commission and a new Chair/Vice Chair shall be elected.

Procedure:

3. Special Selection

A. Requests to waive the policy/nominations from the floor.

- 1.** In the event that a seated Chair and/or Vice Chair cannot fulfill the term of these positions or in the event the Commission as a body wishes to waive this policy for a specific election, the following procedures will take place:

- a.** If both the Chairperson and Vice Chairperson are unable to fulfill their positions, the most senior Commissioner shall assume the role of Chair pro tem. The Chair pro tem shall instruct the Executive Director to post on either a special or regularly scheduled Commission meeting agenda a notice for open floor nominations for the Chairperson and Vice Chair. The Chair pro tem shall preside over all duties, as outlined in ARS §41-2404 until replaced by popular vote of the Commission in an open meeting.

- 2.** The Chair, for reason of excused absence, may ask any seated member of the Commission to act as Chair for a meeting (in the event of conflict or absence of the Vice Chair). During these meetings the Acting Chair has the full authority of the Chair or Vice Chair.

B. If a member of the Commission wishes to petition this body to waive this policy for a specific election, he/she shall notify the Chairperson in writing no later than 36 hours before the time and date of the posted meeting.

- 1.** The Chairperson shall instruct the Executive Director to publish and post an amended agenda with an action item for consideration by the Commission as a body, first to waive the process and then to formally accept nominations with a popular vote.
- 2.** As in all Commission business, Robert's Rules of Order shall provide guidance as to procedure.

ARIZONA CRIMINAL JUSTICE COMMISSION

Request for Commission Action

Action Requested:	Type of Action Requested:	Subject:
November 5, 2015	<input type="checkbox"/> Formal Action/Motion <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Only <input type="checkbox"/> Other	Executive Director's Report

TO: Chairperson and Commission Members

FROM: John A. Blackburn, Jr.
Executive Director

RECOMMENDATION:

Information Only

DISCUSSION:

Executive Director Blackburn will discuss staff and program updates.

FISCAL IMPACT:

N/A

ALTERNATIVES:

N/A

ARIZONA CRIMINAL JUSTICE COMMISSION

Request for Commission Action

Action Requested:	Type of Action Requested:	Subject:
November 5, 2015	<input type="checkbox"/> Formal Action/Motion <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Only <input type="checkbox"/> Other	Executive Director's Report

TO: Chairperson and Commission Members

FROM: John A. Blackburn, Jr.
Executive Director

RECOMMENDATION:

Information Only

DISCUSSION:

Executive Director Blackburn will update the Commission on the 2016 meeting schedule.

FISCAL IMPACT:

N/A

ALTERNATIVES:

N/A

2016 ACJC PUBLIC MEETING SCHEDULE

<i>Date</i>		<i>Meeting</i>	<i>Time</i>	<i>Room</i>
JANUARY	<u>Thu, 01/21</u>	<u>Crime Victims Committee</u>	<u>11:00 AM</u>	<u>250</u>
		<u>Commission</u>	<u>1:30 PM</u>	
MARCH	<u>Thu, 03/24</u>	<u>Commission</u>	<u>1:30 PM</u>	<u>250</u>
MAY	<u>Thu, 05/19</u>	<u>Crime Victims Committee</u>	<u>TBD</u>	<u>250</u>
		<u>Drug, Gang and Violent Crime Control Committee</u>	<u>TBD</u>	
		<u>Commission</u>	<u>1:30 PM</u>	
JULY	<u>Thu, 07/14</u>	<u>Information, Technology and Systems Improvement Committee</u>	<u>10:00 AM</u>	<u>Little America Hotel Flagstaff</u>
		<u>Commission</u>	<u>2:00 PM</u>	
SEPTEMBER	<u>Thu, 09/15 or 09/22</u>	<u>Commission</u>	<u>1:30 PM</u>	<u>250</u>
NOVEMBER	<u>Thu, 11/17</u>	<u>Legislative Committee</u>	<u>TBD</u>	<u>250</u>
		<u>Drug, Gang and Violent Crime Control Committee</u>	<u>TBD</u>	
		<u>Commission</u>	<u>1:30 PM</u>	

ARIZONA CRIMINAL JUSTICE COMMISSION

Request for Commission Action

Action Requested:	Type of Action Requested:	Subject:
November 5, 2015	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Formal Action/Motion <input type="checkbox"/> Information Only <input type="checkbox"/> Other	Legislative Proposals

TO: Chairperson and Commission Members

FROM: Andy LeFevre, Public Information Officer/Legislative Liaison

RECOMMENDATION:

The Commission support the proposed legislation.

DISCUSSION:

The following ACJC proposed legislation for the upcoming 2016 legislative session will be presented in an effort to gain support from the Commission.

The Legislative Committee will meet and make a recommendation to the Commission.

FISCAL IMPACT:

N/A

ALTERNATIVES:

Not Approve - Modify - Table

2016 ACJC Proposed Legislation Summaries

Prohibited possessor; mental health

Amends ARS 13-3101 to add to the definition of prohibited possessor in Arizona those individuals under court appointed guardianship except if the appointment is due solely to a physical incapacity and authorizes the courts to share information with law enforcement or prosecuting agencies.

Law Enforcement; Courts; Fingerprinting

Amends ARS 41-1750 to define the county sheriff as the "booking agency" (except if the person is booked into a municipal jail then it means municipal law enforcement) and requires the booking agency to take a legible ten-print fingerprint for all persons arrested for certain crimes. Also requires courts to send individuals summoned into court for certain offenses to the booking agency to obtain a legible ten-print fingerprint.

Failure to Appear Classification

Amends the definition of failure to appear in the second degree and sets appropriate penalties.

Arizona Prevention Resource Center Fund Spending Flexibility

Amends ARS 41-2402, paragraph G to allow greater flexibility in how the Arizona Criminal Justice Commission's Statistical Analysis Center can utilize Arizona Prevention Resource Center funds. Currently statute only allows for funds to be used to support the Arizona Youth Survey. Language change would allow unused funds to be spent to conduct research identified by the Commission as important to Arizona's criminal justice community.

Victim Compensation and Assistance Fund Spending Flexibility

Amends ARS 41-2407 to allow greater flexibility in how the Victim Compensation and Assistance Fund can expend funds across both program areas to meet the needs of Arizona's victims of crime and those agencies that provide direct services to victims.

ARIZONA CRIMINAL JUSTICE COMMISSION

Request for Commission Action

Action Requested:	Type of Action Requested:	Subject:
November 5, 2015	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Formal Action/Motion <input type="checkbox"/> Information Only <input type="checkbox"/> Other	CY2016 Residential Substance Abuse Treatment Program (RSAT) Grant Awards

TO: Chairperson and Commission Members

FROM: Tony Vidale, Program Manager
Drug, Gang and Violent Crime Control

RECOMMENDATION:

The Commission approve the award of \$366,508 in federal and local cash and/or in-kind match funds for the 2016 RSAT grant program beginning January 1, 2016 and ending December 31, 2016.

DISCUSSION:

See attached

The Drug, Gang and Violent Crime Control Committee will meet and make a recommendation to the Commission.

FISCAL IMPACT:

Significant to recipient agencies

ALTERNATIVES:

Not Approve - Modify - Table

CY 2016 Residential Substance Abuse Treatment Awards

Program Background

The Residential Substance Abuse Treatment (RSAT) program assists governments in developing and implementing substance abuse treatment programs in state and local correctional and detention facilities, and to create and maintain community-based post release treatment services for offenders. These services allow offenders to overcome substance abuse and prepare for reentry into the community.

The RSAT program is divided into three areas: 1. Residential (6-12 months) program, 2. Jail-based (at least 3 months) program, and 3. Post-release treatment program.

The RSAT program requires that 10 percent of the federal award be made available to local correctional or detention facilities. If applications are not received for this program, these funds can be used by the residential in-correctional setting programs. There were two jail-based applications received during this solicitation.

RSAT may fund post-release programs that provide treatment to offenders for a period not to exceed one year after release. For the CY 2016 RSAT grant, ACJC received one post-release treatment application.

Each applicant must meet the federal eligibility requirements for RSAT programs within the correctional residential setting. The requirements include:

- At least six months and no more than 12 months of treatment for Residential programs;
- At least three months for Jail-based programs;
- Participation limited to the last six to 12 months remaining in the inmate's confinement to insure they are released after completing the treatment and not returned to the general population;
- Residential housing and treatment facilities are provided away from the general correctional population;
- Focus on the substance abuse problems of the inmate using effective scientific practices;
- Development of the inmate's cognitive, behavioral, social, vocational and other skills to help solve the substance abuse problem; and
- Implement or continue urinalysis and/or other proven reliable forms of drug testing.

Funding

Federal funding is provided by the U.S. Department of Justice, Bureau of Justice Assistance. The amount awarded for FFY 2015 was \$249,027. Of this amount, ACJC is allowed a 10% allocation to administer the grant. RSAT funds awarded to ACJC are granted out to sub-recipients on a calendar year basis. There is also \$50,757 in unexpended prior year grant funds available for the program. *Table DC1* on page 23 provides a breakdown of available funding for the CY 2016 RSAT grant program, which

includes \$274,881 in federal funds and \$91,627 in required matching funds for a total of \$366,508. This amount represents a decrease of \$61,916 (14.5 percent) below the CY 2015 program size of \$428,424. With a proposed CY 2016 program size of \$366,508 the RSAT program would have no remaining fund balance for future use.

Table DC1

<i>CY 2016 RSAT Grant Program</i>	
FFY 2013 Grant:	
Grant Balance	\$ 31,560
CY14 Grant Obligations	(5,832)
CY15 Grant Obligations	<u>(22,184)</u>
Available for CY16 program	\$ 3,544
FFY 2014 Grant:	
Grant Award	\$ 238,479
Less: 10% Admin	(23,848)
CY15 Grant Obligations	<u>(167,418)</u>
Available for CY16 program	\$ 47,213
FFY 2015 Grant:	
Grant Award	\$ 249,027
Less: 10% Admin	<u>(24,903)</u>
Available for CY16 program	\$ 224,124
Total Fed. Funds Available	\$ 274,881
Match Requirement	<u>91,627</u>
Available Program Size	\$366,508
Proposed CY16 Awards	\$366,508

Applications Received

On August 31, 2015 counties and eligible agencies were notified via electronic mail announcing the availability of the CY 2016 Residential Substance Abuse Treatment program grant and application procedures through ACJC's grant management system. The deadline for submission of applications was Friday, October 9, 2015 at 3:00 p.m. Five applications were submitted by the deadline requesting a total of \$458,075 in federal funds. With the matching funds amount of \$152,691, the total requested program size was \$610,766. The five agencies that submitted applications were the Arizona Department of Corrections (ADC), the Arizona Department of Juvenile Corrections (ADJC), Chicanos Por La Causa, the Coconino County Sheriff's Office, and the Maricopa County Sheriff's Office. Chicanos Por La Causa was the only non-profit to submit a proposal for post-release treatment services. The requested amounts are shown in *Table DC2*, on page 25 of the agenda.

Evaluation and Scoring

All grant applications met the minimum requirements established in the RSAT guidelines. Each grant application was reviewed by a team of five individuals and scored based on established criteria contained in the grant announcement.

The applications were evaluated using the following scoring criteria:

- Project falls within the RSAT guidelines
- Submitted on time, all requested information was provided
- The need for services in community
- Program adequately addresses the need for services in the community
- Coordination efforts with other agencies
- Goals and objectives that are reasonable and achievable
- Performance measures clearly identify the success of the program
- Budget costs are reasonable and allowable (matching funds are included in the request)
- Adequate internal financial controls
- Programs previously funded by the Commission have met the requirements of the grant

In addition, priority points were given to projects that had an aftercare component established.

Recommendation

Staff proposes an award of \$366,508 in federal and local cash match funds for CY 2016 RSAT grant awards beginning January 1, 2016 and ending December 31, 2016 as shown in *Table DC2*, on page 25 of the agenda. Of this amount, \$274,881 is from federal funds and \$91,627 is from local match funds. This recommendation would fund the Coconino County Sheriff's Office (EXODUS Program), ADOC (Gemini Program), ADJC (RSAT Program), the Maricopa County Sheriff's Office (Alpha Program) and Chicanos Por La Causa (Corazon Treatment Center). This recommendation would comply with the RSAT program requirement that at least 10% of the award is allocated to local correctional or detention facilities.

Table DC2

**CY 2016 RESIDENTIAL SUBSTANCE ABUSE TREATMENT (RSAT) GRANT
JANUARY 1, 2016 - DECEMBER 31, 2016**

Requested

Applicant Agency	Personnel			Consult./ Con- tractual	In-State Travel	Out-of State Travel	Operating			Equipment	TOTAL CY 2015 REQUESTED	Federal	Match Provided
	Salary	Fringe Benefits	Overtime Expense				Registration/ Training	Supplies	Other Operating				
ADOC - Gemini Program	47,000	24,261	-	-	-	-	-	4,080	-	-	\$75,341	\$56,506	\$18,835
ADJC - RSAT Program	44,000	13,200	18,057	35,140	34,920	15,778	-	23,493	-	-	\$184,588	\$138,441	\$46,147
Chicanos Por La Causa	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	47,306	-	\$47,306	\$35,480	\$11,826
Coconino County Sheriffs Office - Exodus	173,600	68,412	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$242,012	\$181,509	\$60,503
Maricopa County Sheriffs Office - Alpha	43,232	18,287	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$61,519	\$46,139	\$15,380
TOTAL	\$307,832	\$ 124,160	\$ 18,057	\$ 35,140	\$ 34,920	\$ 15,778	\$ -	\$ 27,573	\$ 47,306	\$ -	\$ 610,766	\$ 458,075	\$ 152,691

Recommended

Applicant Agency	Personnel			Consult./ Con- tractual	In-State Travel	Out-of State Travel	Operating			Equipment	TOTAL CY 2015 PROPOSED	Federal	Match Provided
	Salary	Fringe Benefits	Overtime Expense				Registration/ Training	Supplies	Other Operating				
ADOC - Gemini Program	47,000	24,261	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$71,261	\$53,446	\$17,815
ADJC - RSAT Program	44,000	13,200	16,429	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$73,629	\$55,222	\$18,407
Chicanos Por La Causa	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	33,790	-	\$33,790	\$25,342	\$8,448
Coconino County Sheriffs Office - Exodus	88,615	37,694	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$126,309	\$94,732	\$31,577
Maricopa County Sheriffs Office - Alpha	43,232	18,287	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$61,519	\$46,139	\$15,380
TOTAL	\$222,847	\$ 93,442	\$ 16,429	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 33,790	\$ -	\$366,508	\$ 274,881	\$ 91,627

ARIZONA CRIMINAL JUSTICE COMMISSION

Request for Commission Action

Action Requested:	Type of Action Requested:	Subject:
November 5, 2015	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Formal Action/Motion <input type="checkbox"/> Information Only <input type="checkbox"/> Other	2016-2019 Drug, Gang & Violent Crime Control Strategy

TO: Chairperson and Commission Members

FROM: Tony Vidale, Program Manager
Drug, Gang and Violent Crime Control

RECOMMENDATION:

The Commission approve the 2016-2019 Drug, Gang and Violent Crime Control Strategy.

DISCUSSION:

See attached

The Drug, Gang and Violent Crime Control Committee will meet and make a recommendation to the Commission.

FISCAL IMPACT:

N/A

ALTERNATIVES:

Not Approve - Modify - Table

2016-2019 Arizona Drug, Gang and Violent Crime Control Strategy

The Arizona Drug, Gang and Violent Crime Control (DGVCC) Strategy was developed to guide policymakers in allocating resources to support Arizona's efforts to curtail drug, gang and violent crime in the state. The current strategy focuses on projects that enforce and prosecute drug abuse and trafficking violators as well as projects that support forensic testing, court programs, treatment and drug education and prevention. The DGVCC strategy takes a balanced approach to a statewide, system-wide program that supports drug control efforts in the state.

Arizona's Drug Strategy was first developed in 1987, updated and refined annually, and expanded to include gang and violent crime. The first multi-year strategy was developed in 2004 and guided funding decisions through 2007. The current strategy expires in 2015, and the revised strategy will be in effect for the period of 2016 through 2019.

The strategy includes two primary goals related to the drug, gang, and violent crime problem in Arizona that were first approved by the Commission in July 2011. The goals are based on the scope of the problem, parameters of funding sources and review of overarching strategies including the *2014 National Drug Control Strategy* and the *2013 Southwest Border Counternarcotics Strategy*. The Strategy will serve as the Commission's blueprint for directing funds to achieve the following two goals:

- Curtail the flow of illicit drugs, drug proceeds, and instruments used to perpetuate violence across Arizona
- Reduce violent crime and illicit drug use, and deter repeat offenders in Arizona

The strategy outlines the nature and extent of the problem, including data and analysis, current and coordinated efforts, program analysis including strengths, weaknesses, opportunities, and challenges, goals and purpose areas, strategic principles, and program performance monitoring and evaluation. With the approved strategy, staff will request the Commission establish funding priorities each year that will be published in the grant announcement. These funding priorities will align with the goals and objectives of the statewide strategy.

ACJC conducted a public hearing in Phoenix on October 14, 2015. The hearing was also available via web conferencing to provide those that could not physically attend the opportunity to participate. The hearing was recorded and posted to our web site. Staff prepared a PowerPoint presentation that was shown at the public hearing and posted with the draft strategy on the ACJC web site. There were no comments offered to staff concerning the 2016-2019 Arizona Drug, Gang, and Violent Crime Control Strategy.

Staff proposes that the Drug, Gang and Violent Crime Control Committee recommend to the Commission the adoption of the 2016-2019 Arizona Drug, Gang and Violent Crime Control Strategy.

ARIZONA CRIMINAL JUSTICE COMMISSION

Request for Commission Action

Action Requested:	Type of Action Requested:	Subject:
November 5, 2015	<input type="checkbox"/> Formal Action/Motion <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Only <input type="checkbox"/> Other	2015 Enhanced Drug & Gang Enforcement (EDGE) Report

TO: Chairperson and Commission Members

FROM: Tony Vidale, Program Manager
Drug, Gang and Violent Crime Control

RECOMMENDATION:

Information Only

DISCUSSION:

The 2015 Enhanced Drug and Gang Enforcement (EDGE) report highlights activities funded by the Drug and Gang Enforcement Account and the Criminal Justice Enhancement Fund that relate to illicit drugs and drug related gang activity. Per A.R.S. § 41-2405 (A) 11, this report shall be submitted to the Governor, the President of the Senate and Speaker of the House of Representatives by October 31 of each year. Staff will present the highlights of this report.

FISCAL IMPACT:

N/A

ALTERNATIVES:

N/A